arXiv:1504.04863v2 [math-ph] 14 May 2015

CHIRAL VECTOR BUNDLES: A GEOMETRIC MODEL FOR CLASS AIII TOPOLOGICAL QUANTUM SYSTEMS

GIUSEPPE DE NITTIS AND KIYONORI GOMI

Abstract. This paper focuses on the study of a new category of vector bundles. The objects of this category, called *chiral vector bundles*, are pairs given by a complex vector bundle along with one of its automorphisms. We provide a classification for the *homotopy* equivalence classes of these objects based on the construction of a suitable classifying space. The computation of the cohomology of the latter allows us to introduce a proper set of characteristic cohomology classes: some of those just reproduce the ordinary Chern classes but there are also new odd-dimensional classes which take care of the extra topological information introduced by the chiral structure. Chiral vector bundles provide a geometric model for topological quantum systems in class **AIII**, namely for systems endowed with a (pseudo-)symmetry of chiral type. The classification of the chiral vector bundles over spheres and tori (explicitly computable up to dimension 4), recovers the commonly accepted classification for topological insulators of class **AIII** which is usually based on the K-group K_1. However, our classification turns out to be even richer since it takes care also for possible non-trivial Chern classes.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Chiral vector bundles 7
3. Homotopy classification for chiral vector bundles 10
3.1. Geometric model for the classifying space 11
3.2. The chiral universal vector bundle 11
3.3. The homotopy classification 12
4. Topology of the classifying space 13
4.1. Homotopy of the classifying space 14
4.2. Cohomology of the classifying space 15
5. Classification of chiral vector bundles 16
5.1. Characteristic classes 16
5.2. The Picard group of chiral line bundles 17
5.3. Chiral vector bundles over spheres 18
5.4. Chiral vector bundles in low dimension 19
5.5. The unstable case $d = 4$, $m = 2$ 24
5.6. Chiral vector bundles over tori 28
6. From topological quantum systems of class **AIII** to chiral Bloch-bundles 29
6.1. Chiral vector bundles vs. Clifford vector bundles 29
6.2. Construction of the chiral Bloch-bundle 32
6.3. Comparison with the literature 35
Appendix A. Topology of unitary groups and Grassmann manifolds 38
Appendix B. Fiber bundle identification of the classifying space 42
References 44

MSC2010 Primary: 57R22; Secondary: 55N99, 53C80, 19L64.

Key words. Topological insulators, Bloch-bundles, chiral vector bundles, chiral symmetry, odd Chern classes.

1. Introduction

The mathematical investigation of the nature of the topological phases of the matter is a recent hot topic in mathematical physics. Starting from the seminal work by Kane and Mele [KM2], where for the first time $\mathbb{Z}_2$ topological phases were predicted, a big effort has been devoted to the understanding of a general scheme for the complete classification of all possible phases of *topological insulators*. The first considerable contribution to this program was provided by Kitaev's "Periodic Table" for topological insulators and superconductors [Ki]. This is a K-theoretical-based procedure which organizes into a systematic scheme the *stable* topological phases of symmetry-protected gapped free-fermion systems. A certain amount of valuable foundational works devoted to the justification and the generalization of Kitaev's table appeared in recent years: [SRFL, RSFL, FM, Th1, KZ] just to mention few of them.

A quite different approach to the study of the topological phases of quantum systems consists in focusing on the rigorous geometrical analysis of each single topological class. In fact, if from one side the various fundamental symmetries (or better *pseudo*-symmetries as pointed out in [KZ]) can be used to organize the different classes of topological insulators according to the "Bott-clock" induced by the underlying Clifford structure, on the other side each class is described by its own geometric model. The latter is usually specified by the set of symmetries defining the class itself along with a proper notion of *ground state*, often identified with a Fermi projection in a gap (a different but equivalent point of view has been recently considered in [KZ]). For periodic fermionic systems, or more in general for *topological quantum systems* (in the sense of [DG1, DG2, DG3]), these geometric models are realizable as vector bundles enriched by the presence of extra structures. For the case of systems with an *even* or *odd* time-reversal symmetry (class **AI** and **AII**, respectively) a complete analysis of the related geometric theories has been recently performed in [DG1, DG2]. Some of the main achievements obtained through this specific study are: the possibility to access the *unstable* regime which is usually out of the domain of the K-theory (there is a recent interest on that [KG, KZ]); the possibility to consider also systems adiabatically perturbed by external fields (meaning that one can replace the Brillouin torus or sphere with quite general manifolds); the identifications of proper cohomological classes capable to completely classify the topological phases. An even more relevant aspect is the possibility to describe the topological classification in terms of differential-geometric invariants, a point recently investigated in [DG3].

This work is devoted to the study of a geometric model for the class **AIII** or, said differently, for the class of quantum systems protected by a *chiral* symmetry (in the jargon of topological insulators [SRFL, HK]). There is a renewed recent interest for the study of the topology of these models (see *e. g.* [PS]). On the one hand it is a common belief that systems in class **AIII** are classified by the K-group K_1. On the other hand a closer look at these systems reveals ambiguities and deficiencies in the various definitions, as recently pointed out in [Th2] (see also the introduction of [Th1]). The main open questions seem to be summarized by the following list:

Q.1) Which is the class of transformations which preserve the chiral phases?
Q.2) The topological indices which label the various chiral phases are *absolute* or *relative*?
Q.3) Is K_1 enough to completely describe the topology of chiral systems?

It is our conviction that a complete answer to the previous questions (and to the observations raised in [Th2]) needs a precise definition of a geometric model for the description of systems in class **AIII**. In this work we will show how to construct such a model, a fact which is important by itself for a better understanding of the geometry underlying chiral systems. Moreover, a rigorous study of the related topology will provide a finer classification for the class **AIII**.

From a mathematical point of view the geometric object of our interest is a new class of vector bundles that we decided to call *chiral vector bundles*, or χ*-vector bundles* for short. These are formally defined as follow:

Definition 1.1 (Chiral vector bundle)**.** *A* chiral vector bundle *over X is a pair $(\mathscr{E},\Phi)$ where $\pi:\mathscr{E}\to X$ is a complex hermitian vector bundle and $\Phi\in\mathrm{Aut}(\mathscr{E})$ is an automorphism of $\mathscr{E}$. The* rank *of the chiral vector bundle $(\mathscr{E},\Phi)$ is the complex dimension of the fibers of $\mathscr{E}$.*

We point out that we are not only interested in the classification over spheres or tori (as usual in the business of topological insulators). Our requirements on the nature of the base space X are quite weak. Hereinafter in this paper we will assume that:

Assumption 1.2. *X is a compact and path-connected Hausdorff space with a CW-complex structure.*

The particular choice of the nomenclature is justified by the fact that χ-vector bundles provide a suitable model for the study of the topology of ground states of topological quantum systems endowed with a chiral symmetry. This connection will be briefly discussed at the end of this introduction and rigorously established in Section 6.

Chiral vector bundles over a topological space X form a category when endowed with a proper notion of morphisms. These technical aspects will be discussed in full detail in Section 2. The only important information for the aims of this introductory discussion is that in this category the notion of isomorphism does not coincide with that of homotopy equivalence, a fact which makes chiral vector bundles quite different from complex vector bundles. The pure notion of isomorphism turns out to be too strong to set up a reasonable classification theory of topological nature. For this reason one needs to weaken the notion of equivalence by looking at the homotopy (see Example 2.5 for more details). With this precaution the problem of the classification of chiral phases can be translated in the problem of the enumeration of the set

$$\mathrm{Vec}^m_\chi(X)\ :=\ \Big\{\text{equivalence classes of } \textit{homotopy equivalent} \text{ rank } m \text{ chiral vector bundles over } X\Big\}.$$

For a precise definition of the notion of homotopy equivalence we refer to Definition 2.4. The virtue of this definition is that $\mathrm{Vec}^m_\chi(X)$ can be classified by homotopy classes of maps from X to a classifying space $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$, *i. e.*

$$\mathrm{Vec}^m_\chi(X)\ \simeq\ [X,\mathbb{B}^m_\chi]\ . \tag{1.1}$$

The isomorphism (1.1) is established in Corollary 3.4 while the space $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$ is described in Section 3.1. Both homotopy and cohomology groups of $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$ can be explicitly determined (*cf.* Section 4.1 and Section 4.2, respectively) and along with (1.1) this allows for a classification of $\mathrm{Vec}^m_\chi(X)$. For instance, for the classification of the topological phases of *free*-fermion systems the base space can be identified with a “Brillouin” sphere (see the discussion in [DG1, Section 2]) and so, after setting $X=\mathbb{S}^d$ in (1.1) one obtains:

Theorem 1.3 (Classification over spheres)**.** *Rank m chiral vector bundles over spheres are classified by the following bijections*

$$\mathrm{Vec}^m_\chi(\mathbb{S}^d)\ \simeq\ \pi_d(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi)\ \simeq\ \pi_d(\mathbb{U}(m))\ \oplus\ \pi_{d-1}(\mathbb{U}(m))\ .$$

In the case of low-dimensional spheres the result of the classification is displayed in Table 1.1 along with the standard classification for complex vector bundles (see Section 5.3 for a more detailed discussion).

The content of Table 1.1 leads to an immediate observation: the topology of chiral vector bundles seems to be just richer than the topology of complex vector bundles. This is indeed true and not surprising at all! From its very definition it turns out that a chiral vector bundle is, in particular, a complex vector bundle plus an extra structure. Then, there is a “forgetting” map which associates to a given χ-bundle $(\mathscr{E},\Phi)$ just its underlying complex vector bundle $\mathscr{E}$. This map is evidently compatible with the notions of isomorphisms of complex vector bundles and homotopy equivalence of χ-bundles (which is weaker than the isomorphism equivalence) and leads to a well-defined morphism

$$\jmath\ :\ \mathrm{Vec}^m_{\mathbb{C}}(X)\ \hookrightarrow\ \mathrm{Vec}^m_\chi(X) \tag{1.2}$$

Free-fermion systems					
VB	AZC	$d = 1$	$d = 2$	$d = 3$	$d = 4$
$\mathrm{Vec}^m_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{S}^d)$	**A**	0	$\mathbb{Z}$	0	$0 \quad (m = 1)$ $\mathbb{Z} \quad (m \geqslant 2)$
$\mathrm{Vec}^m_{\chi}(\mathbb{S}^d)$	**AIII**	$\boxed{\mathbb{Z}}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$0 \quad (m = 1)$ $\boxed{\mathbb{Z}} \quad (m \geqslant 2)$	$0 \quad (m = 1)$ $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \boxed{\mathbb{Z}_2} \quad (m = 2)$ $\mathbb{Z} \quad (m \geqslant 3)$

TABLE 1.1. The column VB lists the symbols for the sets of equivalence classes of vector bundles in the *complex* and *chiral* category, respectively. The related Altland-Zirnbauer-Cartan labels [SRFL] are displayed in column AZC. In the complex case the classification is specified by the first Chern class c_1 in dimension $d = 2$ and by the second Chern class c_2 in dimension $d = 4$ (*cf.* [DG1, Section 4]). In the chiral case the classification is richer and in addition to the usual Chern classes one has new odd-dimensional characteristic classes called *chiral classes* (*cf.* Section 5.1). The extra invariants introduced by the chiral structure are displayed by the "boxed" entries. In dimension $d = 1$ and $d = 3$ the $\mathbb{Z}$ classification is provided by the first chiral class w_1 and by the second chiral class w_2, respectively. In dimension $d = 4$ there is an *unstable* chiral invariant $\mathbb{Z}_2$ only in rank $m = 2$ which is related to the homotopy group $\pi_4(\mathbb{S}^3)$ via a Hopf's fibration (*cf.* Section 5.3).

which is indeed an injection. In particular the image of $\mathrm{Vec}^m_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ in $\mathrm{Vec}^m_{\chi}(X)$ under $\jmath$ is described by (homotopy) equivalence classes of the type $[(\mathscr{E}, \mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{E}})]$ which are classified, at least in low dimension, by the help of Chern classes. On the opposite side there is another interesting subclass of χ-bundles $\mathrm{Vec}^m_{\chi*}(X) \subset \mathrm{Vec}^m_{\chi}(X)$ which consists of homotopy equivalence classes of the type $[(X \times \mathbb{C}^m, \Phi)]$ where the underlying vector bundle is trivial. Since the automorphisms of a trivial product bundle $X \times \mathbb{C}^m$ (endowed with a Hermitian metric) are described by maps $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{U}(m)$, one has that

$$\mathrm{Vec}^m_{\chi*}(X) \;\simeq\; [X, \mathbb{U}(m)]\;. \tag{1.3}$$

This is the part of $\mathrm{Vec}^m_{\chi}(X)$ which is "purely chiral" in the sense that χ-bundles in $\mathrm{Vec}^m_{\chi*}(X)$ have vanishing Chern classes due to the triviality of the underlying vector bundle and hence they are classified only by *pure* chiral invariants. This subset, which under stabilization can by classified by $K_1(X)$, describes the systems of class **AIII** usually considered in the physical literature concerning topological insulators. However, at least in our opinion, this seems to be a strong physical restriction which is not at all necessary. As a matter of fact, elements in $\mathrm{Vec}^m_{\chi*}(X)$ describe chiral systems which posses a ground state (or Fermi projection) with trivial Chern topology and this is not at all the general situation in the physics of band operators. On the other side the full set $\mathrm{Vec}^m_{\chi}(X)$ contains also models of chiral systems associated to ground states with a non-trivial Chern charge and for this reason we believe that a deeper understanding of the chiral phase requires the study of the full set $\mathrm{Vec}^m_{\chi}(X)$ rather than of its "Chern-trivial" subset $\mathrm{Vec}^m_{\chi*}(X)$. This interpretative aspect will be properly developed and deepened in Section 6.

The classification of chiral vector bundles becomes more complicated when one replaces spheres with a generic base space X. In this situation, by taking a cue from the similar problem for complex vector bundles, one finds advantageous to construct suitable characteristic classes. As usual these classes can be defined as the pullback with respect to the classifying maps in (1.1) of the integral cohomology of the space $\mathbb{B}^m_{\chi}$, the latter being completely computable. In this way one can associate a set of cohomology classes to each χ-bundle (*cf.* Section 5.1) and, at least in low-dimension, these classes suffice for a complete characterization of the topology. More precisely, one can prove the following result.

Theorem 1.4 (Classification via characteristic classes)**.** *Let X be as in Assumption 1.2 and let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be the maximal dimension of its cells.*

(i) *The Picard group of chiral line bundles is classified by the isomorphism*

$$(w_1, c_1)\ :\ \mathrm{Vec}^1_\chi(X)\ \xrightarrow{\simeq}\ H^1(X,\mathbb{Z})\ \oplus\ H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$$

induced by the first chiral class w_1 *and the first Chern class* c_1.

(ii) *In the case of a generic rank* $m \geqslant 2$ *and for low dimensional base spaces* $1 \leqslant d \leqslant 3$ *there are bijections of sets*

$$(w_1, c_1, w_2)\ :\ \mathrm{Vec}^m_\chi(X)\ \xrightarrow{\simeq}\ H^1(X,\mathbb{Z})\ \oplus\ H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})\ \oplus\ H^3(X,\mathbb{Z}) \tag{1.4}$$

induced by the first two chiral classes w_1, w_2 *and the first Chern class* c_1.

(iii) *If* $d = 4$ *and in the* stable *range* $m \geqslant 3$ *there are bijections of sets*

$$(w_1, c_1, w_2, c_2)\ :\ \mathrm{Vec}^m_\chi(X)\ \xrightarrow{\simeq}\ H^1(X,\mathbb{Z})\ \oplus\ H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})\ \oplus\ H^3(X,\mathbb{Z})\ \oplus\ H^4(X,\mathbb{Z}) \tag{1.5}$$

induced by the first two chiral classes w_1, w_2 *and the first two Chern classes* c_1, c_2.

Since $H^k(X,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ if $k > d$, equation (1.4) has to be decorated by the obvious constraints $c_1 = w_2 = 0$ if $d = 1$ and $w_2 = 0$ if $d = 2$. Item (i) is proved in Proposition 5.5 while the proof of items (ii) and (iii) is described in Proposition 5.7. The first interesting unstable case $d = 4, m = 2$ is not covered by the above theorem. A general analysis of this case reserves considerable mathematical difficulties as discussed in Section 5.5. With the help of Theorem 1.4 one can for instance classify χ-bundles over tori of low dimension. The latter provide the geometric model for the study of the topological phases for systems of fermions which interact with a *periodic* background (see the discussion in [DG1, Section 2]). In the case of "Brillouin" tori $X = \mathbb{T}^d$ the classification is displayed in Table 1.2.

Periodic fermion systems				
VB	AZC	$d = 2$	$d = 3$	$d = 4$
$\mathrm{Vec}^m_\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{T}^d)$	**A**	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}^3$	$\mathbb{Z}^6$ $(m = 1)$ $\mathbb{Z}^6 \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ $(m \geqslant 2)$
$\mathrm{Vec}^m_\chi(\mathbb{T}^d)$	**AIII**	$\boxed{\mathbb{Z}^2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$	$\boxed{\mathbb{Z}^3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^3$ $(m = 1)$ $\boxed{\mathbb{Z}^3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^3 \oplus \boxed{\mathbb{Z}}$ $(m \geqslant 2)$	$\boxed{\mathbb{Z}^4} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^6$ $(m = 1)$ $\boxed{\mathbb{Z}^4} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^6 \oplus \boxed{\mathbb{Z}^4} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \boxed{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ $(m = 2)$ $\boxed{\mathbb{Z}^4} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^6 \oplus \boxed{\mathbb{Z}^4} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ $(m \geqslant 3)$

TABLE 1.2. Notations and abbreviations are the same already used in the caption of Table 1.1. The case $d = 1$ is already covered in Table 1.1 since $\mathbb{T}^1 = \mathbb{S}^1$. In the complex case the classification is specified by the first Chern class c_1 up to dimension $d = 3$ and by the first and second Chern classes $(c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^6 \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ in dimension $d = 4$ (*cf.* [DG1, Section 4]). The extra invariants introduced by the chiral symmetry are listed by the "boxed" entries. The splitting in the direct sum of the groups is order by the sequence of characteristic classes (w_1, c_1, w_2, c_2) modulo the obvious conditions of triviality given by $H^k(\mathbb{T}^d,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ if $k > d$. The *unstable* case $d = 4, m = 2$, not covered by Theorem 1.4, is discussed in Section 5.6.

Before ending this introduction, let us briefly justify our basic assumption that chiral vector bundles, as introduced in Definition 1.1, provide the proper geometric models for the topology of the ground state of quantum systems protected by a chiral symmetry. This aspect will be dealt with in depth in Section 6 and completed with a comparison with the existing literature. Let us start with the following definition which generalizes the usual notion of (translational invariant) topological insulator in class **AIII**.

Definition 1.5 (Topological quantum systems with chiral symmetry[1])**.** *Let X be a locally compact, path-connected, Hausdorff space. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable complex Hilbert space and denote by $\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{H})$ the algebra of compact operators on $\mathcal{H}$, respectively. A* topological quantum system *is a self-adjoint map*

$$X \ \ni \ x \ \longmapsto \ H(x) = H(x)^* \ \in \ \mathscr{K}(\mathcal{H}) \tag{1.6}$$

continuous with respect to the norm-topology of $\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{H})$. Let $\sigma(H(x)) = \{\lambda_j(x) \mid j \in \mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be the sequence of eigenvalues of $H(x)$ ordered according to $\ldots \lambda_{-2}(x) \leqslant \lambda_{-1}(x) < 0 \leqslant \lambda_1(x) \leqslant \lambda_2(x) \leqslant \ldots$. The map $x \mapsto \lambda_j(x)$ (which is continuous by standard perturbative arguments [Kat]*) is called j-*th energy band*. An* isolated family *of energy bands is any (finite) collection $\Omega := \{\lambda_{j_1}(\cdot), \ldots, \lambda_{j_m}(\cdot)\}$ of energy bands such that*

$$\min_{x \in X} \ \mathrm{dist}\left(\bigcup_{s=1}^{m} \{\lambda_{j_s}\} \ , \ \bigcup_{j \in \mathcal{I} \setminus \{j_1, \ldots, j_m\}} \{\lambda_j\} \right) \ = \ C_g \ > 0. \tag{1.7}$$

Inequality (1.7) *is usually called* gap condition*. We say that the system is subjected to a* chiral symmetry *if there is a continuous unitary-valued map $x \mapsto \chi(x)$ on X such that*

$$\begin{cases} \chi(x) \ H(x) \ \chi(x)^* \ = \ - H(x) \\ \qquad\qquad \chi(x)^2 \ = \ \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}} \end{cases}, \qquad\qquad x \in X \tag{1.8}$$

where $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}}$ is the identity operator[2].

A standard construction, which is described in some detail in Section 6.2, associates to each topological quantum system (1.6) with an isolated family of m *negative* energy bands (1.7) a complex vector bundle $\mathscr{E}_- \to X$ of rank m which is usually called *Bloch-bundle*. Due to the chiral symmetry the system posses also a family of m *positive* bands which define a “twin” Bloch-bundle $\mathscr{E}_+ \to X$. These two vector bundles are in general non-trivial and the chiral symmetry induces an isomorphism $\Theta_\chi : \mathscr{E}_- \to \mathscr{E}_+$. These data are enough to univocally specify (up to isomorphisms) a *Clifford vector bundle* over X of type $(0,1)$ (*cf.* Section 6). Objects of this type provide a geometric model for the construction of $K_1(X)$, as showed by Karoubi in [Kar, Chapter III, Section 4]. This is the point of view adopted in [FM, Th1] for the classification of system in class **AIII**. However, although on the one hand the K-theory introduced by Karoubi fits perfectly with the need to explain the “Bott-clock”, on the other hand this K-theory does not seem to take into account the topological content associated with the Chern classes of the underlying vector bundle. A more precise discussion on this delicate point is postponed to the end of Section 6.3. The link between topological quantum systems of type **AIII**, or equivalently Clifford vector bundles, and chiral vector bundles depends on the choice of a *reference* isomorphism $h_{\mathrm{ref}} : \mathscr{E}_- \to \mathscr{E}_+$. After that such a h_{ref} has been chosen, one defines a rank m chiral vector bundle $(\mathscr{E}, \Phi)$ just by setting $\mathscr{E} := \mathscr{E}_-$ and $\Phi := h_{\mathrm{ref}}^{-1} \circ \Theta_\chi$. In our opinion, is exactly the topology of $(\mathscr{E}, \Phi)$ which completely describes the topological phase of the original topological quantum system with chiral symmetry. The arbitrariness inherent in the choice of h_{ref} seems to be an inescapable aspect and explicitly [Th1, Th2] or implicitly [SRFL, RSFL, QZ, BuT, PS] this problem seems to be common to all attempts to define properly the chiral invariants. We refer to Section 6.3 for a more precise discussion.

At the end of this long introduction, let us summarize our point of view about the description of the topology of systems with a chiral symmetry as follows:

---

[1] The setting described in Definition 1.5 can be generalized to unbounded operator-valued maps $x \mapsto H(x)$ by requiring the continuity of the *resolvent map* $x \mapsto R_z(x) := (H(x) - z\mathbb{1})^{-1} \in \mathscr{K}(\mathcal{H})$. Another possible generalization is to replace the norm-topology with the open-compact topology as in [FM, Appendix D]. However, these kind of generalizations have no particular consequences for the purposes of this work.

[2] We notice that the second condition in (1.8) can be replaced by the equivalent constraint $\chi'(x)^2 = -\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}}$ under the identification $\chi = \mathrm{i}\chi'$.

Assumption 1.6 (Topological phase for chiral topological quantum systems)**.** *Let X be a topological space which fulfills Assumption 1.2 and $X \ni x \mapsto H(x)$ a topological quantum system with chiral symmetry $X \ni x \mapsto \chi(x)$ as in Definition 1.5. Assume that there exists a* ground state *described by a system Ω_- of m strictly negative energy bands (*zero energy gap *condition, cf. Assumption 6.8) which are separated from the rest of the spectrum in the sense of* (1.7). *With these data one can build a pair of "twin" rank m Bloch-bundles $\mathscr{E}_\pm \to X$ and a* chiral *isomorphism $\Theta_\chi : \mathscr{E}_- \to \mathscr{E}_+$. Let $h_{\mathrm{ref}} : \mathscr{E}_- \to \mathscr{E}_+$ be a* reference *isomorphism arbitrarily chosen. We* assume *that the* topological phase *of the chiral system, relatively to the reference map h_{ref}, is detected by the equivalence class*

$$[(\mathscr{E}, \Phi)] \in \mathrm{Vec}^m_\chi(X)$$

where $\mathscr{E} := \mathscr{E}_-$ and $\Phi := h^{-1}_{\mathrm{ref}} \circ \Theta_\chi$.

The virtue of our Assumption 1.6 is that it allows us to answer, from our own point of view, the questions $\mathcal{Q}$.1) - $\mathcal{Q}$.3) stated at the very beginning of this introduction.

- $\mathcal{R}$.1) The chiral phases are *homotopy invariants* of the system. Isomorphisms (chiral isometries) are too strong and generic unitary transformations as in [Th2] are too weak to set up a proper topological theory for chiral systems.
- $\mathcal{R}$.2) The notion of topological phase of a chiral system is *not* absolute but depends on an arbitrary choice of a reference isomorphism. Only the notion of *relative* phase between two different states of the system has an absolute interpretation. This is equivalent to establishing a priori the "reference" trivial chiral phase.
- $\mathcal{R}$.3) In the classification of the topological phases of chiral systems also the topology of the *ground state*, described by the topology of the underlying Bloch-bundle, plays a role. The K-group K_1 is not enough. For instance it does not contain information about the Chern classes.

In our opinion $\mathcal{R}$.2) is worth of a final comment. In the axiomatic theory of characteristic classes the fact that the topological invariants are defined only *relatively* to a given choice seems to be the rule rather than the exception. For instance this is true also for the familiar Chern classes which can be defined from the Hirzebruch's system of axioms only up to a normalization given by fixing the Chern class of the tautological line bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$ [Hu, Chapter 17, Section].

Acknowledgements. GD wish to thank A. Verjovsky and G. Thiang for many stimulating discussions. KG's research is supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B 23740051), JSPS.

2. Chiral vector bundles

Before starting the formal study of χ-bundles let us recall some basic facts of the theory of complex vector bundles. Given a complex vector bundle $\pi : \mathscr{E} \to X$ one can always endow $\mathscr{E}$ with a hermitian fiber-metric $\mathfrak{m} : \mathscr{E} \times_\pi \mathscr{E} \to \mathbb{C}$ which turns out to be unique up to isomorphisms (see *e. g.* [Kar, Chapter I, Theorem 8.7]). Here $\mathscr{E} \times_\pi \mathscr{E}$ denotes the set of pairs $(p_1, p_2) \in \mathscr{E} \times \mathscr{E}$ such that $\pi(p_1) = \pi(p_2)$. This means that without loss of generality we can restrict our attention to *hermitian* complex vector bundles of rank $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The latter are characterized by their structure group (the group in which the transition functions take values) which is the unitary group $\mathbb{U}(m)$. An automorphism of a hermitian rank m complex vector bundle $\pi : \mathscr{E} \to X$ is a vector bundle isomorphism

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{E} \arrow[rr, leftrightarrow, "\Phi"] \arrow[dr, "\pi"'] & & \mathscr{E} \arrow[dl, "\pi"] \\
& X &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.1}$$

which is also an isometry with respect to the hermitian fiber-metric, *i. e.* $\mathfrak{m}(p_1, p_2) = \mathfrak{m}(\Phi(p_1), \Phi(p_2))$ for all $p_1, p_2 \in \mathscr{E} \times_\pi \mathscr{E}$. We denote with $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathscr{E})$ the automorphism group of $\mathscr{E}$. Let us remark that automorphisms in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathscr{E})$ can be locally identified with maps $X \supset \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{U}(m)$ where $\mathcal{U}$ is a trivializing open set for $\mathscr{E}$.

The construction of a coherent topological theory of chiral vector bundles requires the introduction of a proper notion of isomorphism. Quite naturally one can say that two rank m χ-bundles $(\mathscr{E}_1, \Phi_1)$ and $(\mathscr{E}_2, \Phi_2)$ over X are *isomorphic* if there exists a homeomorphism $f : \mathscr{E}_1 \to \mathscr{E}_2$ which makes the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathscr{E}_1 \arrow[r, leftrightarrow, "\Phi_1"] \arrow[dl, "\pi_1"'] \arrow[dd, leftrightarrow, "f"] & \mathscr{E}_1 \arrow[dr, "\pi_1"] \arrow[dd, leftrightarrow, "f"'] & \\
X & & & X \\
 & \mathscr{E}_2 \arrow[r, leftrightarrow, "\Phi_2"'] \arrow[ul, "\pi_2"] & \mathscr{E}_2 \arrow[ur, "\pi_2"'] &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.2}$$

commutative. We use the notation $(\mathscr{E}_1, \Phi_1) \approx (\mathscr{E}_2, \Phi_2)$ to say that $(\mathscr{E}_1, \Phi_1)$ and $(\mathscr{E}_2, \Phi_2)$ are isomorphic. The *trivial* rank m χ-bundle is, by definition, the pair $(X \times \mathbb{C}^m, \mathrm{Id}_{X\times\mathbb{C}^m})$ where $X \times \mathbb{C}^m \to X$ is the standard product vector bundle (endowed with the first factor projection) and $\mathrm{Id}_{X\times\mathbb{C}^m}$ is the identity map which fixes each point $(x, \mathrm{v}) \in \mathrm{X} \times \mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{m}}$. A χ-bundle $(\mathscr{E}, \Phi)$ is said to be *strongly trivial* if $(\mathscr{E}, \Phi) \approx (X \times \mathbb{C}^m, \mathrm{Id}_{X\times\mathbb{C}^m})$. The notion of isomorphism $\approx$ induces an equivalence relation and one can define in a usual way the set of isomorphism classes

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Vec}}^m_\chi(X) \ := \ \Big\{\text{rank } m \text{ chiral vector bundles over } X\Big\} \Big/ \ \approx \ . \tag{2.3}$$

Remark 2.1 (Weak local triviality)**.** Chiral vector bundles are not “genuine” locally trivial objects. Indeed, a notion of *local triviality* for a χ-bundle $(\mathscr{E}, \Phi)$, which is also coherent with the above definitions of isomorphism $\approx$ and strong triviality, should require the existence of a cover $\{\mathcal{U}\}$ of open subsets of X and subordinate trivializing maps that establish isomorphisms $(\mathscr{E}|_{\mathcal{U}}, \Phi|_{\mathcal{U}}) \approx (\mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{C}^m, \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{U}\times\mathbb{C}^m})$. However, if from one side the existence of isomorphisms $h_{\mathcal{U}} : \mathscr{E}|_{\mathcal{U}} \to \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{C}^m$ is guaranteed by the fact that the underlying complex vector bundle $\mathscr{E} \to X$ is locally trivial, from the other side is not generally possible to choose the $h_{\mathcal{U}}$ in such a way that $h_{\mathcal{U}} \circ \Phi|_{\mathcal{U}} \circ h_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1} = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{U}\times\mathbb{C}^m}$. As an example the reader can just consider the complex product line bundle $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{S}^1$ endowed with the endomorphism $\Phi_n(\theta, \mathrm{v}) = (\theta, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\, n\theta}\mathrm{v})$ for all $(\theta, \mathrm{v}) \in \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{C}$. Since the structure group $\mathbb{U}(1)$ is commutative there is no way to change globally, or just locally, the endomorphism Φ_n in the identity map. Nevertheless, a closer look to the structure of a χ-bundle $(\mathscr{E}, \Phi)$ shows that Φ can be locally identified with maps $\phi_{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{U}(m)$ through the assignment $h_{\mathcal{U}} \circ \Phi|_{\mathcal{U}} \circ h_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1} = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{U}} \times \phi_{\mathcal{U}}$. This observation allows us to affirm that chiral vector bundles are locally trivial in a *weak* sense, meaning that each χ-bundle admits local isomorphisms of the type $(\mathscr{E}|_{\mathcal{U}}, \Phi|_{\mathcal{U}}) \approx (\mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{C}^m, \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{U}} \times \phi_{\mathcal{U}})$ which provide a local “twisted” product structure. ◀

The notion of *homotopy* is an extremely important ingredient of the theory of complex vector bundle. Just as a reminder let us recall that if $\pi : \mathscr{E} \to X$ is a vector bundle and $\varphi : Y \to X$ is a map then we can construct a complex vector bundle $\varphi^*\mathscr{E} \to Y$ called the *pullback* of $\mathscr{E}$ over Y via φ. The fibers of $\varphi^*\mathscr{E}$ are explicitly described by [Hu, Chapter 2, Section 5]

$$\varphi^*\mathscr{E}|_y \ := \ \Big\{(y, p) \in Y \times \mathscr{E} \ \Big|\ \varphi(y) = \pi(p)\Big\} \ \simeq \ \mathscr{E}|_{\varphi(y)} \ , \qquad\qquad \forall\, y \in Y \ . \tag{2.4}$$

When $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : Y \to X$ are homotopic maps (and Y is paracompact) the pullbacks $\varphi_1^*\mathscr{E}$ and $\varphi_2^*\mathscr{E}$ turn out to be isomorphic in the category of complex vector bundles over Y [Hu, Chapter 3, Theorem 4.7]. One of the major consequences of this result is that one can reinterpret the notion of isomorphism between complex vector bundles in terms of homotopy equivalences. More precisely one has that $\mathscr{E}_1 \to X$ and $\mathscr{E}_2 \to X$ are isomorphic as complex vector bundles if and only if there is a vector bundle $\mathscr{E} \to X \times [0, 1]$ such that $\mathscr{E}|_{X\times\{0\}}$ (resp. $\mathscr{E}|_{X\times\{1\}}$) is isomorphic to $\mathscr{E}_1$ (resp. $\mathscr{E}_2$). From one side the isomorphism between $\mathscr{E}|_{X\times\{0\}}$ and $\mathscr{E}|_{X\times\{1\}}$ [Hu, Chapter 3, Corollary 4.4] implies by transitivity the isomorphism between $\mathscr{E}_1$ and $\mathscr{E}_2$. On the other side if $\mathscr{E}_1$ and $\mathscr{E}_2$ are isomorphic it is enough to consider $\mathscr{E} := \mathscr{E}_1 \times [0, 1]$ as a vector bundle over $X \times [0, 1]$ endowed with the natura projection.

Remark 2.2. There is a different homotopy characterization of the notion of isomorphism: Two complex vector bundles $\mathscr{E}_1 \to X$ and $\mathscr{E}_2 \to X$ turn out to be isomorphic (in the proper category) if and only if there exists a map $\varphi : X \to X$ such that φ is homotopic to Id_X and $\varphi^*\mathscr{E}_1$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{E}_2$. This claim is ultimately a consequence of the fact that $\mathrm{Id}_X^*\mathscr{E} \simeq \mathscr{E}$ [Hu, Chapter 2, Proposition 5.7]. ◀

Unfortunately, the situation is less simple in the context of chiral vector bundles. Of course, the notion of pullback is still well defined. Indeed if $(\mathscr{E}, \Phi)$ is a χ-bundle over X and $\varphi : Y \to X$ is a map we can define the pullback χ-bundle $\varphi^*(\mathscr{E}, \Phi) := (\varphi^*\mathscr{E}, \varphi^*\Phi)$ over Y where the underlying complex vector bundle $\varphi^*\mathscr{E} \to Y$ is described fiberwise by (2.4) and the morphism $\varphi^*\Phi : \varphi^*\mathscr{E} \to \varphi^*\mathscr{E}$ is defined by

$$\varphi^*\Phi \ : \ (y, p) \ \longmapsto \ (y, \Phi(p)) \,, \qquad \forall\, (y, p) \in \varphi^*\mathscr{E} \,. \tag{2.5}$$

Since Φ is an automorphism of $\mathscr{E}$ the map $\varphi^*\Phi$ is an isomorphism on each fiber $\varphi^*\mathscr{E}|_y$ and this is enough to affirm that $\varphi^*\Phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\varphi^*\mathscr{E})$ [Hu, Chapter 3, Theorem 2.5]. Let us now consider two homotopic maps $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : Y \to X$ (Y paracompact) and the related pullback χ-bundles $\varphi_1^*(\mathscr{E}, \Phi)$ and $\varphi_2^*(\mathscr{E}, \Phi)$ over Y. Since $\varphi_1^*\mathscr{E}$ and $\varphi_2^*\mathscr{E}$ are isomorphic as complex vector bundles there exists a map $f : \varphi_1^*\mathscr{E} \to \varphi_2^*\mathscr{E}$ which restricts to a linear isomorphism f_y on each pair of fibers, *i. e.*

$$\mathscr{E}|_{\varphi_1(y)} \simeq \varphi_1^*\mathscr{E}|_y \overset{f_y}{\simeq} \varphi_2^*\mathscr{E}|_y \simeq \mathscr{E}|_{\varphi_2(y)} \,, \qquad \forall\, y \in Y \,.$$

Let $(y, p) \in \varphi_1^*\mathscr{E}|_y$ and $f(y, p) = (y, f_y(p))$ the corresponding point in $\varphi_2^*\mathscr{E}|_y$ (with an abuse of notation, we are identifying f_y with the linear isomorphism $f_y : \mathscr{E}|_{\varphi_1(y)} \to \mathscr{E}|_{\varphi_2(y)}$). A straightforward calculation shows that

$$f \circ \varphi_1^*\Phi \ : \ (y, p) \ \to \ (y, f_y(\Phi(p))) \,, \qquad \varphi_2^*\Phi \circ f \ : \ (y, p) \ = \ (y, \Phi(f_y(p))) \,.$$

Thus, the condition $f \circ \varphi_1^*\Phi = \varphi_2^*\Phi \circ f$ for a χ-bundle isomorphism between $\varphi_1^*(\mathscr{E}, \Phi)$ and $\varphi_2^*(\mathscr{E}, \Phi)$ in the sense of (2.2) necessitates that validity of

$$f_y \ \circ \ \Phi|_{\mathscr{E}|_{\varphi_1(y)}} \ = \ \Phi|_{\mathscr{E}|_{\varphi_2(y)}} \ \circ \ f_y \,, \qquad \forall\, y \in Y \,. \tag{2.6}$$

The set of equations (2.6) represents the obstruction for a homotopy between χ-bundles to be an isomorphism.

Example 2.3. Let $(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{C}, \Phi_n)$ be the χ-line bundle considered in Remark 2.1. Given a map $\varphi : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1$ one has that $\varphi^*(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{C}$ as complex vector bundles. However, the pullback automorphism $\varphi^*\Phi_n$ acts on each point $(\theta, \mathrm{v}) \in \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{C}$ as $\varphi^*\Phi_n(\theta, \mathrm{v}) = (\theta, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\, n\varphi(\theta)}\mathrm{v})$. Hence, if $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1$ are two distinct maps one has that $\varphi_1^*\Phi_n$ and $\varphi_2^*\Phi_n$ cannot be related by the equation (2.6), even in the case one assumes φ_1 and φ_2 homotopic. This is just because the underlying structure group $\mathbb{U}(1)$ is commutative. On the other side it seems natural to believe that all the relevant topology of the χ-line bundle $(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{C}, \Phi_n)$ has to be contained in the map $\theta \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\, n\theta}$, which is topologically characterized by its *winding number n*. In the case the map φ is homotopic to the identity map $\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ one has that also $\theta \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\, n\varphi(\theta)}$ is completely specified by the winding number n. Then, the two χ-line bundle $(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{C}, \Phi_n)$ and $\varphi^*(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{C}, \Phi_n)$ should be considered "topologically equivalent" even though they are not isomorphic in the strong sense of diagram (2.2). ◀

Example 2.3 suggests that it is necessary to relax the notion of equivalence of χ-bundles in (2.3) in order to have a classification theory which is preserved under homotopy equivalences.

Definition 2.4 (Equivalence of chiral vector bundles)**.** *Let $(\mathscr{E}_1, \Phi_1)$ and $(\mathscr{E}_2, \Phi_2)$ be two rank m chiral vector bundles over the same base space X. We say that $(\mathscr{E}_1, \Phi_1)$ and $(\mathscr{E}_2, \Phi_2)$ are* equivalent*, and we write $(\mathscr{E}_1, \Phi_1) \sim (\mathscr{E}_2, \Phi_2)$, if and only if there is a rank m chiral vector bundle $(\mathscr{E}, \Phi)$ over $X \times [0, 1]$ such that*

$$(\mathscr{E}_1, \Phi_1) \ \approx (\mathscr{E}|_{X\times\{0\}}, \Phi|_{X\times\{0\}}) \qquad \textit{and} \qquad (\mathscr{E}_2, \Phi_2) \ \approx (\mathscr{E}|_{X\times\{1\}}, \Phi|_{X\times\{1\}})$$

where $\approx$ stands for the isomorphism relation between χ-bundles established by the diagram (2.2).

From Definition 2.4 one immediately deduces that $\sim$ is an equivalence relation on the set of chiral vector bundles. This differs from the isomorphism relation $\approx$ and, in particular, it turns out to be *weaker*. Indeed, just by choosing $\mathscr{E} = \mathscr{E}_1 \times [0,1]$ and $\Phi = \Phi_1 \times \mathrm{Id}_{[0,1]}$, one can verify that $(\mathscr{E}_1, \Phi_1) \approx (\mathscr{E}_2, \Phi_2)$ implies $(\mathscr{E}_1, \Phi_1) \sim (\mathscr{E}_2, \Phi_2)$. The set of equivalence classes of rank m χ-bundles with respect to $\sim$ will be denoted by

$$\mathrm{Vec}^m_\chi(X) \;:=\; \Big\{\text{rank } m \text{ chiral vector bundles over } X\Big\}\Big/ \sim\;. \tag{2.7}$$

We will show in the rest of this work that $\mathrm{Vec}^m_\chi(X)$ admits a homotopic classification which can be characterized in term of proper characteristic classes, at least in low dimension.

Example 2.5. Let us consider two χ-line bundles $(\mathbb{S}^1\times\mathbb{C}, \Phi_i)$, $i = 1,2$, defined by $\Phi_i(\theta, \mathrm{v}) = (\theta, \mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,\phi_i(\theta)}\mathrm{v})$ with $\phi_1, \phi_2 : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{U}(1)$ two continuous maps. As showed in Example 2.3 the equality $\phi_1 = \phi_2$ is a necessary and sufficient condition for the isomorphism (in the strong sense of diagram (2.2)) between the two χ-line bundles. On the other side the equivalence relation of Definition 2.4 is less restrictive and requires only the homotopy equivalence between the maps ϕ_1 and ϕ_2. Indeed, let us assume the existence of a homotopy $\hat{\phi} : \mathbb{S}^1 \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{U}(1)$ such that $\hat{\phi}(\theta, 0) = \phi_1(\theta)$ and $\hat{\phi}(\theta, 1) = \phi_2(\theta)$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^1$. Then one can check that $(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{C}, \Phi_1) \sim (\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{C}, \Phi_2)$ just by looking at the χ-line bundle $(\mathbb{S}^1\times[0,1]\times\mathbb{C}, \Phi)$ over the base space $\mathbb{S}^1\times[0,1]$ defined by the automorphism $\Phi(\theta, t, \mathrm{v}) = (\theta, t, \mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,\hat{\phi}(\theta,t)}\mathrm{v})$. Summarizing, one has that

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Vec}}^1_\chi(\mathbb{S}^1) \;\simeq\; \mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{U}(1))\,, \qquad \mathrm{Vec}^1_\chi(\mathbb{S}^1) \;\simeq\; \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1) \;\simeq\; \mathbb{Z}\,, \qquad \mathrm{Vec}^1_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{S}^1) \;\simeq\; \pi_1(C\mathbb{P}^\infty) \;\simeq\; 0$$

and this clearly indicates that the equivalence relation $\sim$ introduced in Definition 2.4 provides a proper structure for a topological classification of chiral vector bundles. Conversely, the notion of *strong* isomorphism given by (2.2) results too strong (it distinguishes too much) while the notion of isomorphism in the complex category is too week (it does not distinguish anything). ◀

The following result extends the homotopy property of complex vector bundle [Hu, Chapter 3, Theorem 4.7] to the class of chiral vector bundles, the right notion of equivalence being the one introduced in Definition 2.4.

Proposition 2.6 (Homotopy property). *Let $(\mathscr{E}, \Phi)$ be a rank m chiral vector bundle over the base space X and $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : Y \to X$ two homotopic maps (Y is assumed to be paracompact). Then $\varphi_1^*(\mathscr{E}_1, \Phi_1) \sim \varphi_2^*(\mathscr{E}_2, \Phi_2)$ as chiral vector bundles over Y.*

Proof. Let $\hat{\varphi} : Y \times [0,1] \to X$ be the homotopy between φ_1 and φ_2 such that $\hat{\varphi}(y, 0) = \varphi_1(y)$ and $\hat{\varphi}(y,1) = \varphi_2(y)$ for all $y \in Y$. Consider the vector bundle $\pi' : \mathscr{E}' \to Y\times[0,1]$ with fibers $\pi'^{-1}(y,t) = \hat{\varphi}_t^*\mathscr{E}$ where we used the short notation $\hat{\varphi}_t := \hat{\varphi}(\cdot, t)$ for $t \in [0,1]$. Each point in $\mathscr{E}'$ is identified by a triplet (y, t, p) such that $\pi'(y,t,p) = (y,t)$ and $p \in \mathscr{E}|_{\hat{\varphi}_t(y)}$. We can endow $\mathscr{E}'$ with a chiral structure Φ' as follows: $\Phi'(y,t,p) = (y,t,\Phi(p))$. This is enough to show that $(\mathscr{E}|_{X\times\{0\}}, \Phi|_{X\times\{0\}}) \approx \varphi_1^*(\mathscr{E}, \Phi)$ and $(\mathscr{E}|_{X\times\{1\}}, \Phi|_{X\times\{1\}}) \approx \varphi_2^*(\mathscr{E}, \Phi)$. ■

3. Homotopy classification for chiral vector bundles

The celebrated *Brown's representability theorem* [Br], [Hat, Theorem 4E.1] may ensure abstractly (upon to a verification of few structural axioms) the existence of a classifying space $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$ for chiral vector bundles of rank m in the sense that

$$\mathrm{Vec}^m_\chi(X) \;\simeq\; \big[X, \mathbb{B}^m_\chi\big] \tag{3.1}$$

where on the left-hand side there is the set of equivalence classes of χ-bundles in the sense of (2.7) while on the right-hand side there is the set of homotopy classes of maps of the base space X into $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$. The aim of this section is to provide a concrete geometric model for $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$.

3.1. **Geometric model for the classifying space.** For each pair of integers $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$ we introduce the *Grassmann manifold*

$$G_m(\mathbb{C}^n) \simeq \mathbb{U}(n)/(\mathbb{U}(m) \times \mathbb{U}(n-m))$$

of m-dimensional (complex) subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Here $\mathbb{U}(n)$ indicates the unitary group acting on $\mathbb{C}^n$. Each $G_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ can be endowed with the structure of a finite CW-complex, making it into a closed (*i. e.* compact without boundary) manifold of (real) dimension $2m(n-m)$. The inclusions $\mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \subset \ldots$ given by $\mathrm{v} \mapsto (\mathrm{v}, 0)$ yield inclusions $G_m(\mathbb{C}^n) \subset G_m(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}) \subset \ldots$ and one can equip

$$G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty) \; := \; \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} G_m(\mathbb{C}^n) \;,$$

with the direct limit topology. The resulting space has the structure of an infinite CW-complex which is, in particular, paracompact and path-connected. The inclusions $\mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \subset \ldots$ also yield inclusions $\mathbb{U}(n) \subset \mathbb{U}(n+1) \subset \ldots$ and the space

$$\mathbb{U}(\infty) \; := \; \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{U}(n) \;,$$

endowed with the direct limit topology, is sometimes called *Palais unitary group* [Pa]. Elements in $\mathbb{U}(\infty)$ can be interpreted as infinite unitary matrices whose entries differ from the identity matrix in only finitely many places. A classical result by R. Bott states that $\pi_k(\mathbb{U}(\infty)) = 0$ if k is even and $\pi_k(\mathbb{U}(\infty)) = \mathbb{Z}$ if k is odd (*cf.* Appendix A).

Let us introduce the following family of sets parametrized by $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$:

$$\chi_m(\mathbb{C}^n) \; := \; \Big\{(\Sigma, u) \in \; G_m(\mathbb{C}^n) \times \mathbb{U}(n) \;\Big|\; u(\Sigma) = \Sigma\Big\} \,. \tag{3.2}$$

Each element in $\chi_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is a pair (Σ, u) given by a subspace $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ of complex dimension m and a unitary matrix $u \in \mathbb{U}(n)$ which preserves Σ. The inclusions $\mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \subset \ldots$ also yield the obvious inclusions $\chi_m(\mathbb{C}^n) \subset \chi_m(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}) \subset \ldots$ and this suggests the following

Definition 3.1 (Classifying space for χ-bundles)**.** *For each pair of integers* $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$ *let* $\chi_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ *be the space given by* (3.2)*. The space*

$$\mathbb{B}^m_\chi \; := \; \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} \chi_m(\mathbb{C}^n) \;,$$

equipped with the direct limit topology, will be called the classifying space *for chiral vector bundles.*

In order to justify this name we need to prove that this space $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$ really provides a model for the realization of the isomorphism (3.1).

3.2. **The chiral universal vector bundle.** Each manifold $G_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is the base space of a canonical rank m complex vector bundle $\mathscr{T}^n_m \to G_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ with total space $\mathscr{T}^n_m$ given by all pairs (Σ, v) with $\Sigma \in G_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and v a vector in Σ. The bundle projection is defined by the natural restriction $(\Sigma, \mathrm{v}) \mapsto \Sigma$. Now, when n tends to infinity, the same construction leads to the *tautological m-plane bundle* $\mathscr{T}^\infty_m \to G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty)$. This vector bundle is the universal object which classifies complex vector bundles in the sense that any rank m complex vector bundle $\mathscr{E} \to X$ can be realized, up to isomorphisms, as the pullback of $\mathscr{T}^\infty_m$ with respect to a *classifying map* $\varphi : X \to G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty)$, that is $\mathscr{E} \simeq \varphi^* \mathscr{T}^\infty_m$. Since pullbacks of homotopic maps yield isomorphic complex vector bundles (*homotopy property*), the classification of $\mathscr{E}$ only depends on the homotopy class of φ. This leads to the fundamental result

$$\mathrm{Vec}^m_{\mathbb{C}}(X) \; \simeq \; [X, G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty)] \tag{3.3}$$

where in the right-hand side there is the set of the equivalence classes of homotopic maps of X into $G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty)$ [At, MS, Hu].

Based on the definition (3.2) one can consider the composition

$$\chi_m(\mathbb{C}^n) \xrightarrow{\imath} G_m(\mathbb{C}^n) \times \mathbb{U}(n) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_1} G_m(\mathbb{C}^n) \tag{3.4}$$

where $\imath$ is the inclusion given by $\chi_m(\mathbb{C}^n) \subset G_m(\mathbb{C}^n) \times \mathbb{U}(n)$ and pr_1 is the first factor projection. The pullback ${}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^n_m := (\mathrm{pr}_1 \circ \imath)^* \mathscr{T}^n_m$ defines a rank m vector bundle over $\chi_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ that can be endowed with a natural automorphism which can be easily constructed after a close inspection to the structure of the total space

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^n_m \ &:= \ \Big\{\big((\Sigma, u), (\Sigma, \mathrm{v})\big) \in \chi_m(\mathbb{C}^n) \times \mathscr{T}^n_m \ \Big|\ u(\Sigma) = \Sigma, \ \ \mathrm{v} \in \Sigma\Big\} \\ &\simeq \ \Big\{(\Sigma, u, \mathrm{v}) \in G_m(\mathbb{C}^n) \times \mathbb{U}(n) \times \mathbb{C}^n \ \Big|\ u(\Sigma) = \Sigma, \ \ \mathrm{v} \in \Sigma\Big\} \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

of the vector bundle $\pi : {}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^n_m \to \chi_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$. The second representation provides two important information: first of all the bundle projection π can be equivalently described as $\pi(\Sigma, u, \mathrm{v}) = (\Sigma, u)$; second the vector bundle ${}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^n_m$ is identifiable with a vector subbundle of the product vector bundle $(G_m(\mathbb{C}^n) \times \mathbb{U}(n)) \times \mathbb{C}^m$. On this product bundle, the usual action of $\mathbb{U}(n)$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$ defines the automorphism

$$\Phi^n_m \ : \ (\Sigma, u, \mathrm{v}) \ \longmapsto \ (\Sigma, u, u \cdot \mathrm{v}) \ , \qquad\qquad \forall\, (\Sigma, u, \mathrm{v}) \ \in \ \big(G_m(\mathbb{C}^n) \times \mathbb{U}(n)\big) \times \mathbb{C}^m \ . \tag{3.6}$$

By construction this automorphism preserves the fibers of ${}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^n_m$. Hence, with a slight abuse of notation, equation (3.6) defines an element $\Phi^n_m \in \mathrm{Aut}({}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^n_m)$.

Under the inclusions $\mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \subset \ldots$ given by $\mathrm{v} \mapsto (\mathrm{v}, 0)$ one has the related inclusions ${}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^n_m \subset {}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^{n+1}_m \subset \ldots$ which are compatible with the bundle projections and the automorphisms Φ^n_m. The natural generalization of the idea of a universal vector bundle for χ-bundles can be described as follows:

Definition 3.2 (Universal χ-bundle)**.** *For each pair of integers* $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$ *let* $\pi : {}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^n_m \to \chi_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ *be the rank m complex vector bundle* (3.5) *endowed with the automorphism* $\Phi^n_m \in \mathrm{Aut}({}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^n_m)$ *defined by* (3.6)*. The space*

$$ {}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^\infty_m \ := \ \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} {}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^n_m \ , $$

equipped with the direct limit topology, provides the total space for a rank m complex vector bundle $\pi : {}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^\infty_m \to \mathbb{B}^m_\chi$ *over the classifying space introduced in Definition 3.1. Moreover, the map* Φ^∞_m *defined by* $\Phi^\infty_m|_{{}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^n_m} = \Phi^n_m$ *provides an automorphism* $\Phi^\infty_m \in \mathrm{Aut}({}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^\infty_m)$*. The pair* $({}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^\infty_m, \Phi^\infty_m)$ *has the structure of a rank m chiral vector bundle and it will be called the* universal *χ-bundle.*

Notice that $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$ is a subspace of $G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty) \times \mathbb{U}(\infty)$ and ${}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^\infty_m$ can be identified as the pullback of the universal bundle $\mathscr{T}^\infty_m$ for complex vector bundles under the mappings

$$\mathbb{B}^m_\chi \xrightarrow{\imath} G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty) \times \mathbb{U}(\infty) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_1} G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty) \ . \tag{3.7}$$

3.3. **The homotopy classification.**

The main aim of this section is the proof of the following result:

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. Every rank m chiral vector bundle* $(\mathscr{E}, \Phi)$ *over X admits a map* $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{B}^m_\chi$ *such that* $(\mathscr{E}, \Phi)$ *is isomorphic (in the sense of the relation* $\approx$ *given in diagram* (2.2)*) to the pullback* $\varphi^*({}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^\infty_m, \Phi^\infty_m) := (\varphi^*\, {}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^\infty_m, \varphi^*\Phi^\infty_m)$ *of the universal χ-bundle.*

Before proving this result, we first present the main consequence of Theorem 3.3.

Corollary 3.4 (Homotopy classification)**.** *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. Then there is a natural bijection*

$$\mathrm{Vec}^m_\chi(X) \ \simeq \ \big[X, \mathbb{B}^m_\chi\big]$$

where on the left-hand side there is the set of equivalence classes of χ-bundles in the sense of (2.7) *while on the right-hand side there is the set of homotopy classes of maps of the base space X into the classifying space* $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$ *described in Definition 3.1.*

Proof. Proposition 2.6 says that the map $\kappa : [X, \mathbb{B}^m_\chi] \to \mathrm{Vec}^m_\chi(X)$ which associates to each homotopy class $[\varphi]$ the equivalence class $[\varphi^*({}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^{\infty}_m, \Phi^{\infty}_m)]$ of χ-bundles is well-defined and Theorem 3.3 implies that κ is surjective. The injectivity of κ is a consequence of Definition 2.4 for the equivalence of χ-bundles. Let $(\mathscr{E}_i, \Phi_i) := \varphi_i^*({}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^{\infty}_m, \Phi^{\infty}_m)$, $i = 1, 2$ be two χ-bundles obtained by pullbacking with respect to the maps $\varphi_i : X \to \mathbb{B}^m_\chi$ and assume that $(\mathscr{E}_1, \Phi_1) \sim (\mathscr{E}_2, \Phi_2)$. This implies the existence of a χ-bundle $(\mathscr{E}, \Phi)$ over $X \times [0, 1]$ such that $(\mathscr{E}_1, \Phi_1) \approx (\mathscr{E}|_{X\times\{0\}}, \Phi|_{X\times\{0\}})$ and $(\mathscr{E}_2, \Phi_2) \approx (\mathscr{E}|_{X\times\{1\}}, \Phi|_{X\times\{1\}})$. Theorem 3.3 assures that $(\mathscr{E}, \Phi)$ can be identified, up to an isomorphism, with $\hat{\varphi}^*({}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^{\infty}_m, \Phi^{\infty}_m)$ for some map $\hat{\varphi} : X \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{B}^m_\chi$. The isomorphisms $\varphi_1^*({}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^{\infty}_m, \Phi^{\infty}_m) \approx \hat{\varphi}_0^*({}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^{\infty}_m, \Phi^{\infty}_m)$ and $\varphi_2^*({}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^{\infty}_m, \Phi^{\infty}_m) \approx \hat{\varphi}_1^*({}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^{\infty}_m, \Phi^{\infty}_m)$ and the defintion of pullback imply that $\varphi_1 = \hat{\varphi}_0 := \hat{\varphi}(\cdot, 0)$ and $\varphi_2 = \hat{\varphi}_1 := \hat{\varphi}(\cdot, 1)$, namely $\hat{\varphi}$ is an homotopy between φ_1 and φ_2. Hence, φ_1 and φ_2 define the same class in $[X, \mathbb{B}^m_\chi]$ and κ turns out to be injective. ■

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Let X be compact and Hausdorff and $(\mathscr{E}, \Phi)$ a χ-bundle over X. Then, there is a positive integer n and a map $\psi : X \to G_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ such that $\mathscr{E}' := \psi^* \mathscr{T}^n_m$ is isomorphic to the vector bundle $\mathscr{E}$ (see *e. g.* [Hu, Chapter 3, Proposition 5.8]). Let $f : \mathscr{E} \to \mathscr{E}'$ be such an isomorphism and consider the automorphism $\Phi' \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathscr{E}')$ defined by $\Phi' := f \circ \Phi \circ f^{-1}$. By construction

$$\mathscr{E}'|_x \;:=\; \Big\{(x, (\Sigma, \mathrm{v})) \in X \times \mathscr{T}^n_m \;\Big|\; \psi(x) = \Sigma,\;\; \mathrm{v} \in \Sigma\Big\} \;\simeq\; \Sigma\;.$$

This shows that $\mathscr{E}'$ is a vector subbundle of the product bundle $X \times \mathbb{C}^n$ and one has the direct sum decomposition $\mathscr{E}' \oplus \mathscr{E}'' = X \times \mathbb{C}^n$ for some complement vector bundle $\mathscr{E}'' \to X$. Let $\mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{E}''}$ be the identity map on $\mathscr{E}''$ and consider the automorphism of $X \times \mathbb{C}^n$ induced by $\Phi' \oplus \mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{E}''}$. This implies the existence of a map $g : X \to \mathbb{U}(n)$ such that

$$\Phi' \oplus \mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{E}''} \;:\; (x, \mathrm{v}) \;\longmapsto\; (x, g(x) \cdot \mathrm{v})\;, \qquad\qquad \forall\, (x, \mathrm{v}) \in X \times \mathbb{C}^n\;.$$

The image of the map $(\psi, g) : X \to G_m(\mathbb{C}^n) \times \mathbb{U}(n)$ is contained in $\chi_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ since $\psi(x) = \Sigma \simeq \mathscr{E}'|_x$ and $g(x)(\mathscr{E}'|_x) = \mathscr{E}'|_x$ for all $x \in X$. Hence, the following map is well-defined:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \;:\; X \quad &\longrightarrow \quad \chi_m(\mathbb{C}^n) \\ x \quad &\longmapsto \quad (\psi(x), g(x))\;. \end{aligned}$$

By construction

$$\varphi^*\, {}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^n_m|_x \;:=\; \Big\{\big(x, (\Sigma, u, \mathrm{v})\big) \in X \times {}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^n_m \;\Big|\; \psi(x) = \Sigma,\;\; g(x) = u,\;\; u(\Sigma) = \Sigma,\;\; \mathrm{v} \in \Sigma\Big\} \;\simeq\; \Sigma \tag{3.8}$$

which proves the isomorphism $\varphi^*\, {}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^n_m \simeq \mathscr{E}'$ of complex vector bundles. Moreover,

$$\varphi^*\Phi^n_m \;:\; \big(x, (\Sigma, u, \mathrm{v})\big) \;\longmapsto\; \big(x, \Phi^n_m(\Sigma, u, \mathrm{v})\big) \;=\; \big(x, (\Sigma, u, u \cdot \mathrm{v})\big)$$

by definition, hence $\varphi^*\Phi^n_m$ agrees with Φ' under the isomorphism (3.8). Therefore, $\varphi^*({}^{\chi}\mathscr{T}^n_m, \Phi^n_m) \approx (\mathscr{E}, \Phi)$. To conclude the proof it is enough to recall that $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$ is defined as the direct limit of $\chi_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$. ■

Remark 3.5. The condition of compactness in the statement of Theorem 3.3, and consequently also in Corollary 3.4, can be relaxed by requiring only *paracompactness*. In this case the proof follows along the same lines apart for the fact that n has to be replaced by ∞ as suggested in the analogous argument of [Hu, Chapter 3, Theorem 5.5]. However, we will not need this kind of generalization in the following. ◀

4. TOPOLOGY OF THE CLASSIFYING SPACE

In this section we investigate the topology of the classifying space $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$ by computing its homotopy and cohomology. These results are strongly based on the fact that $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$ can be identify with the total space of a fibration

$$\mathbb{U}(m) \;\longrightarrow\; \mathbb{B}^m_\chi \;\xrightarrow{\pi}\; G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty) \tag{4.1}$$

where the fiber projection $\pi = \mathrm{pr}_1 \circ \imath$ is defined in (3.7). The proof of this technical but important fact is postponed in Appendix B.

4.1. **Homotopy of the classifying space.**

We start with the case $m = 1$. In this situation we can use the identification

$$\mathbb{B}^1_\chi \;\simeq\; \mathbb{C}P^\infty \;\times\; \mathbb{U}(1) \tag{4.2}$$

proved in Corollary B.3 in order to compute the complete set of homotopy groups of $\mathbb{B}^1_\chi$.

Proposition 4.1.

$$\pi_k(\mathbb{B}^1_\chi) \;\simeq\; \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & k = 1,2 \\ 0 & k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\;, \quad k \neq 1,2\;. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The above identification leads to

$$\pi_k(\mathbb{B}^1_\chi) \;\simeq\; \pi_k(\mathbb{C}P^\infty) \;\oplus\; \pi_k(\mathbb{U}(1))\;.$$

The proof is completed by $\pi_k(\mathbb{U}(1)) \simeq \delta_{k,1}\mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi_k(\mathbb{C}P^\infty) \simeq \delta_{k,2}\mathbb{Z}$ [Hat, Chapter 4, Example 4.50]. ■

The general case $m > 1$ can be studied by considering the fiber sequence (4.1) which induces a long exact sequence of homotopy groups

$$\ldots\; \pi_k(\mathbb{U}(m)) \;\longrightarrow\; \pi_k(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi) \;\xrightarrow{\pi_*}\; \pi_k(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty)) \;\longrightarrow\; \pi_{k-1}(\mathbb{U}(m)) \;\ldots \tag{4.3}$$

Theorem 4.2. *For all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there are isomorphisms of groups*

$$\pi_k(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi) \;\simeq\; \begin{cases} \pi_k(\mathbb{U}(m)) \;\oplus\; \pi_{k-1}(\mathbb{U}(m)) & k \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 & k = 0\;. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof of the fiber sequence 4.1 is based on the identification of $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$ with the total space $\mathrm{Ad}(\mathscr{S}^\infty_m)$ of an adjoint bundle (*cf.* Proposition B.1). Sections of the adjoint bundle $\pi : \mathrm{Ad}(\mathscr{S}^\infty_m) \to G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with automorphisms of the principal $\mathbb{U}(m)$-bundle $\mathscr{S}^\infty_m \to G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty)$ [Hu, Chapter 7, Section 1]. Therefore, the identity automorphism $\mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{S}^\infty_m}$ identifies a section $\mathfrak{s} : G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty) \to \mathrm{Ad}(\mathscr{S}^\infty_m) \simeq \mathbb{B}^m_\chi$. The existence of this section induces a homomorphism $\mathfrak{s}_* : \pi_k(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty)) \to \pi_k(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi)$ such that $\pi_* \circ \mathfrak{s}_* = \mathrm{Id}_{\pi_k(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty))}$. It follows that π_* is surjective so that the long exact sequence (4.3) splits into several short exact sequences

$$0 \;\longrightarrow\; \pi_k(\mathbb{U}(m)) \;\longrightarrow\; \pi_k(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi) \;\underset{\mathfrak{s}_*}{\overset{\pi_*}{\rightleftarrows}}\; \pi_k(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty)) \;\longrightarrow\; 0 \tag{4.4}$$

which are still splitting. Hence, $\pi_k(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi) \simeq \pi_k(\mathbb{U}(m)) \oplus \pi_k(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty))$ and the result follows by a comparison with (A.5). Finally $\pi_0(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi) = 0$ is equivalent to the connectedness of $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$. ■

A comparison with the values in Table A.1 provides an explicit determination of the low dimensional homotopy groups of $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$:

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi) \;\simeq\; \pi_2(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi) \;\simeq\; \mathbb{Z}\;, \qquad \forall\, m \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$\pi_3(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi) \;\simeq\; \begin{cases} 0 & m = 1 \\ \mathbb{Z} & m \geqslant 2\;, \end{cases} \qquad \pi_4(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi) \;\simeq\; \begin{cases} 0 & m = 1 \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z} & m = 2 \\ \mathbb{Z} & m \geqslant 3\;. \end{cases}$$

The following result will be relevant in the sequel.

Proposition 4.3. *The action of $\pi_1(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi)$ on $\pi_k(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi)$ is trivial for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular one has the isomorphisms*

$$\pi_k(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi) \;\simeq\; [\mathbb{S}^k, \mathbb{B}^m_\chi]\;, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

which allow to neglect the role of base points in the computation of the homotopy groups.

Proof. The split exact sequence (4.4) suggests that the action of $\pi_1(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi) \simeq \pi_1(\mathbb{U}(m)) \oplus 0$ on $\pi_k(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi) \simeq \pi_k(\mathbb{U}(m)) \oplus \pi_k(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty))$ reduces just to the action of $\pi_1(\mathbb{U}(m))$ on $\pi_k(\mathbb{U}(m))$. The latter is trivial due to Lemma A.1. The last part of the claim is a consequence of the general isomorphism

$$[\mathbb{S}^k, X] \;\simeq\; \pi_k(X)/\pi_1(X)\;, \qquad\qquad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

which is valid for any path-connected space X [BT, Proposition 17.6.1]. ■

4.2. **Cohomology of the classifying space.** By construction $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$ is a subset of $G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty) \times \mathbb{U}(\infty)$ with an inclusion map $\imath$ as in (3.7). We also know from (4.1) that $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$ is identifiable with the total space of a fiber bundle over $G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty)$ with bundle map π and fiber $\mathbb{U}(m)$. Moreover, the inclusion $\imath$ and the projection π are compatible as shown by the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{B}^m_\chi \arrow[rr, "\imath"] \arrow[dr, "\pi"'] & & G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty) \times \mathbb{U}(\infty) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{pr}_2"] \arrow[dl, "\mathrm{pr}_1"] & \mathbb{U}(\infty) \\
& G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty) & &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.5}$$

where pr_1 and pr_2 are the first and second component projection, respectively (*cf.* Appendix B). These facts are basic for the proof of the next result.

Theorem 4.4. *For all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the cohomology ring of the classifying space $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$*

$$H^\bullet(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi, \mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; \left(\mathbb{Z}\left[\mathfrak{c}^\chi_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{c}^\chi_m\right]\right) \otimes_\mathbb{Z} \left(\bigwedge\nolimits_\mathbb{Z} \left[\mathfrak{w}^\chi_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{w}^\chi_m\right]\right)\;, \qquad \begin{cases} \mathfrak{c}^\chi_k \in H^{2k}(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi, \mathbb{Z}) \\ \mathfrak{w}^\chi_k \in H^{2k-1}(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi, \mathbb{Z}) \end{cases} \tag{4.6}$$

is the tensor product of an integer coefficient polynomial ring in m even-degree free generators $\mathfrak{c}^\chi_k$ and an exterior algebra generated by m odd-degree classes $\mathfrak{w}^\chi_k$. The even-degree generators $\mathfrak{c}^\chi_k := (\mathrm{pr}_1 \circ \imath)^* \mathfrak{c}_k$ are the pullbacks of the universal Chern classes $\mathfrak{c}_k$ which generate the cohomology ring $H^\bullet(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty), \mathbb{Z})$. Similarly, the odd-degree generators $\mathfrak{w}^\chi_k := (\mathrm{pr}_2 \circ \imath)^* \mathfrak{w}_k$ are the pullbacks of the universal odd Chern classes $\mathfrak{w}_k$ which generate $H^\bullet(\mathbb{U}(\infty), \mathbb{Z})$ (cf. Appendix A).

Our proof of Theorem 4.4 requires the application of the *Leray-Serre spectral sequences* associated to the fibrations π and pr_1. For more details on this technique we refer to [DG3, Appendix D] and references therein. Since $\imath$ is a fiber bundle map, we have also an induced map $\imath^*$ between the spectral sequences. For the E_2-pages of these spectral sequences this map reads

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_2^{p,q}(\mathrm{pr}_1) = H^p\big(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty), H^q(\mathbb{U}(\infty), \mathbb{Z})\big) \;\simeq\; H^p(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty), \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_\mathbb{Z} H^q(\mathbb{U}(\infty), \mathbb{Z}) \arrow[d, "\imath^*"] \\
E_2^{p,q}(\pi) = H^p\big(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty), H^q(\mathbb{U}(m), \mathbb{Z})\big) \;\simeq\; H^p(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty), \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_\mathbb{Z} H^q(\mathbb{U}(m), \mathbb{Z})\;.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.7}$$

The last two isomorphisms are a consequence of $\pi_1(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty)) = 0$ along with the fact that the groups $H^q(\mathbb{U}(m), \mathbb{Z})$ are free (also for $m = \infty$). This implies that the effect of any local system of coefficients on the cohomology can be factorized with a tensor product (see *e. g.* [DK, Section 5.2]). For proving Theorem 4.4 we need to anticipate a technical result.

Lemma 4.5. *For all $p, q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ the map $\imath^* : E_2^{p,q}(\mathrm{pr}_1) \to E_2^{p,q}(\pi)$ is surjective.*

Proof. It is enough to show that at each point $\Sigma \in G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty)$ the fiberwise restriction

$$\imath|_\Sigma \;:\; \mathbb{B}^\infty_\chi|_\Sigma \;\simeq\; \mathbb{U}(m) \;\longrightarrow\; \{\Sigma\} \times \mathbb{U}(\infty) \;\simeq\; \mathbb{U}(\infty)$$

induces a surjection $\imath|_\Sigma^*$ from $H^\bullet(\mathbb{U}(\infty), \mathbb{Z})$ onto $H^\bullet(\mathbb{U}(m), \mathbb{Z})$ (see *e. g.* [FFG, Section 21]). From its very definition it is clear that $\imath|_\Sigma$ agrees with the standard inclusion $\mathbb{U}(m) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{U}(\infty)$, hence its pullback induces the surjection in cohomology. The later is determined in terms of generators by the relations $\imath|_\Sigma^*(\mathfrak{w}_k) = \mathfrak{w}_k$ if $k = 1, \ldots, m$ and $\imath|_\Sigma^*(\mathfrak{w}_k) = 0$ for $k > m$ (*cf.* Appendix A). ■

Proof of Theorem 4.4. Since $\mathrm{pr}_1 : G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty) \times \mathbb{U}(\infty) \to G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty)$ is a product bundle the associated spectral sequence degenerates at the E_2-page, *i. e.* all the differentials δ_r are trivial for $r \geqslant 2$. This implies that $E_2^{p,q}(\mathrm{pr}_1) = E_3^{p,q}(\mathrm{pr}_1) = \ldots = E_\infty^{p,q}(\mathrm{pr}_1)$. By Lemma 4.5 the maps $\imath^* : E_2^{p,q}(\mathrm{pr}_1) \to E_2^{p,q}(\pi)$ are surjective. In particular all the non-trivial generators $\mathfrak{c}_k \otimes \mathfrak{w}_j \in E_2^{2k,2j-1}(\pi)$ come from the related generators $\mathfrak{c}_k \otimes \mathfrak{w}_j$ in $E_2^{2k,2j-1}(\mathrm{pr}_1)$. Hence, the triviality of the differentials $\delta_r : E_r^{p,q}(\mathrm{pr}_1) \to E_r^{p+r,q-r+1}(\mathrm{pr}_1)$ implies the triviality of the differentials $\delta_r : E_r^{p,q}(\pi) \to E_r^{p+r,q-r+1}(\pi)$. Because of that, one gets $E_2^{p,q}(\pi) = E_\infty^{p,q}(\pi)$. In order to recover the cohomology of $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$ from $E_\infty^{p,q}(\pi)$ one has to solve the extension problems. However, in the present case all the abelian groups $E_\infty^{p,q}(\pi)$ are free, so that all the extensions are split. As a result, one has the following isomorphism of abelian groups:

$$H^k(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi, \mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; \bigoplus_{p+q=k} E_\infty^{p,q}(\pi) \;\simeq\; H^k\Big(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty) \times \mathbb{U}(m), \mathbb{Z}\Big)\,.$$

where the last isomorphism is a consequence of the Künneth formula for cohomology. This isomorphism gives rise to a ring isomorphism. Indeed, as a consequence of Lemma 4.5 we can infer that the ring map

$$\imath^* \;:\; H^\bullet\Big(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty) \times \mathbb{U}(\infty), \mathbb{Z}\Big) \;\longrightarrow\; H^\bullet(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi, \mathbb{Z})$$

is surjective and acts on the generators of $H^\bullet(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty) \times \mathbb{U}(\infty), \mathbb{Z})$ as follows: $\imath^*(\mathfrak{c}_k) = \mathfrak{c}_k$ and $\imath^*(\mathfrak{w}_k) = \mathfrak{w}_k$ for all $k = 1, \ldots, m$ and $\imath^*(\mathfrak{w}_k) = 0$ for $k > m$. ■

Remark 4.6 (The winding number)**.** Let us give a closer look at the generator $\mathfrak{w}_1^\chi$ of $H^1(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. We recall that the first integral cohomology group of a space X has the realization $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq [X, \mathbb{U}(1)]$. This fact is discussed in [Hat, Section 3.1, Exercise 13] but the reader can also prove it by applying the technique described in Section 5.4. Due to Theorem 4.4 we know that the generator $\mathfrak{w}_1^\chi$ is the pullback with respect to the map $\mathrm{pr}_2 \circ \imath$ of the first universal odd Chern classes $\mathfrak{w}_1$ which generates $H^1(\mathbb{U}(\infty), \mathbb{Z})$ (*cf.* Appendix A). This generator is identifiable with the class $[\det] \in [\mathbb{U}(\infty), \mathbb{U}(1)]$ associated to the "limit" determinant map $\det : \mathbb{U}(\infty) \to \mathbb{U}(1)$. Thus the composition

$$\begin{aligned} \det \circ \mathrm{pr}_2 \circ \imath \;:\; \mathbb{B}^m_\chi \quad &\longrightarrow \quad \mathbb{U}(1) \\ (\Sigma, u) \quad &\longmapsto \quad \det(u) \end{aligned}$$

provides a representative for the generator $[\det \circ \mathrm{pr}_2 \circ \imath] \in [\mathbb{B}^m_\chi, \mathbb{U}(1)] \simeq H^1(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi, \mathbb{Z})$. Finally, the *winding number* of this map provides the identification with $\mathbb{Z}$. ◄

5. CLASSIFICATION OF CHIRAL VECTOR BUNDLES

In this section we will combine the knowledge of the topology of the classifying space $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$ developed in Section 4 with the criterion of the homotopy classification proved in Corollary 3.4 in order to define the appropriate family of *characteristic classes* that classify chiral vector bundles. In effect, we will show that these classes provide a suitable classification scheme in the case that the base space X is a CW-complex of low dimension $d \leqslant 4$. The special cases of $X = \mathbb{S}^d$ and $X = \mathbb{T}^d$ will be discussed in detail.

5.1. **Characteristic classes.**

Usually one uses the cohomology of the classifying space of a given category of vector (or principal) bundles to define the associated characteristic classes by pullback with respect to the classifying maps. We apply the same strategy here to define the characteristic classes for chiral vector bundles.

Definition 5.1 (Chiral characteristic classes)**.** *Let* $(\mathscr{E}, \Phi)$ *be a rank m chiral vector bundle over X and* $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{B}^m_\chi$ *a representative for the classifying map which classifies* $[(\mathscr{E}, \Phi)]$. *We define the k-th* even chiral *class of* $(\mathscr{E}, \Phi)$ *to be*

$$c_k(\mathscr{E}, \Phi) \;:=\; \varphi^* \mathfrak{c}_k^\chi \;\in\; H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{Z})\,, \qquad\qquad k = 1, \ldots, m\,.$$

In much the same way, we refer to

$$w_k(\mathscr{E},\Phi) \ := \ \varphi^*\mathfrak{w}_k^{\chi} \ \in \ H^{2k-1}(X,\mathbb{Z})\ , \qquad\qquad k=1,\ldots,m\ .$$

as the k-th odd chiral *class of* $(\mathscr{E},\Phi)$.

Proposition 5.2. *The* even chiral *classes* $c_k(\mathscr{E},\Phi)$ *of the chiral vector bundle* $(\mathscr{E},\Phi)$ *coincide with the Chern classes* $c_k(\mathscr{E})$ *of the underlying complex vector bundle* $\mathscr{E}$.

Proof. As is shown in the proof of Theorem 3.3, if the chiral vector bundle $(\mathscr{E},\Phi)$ is classified by a map $\varphi : X\to \mathbb{B}^m_\chi$, then the map $\psi : X\to G_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ (for some n big enough), given by $\psi := (\mathrm{pr}_1\circ\iota)\circ\varphi$ classifies $\mathscr{E}$ as complex vector bundle. Now, by the very definition of the chiral Chern class, $c_k(E,\Phi) = \varphi^*\mathfrak{c}_k^{\chi} = \psi^*\mathfrak{c}_k = c_k(E)$. ■

Remark 5.3 (Simplified nomenclature)**.** We can take advantage from the previous proposition to simplify the nomenclature concerning the characteristic classes for chiral vector bundles. Indeed, the equality $c_k(\mathscr{E},\Phi) = c_k(\mathscr{E})$ shows that we can properly refer to the even chiral classes simply as Chern classes. In this way we can reserve the name *chiral classes* only for the odd classes $w_k(\mathscr{E},\Phi)$. This choice of names presents the advantage to make clear that the extra topological information induced on the vector bundle $\mathscr{E}$ by a chiral structure Φ is totally encoded in the odd classes $w_k(\mathscr{E},\Phi)$. ◀

The chiral classes posses a kind of additive behavior induced by the composition of automorphisms.

Proposition 5.4. *Let* $(\mathscr{E},\Phi_1)$, $(\mathscr{E},\Phi_2)$ *and* $(\mathscr{E},\Phi_1\circ\Phi_2)$ *be three chiral vector bundles which share the same underlying complex vector bundle* $\mathscr{E}\to X$. *Then, the related chiral classes obey*

$$w_k(\mathscr{E},\Phi_1\circ\Phi_2) \ = \ w_k(\mathscr{E},\Phi_1) \ + \ w_k(\mathscr{E},\Phi_2)\ . \tag{5.1}$$

The above relations are completed by

$$w_k(\mathscr{E},\mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{E}}) \ = \ 0\ . \tag{5.2}$$

Proof. Let $\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\varphi : X\to\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$ be the classifying maps for $(\mathscr{E},\Phi_1)$, $(\mathscr{E},\Phi_2)$ and $(\mathscr{E},\Phi_1\circ\Phi_2)$ respectively. From the very definition of the classifying maps one can verify that $(\mathrm{pr}_2\circ\iota)\circ\varphi : X\to\mathbb{U}(\infty)$ agrees with the pointwise product of the maps $(\mathrm{pr}_2\circ\iota)\circ\varphi_i : X\to\mathbb{U}(\infty)$, $i=1,2$. Therefore, one has

$$\begin{aligned} w_k(\mathscr{E},\Phi_1\circ\Phi_2) \ &= \ \varphi^*\circ(\mathrm{pr}_2\circ\iota)^*\mathfrak{w}_k \ = \ (\varphi_1\varphi_2)^*\circ(\mathrm{pr}_2\circ\iota)^*\mathfrak{w}_k \\ &= \ \varphi_1^*\circ(\mathrm{pr}_2\circ\iota)^*\mathfrak{w}_k \ + \ \varphi_2^*\circ(\mathrm{pr}_2\circ\iota)^*\mathfrak{w}_k \ = \ w_k(\mathscr{E},\Phi_1) \ + \ w_k(\mathscr{E},\Phi_2)\ . \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we can classify $(\mathscr{E},\mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{E}})$ by a map $\varphi : X\to\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$ such that $(\mathrm{pr}_2\circ\iota)\circ\varphi = \mathbb{1}$. This immediately leads to (5.2). ■

5.2. **The Picard group of chiral line bundles.** Given two rank m chiral vector bundles $(\mathscr{E}_1,\Phi_1)$, $(\mathscr{E}_2,\Phi_2)$ over X we can define their tensor product $(\mathscr{E}_1,\Phi_1)\otimes(\mathscr{E}_2,\Phi_2) := (\mathscr{E}_1\otimes\mathscr{E}_2,\Phi_1\otimes\Phi_2)$ as the rank m^2 chiral vector bundle with underlying complex vector bundle $\pi : \mathscr{E}_1\otimes\mathscr{E}_2\to X$ given by the tensor product of $\pi_1 : \mathscr{E}_1\to X$ and $\pi_2 : \mathscr{E}_2\to X$ (in the sense of [Hu, Chapter 6, Section 6]) and automorphism $\Phi_1\otimes\Phi_2\in\mathrm{Aut}(\mathscr{E}_1\otimes\mathscr{E}_2)$ defined by $\Phi_1\otimes\Phi_2(p_1\otimes p_2) := \Phi_1(p_1)\otimes\Phi_2(p_2)$ for all $p_1\in\mathscr{E}_1$ and $p_2\in\mathscr{E}_2$ such that $\pi_1(p_1)=\pi_2(p_2)$. Just by considering tensor product homotopies of chiral vector bundles one can easily show that the operation $\otimes$ is compatible with the notion of equivalence in Definition 2.4. In particular, one has that

$$\otimes \ : \ \mathrm{Vec}^1_\chi(X) \ \times \ \mathrm{Vec}^1_\chi(X) \ \longrightarrow \ \mathrm{Vec}^1_\chi(X)$$

endows $\mathrm{Vec}^1_\chi(X)$ with an abelian group structure. According to a standard terminology, we refer to $\mathrm{Vec}^1_\chi(X)$ as the *chiral Picard group*.

Proposition 5.5 (Classification of chiral line bundles)**.** *There is a group isomorphism*

$$(w_1,c_1) \ : \ \mathrm{Vec}^1_\chi(X) \ \longrightarrow \ H^1(X,\mathbb{Z}) \ \oplus \ H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$$

induced by the first chiral class w_1 *and the first Chern class* c_1.

Proof. As a consequence of the identification (4.2) one has

$$\mathrm{Vec}^1_\chi(X) \;\simeq\; [X, \mathbb{B}^1_\chi] \;\simeq\; [X, \mathbb{U}(1)] \;\times\; [X, \mathbb{C}P^\infty]\;.$$

By combining Remark 4.6 and Proposition 5.4 one can show that w_1 sets the group isomorphism $[X, \mathbb{U}(1)] \simeq H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$. The proof is completed by recalling the well-known group isomorphism $[X, \mathbb{C}P^\infty] \simeq \mathrm{Vec}^1_{\mathbb{C}}(X) \simeq H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ induced by c_1 (*cf.* [DG1, Section 3.2] and references therein). ■

When we apply the above result to spheres of dimension d we get the following isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 \;&: \mathrm{Vec}^1_\chi(\mathbb{S}^1) \;\longrightarrow\; H^1(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; \mathbb{Z} \\ c_1 \;&: \mathrm{Vec}^1_\chi(\mathbb{S}^2) \;\longrightarrow\; H^2(\mathbb{S}^2, \mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; \mathbb{Z} \\ &\mathrm{Vec}^1_\chi(\mathbb{S}^d) \;\simeq\; 0 \qquad \text{if} \quad d \geqslant 3\;. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Instead, for tori of dimension d one has the isomorphisms

$$(w_1, c_1) \;:\; \mathrm{Vec}^1_\chi(\mathbb{T}^d) \;\longrightarrow\; \mathbb{Z}^d \;\oplus\; \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{1}{2}d(d-1)}\;.$$

where we used $H^k(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{d}{k}}$.

5.3. **Chiral vector bundles over spheres.** The classification of chiral vector bundles over spheres (*cf.* Theorem 1.3) is a direct consequence of the homotopy classification described in Corollary 3.4 combined with Proposition 4.3 and Theorem 4.2.

In the case of low-dimensional spheres the classification can be described in terms of characteristic classes by the following bijections

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 \;&: \mathrm{Vec}^m_\chi(\mathbb{S}^1) \;\longrightarrow\; H^1(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; \mathbb{Z} \\ c_1 \;&: \mathrm{Vec}^m_\chi(\mathbb{S}^2) \;\longrightarrow\; H^2(\mathbb{S}^2, \mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; \mathbb{Z} \\ w_2 \;&: \mathrm{Vec}^m_\chi(\mathbb{S}^3) \;\longrightarrow\; H^3(\mathbb{S}^3, \mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; \mathbb{Z} \qquad (m > 1)\;. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

When $d = 1$ one has $\pi_1(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi) \simeq \pi_1(\mathbb{U}(m)) \simeq \pi_1(\mathbb{U}(1)) \simeq H^1(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{Z})$ where the last isomorphism has been discussed in Remark 4.6. Similarly, for $d = 3$ one has $\pi_3(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi) \simeq \pi_3(\mathbb{U}(m)) \simeq \pi_3(\mathbb{SU}(2)) \simeq \pi_3(\mathbb{S}^3) \simeq H^3(\mathbb{S}^3, \mathbb{Z})$ where we used the standard identification between $\mathbb{SU}(2)$ and $\mathbb{S}^3$ and the last isomorphism can be understood in terms of the Brouwer's degree [DG1, Remark 5.8]. In the even case $d = 2$ one has $\pi_2(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi) \simeq \pi_2(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty)) \simeq [\mathbb{S}^2, G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty)] \simeq \mathrm{Vec}^m_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{S}^2) \simeq H^2(\mathbb{S}^2, \mathbb{Z})$ where the last isomorphism is given, as usual, by the first Chern class c_1.

The case $d = 4$ is more involved and hence interesting. A direct computation shows that

$$\mathrm{Vec}^m_\chi(\mathbb{S}^4) \;\simeq\; \pi_4(\mathbb{U}(m)) \;\oplus\; \pi_4(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty)) \;\simeq\; \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } \; m = 2 \\ \qquad \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } \; m \geqslant 3 \end{cases} \tag{5.5}$$

where the $\mathbb{Z}$ summand is given by $\pi_4(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty)) \simeq [\mathbb{S}^4, G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty] \simeq \mathrm{Vec}^m_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{S}^4) \simeq H^4(\mathbb{S}^4, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ (if $m > 1$) and is described by the second Chern class c_2. The $\mathbb{Z}_2$ summand is given by the unstable group $\pi_4(\mathbb{U}(2)) \simeq \pi_4(\mathbb{SU}(2)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ (*cf.* Table A.1) which is non-trivial only when $m = 2$. This torsion summand is not accessible by the "primary" characteristic classes. One way to understand this invariant is to look at the map $f : \mathbb{S}^4 \to \mathbb{S}^3$ which generates $\pi_4(\mathbb{S}^3) \simeq \pi_4(\mathbb{SU}(2))$. This map agrees with the (reduced or unreduced) suspension of the Hopf's map $h : \mathbb{S}^3 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ which generates $\pi_3(\mathbb{S}^2)$. An explicit realization of f is given by

$$f(k_0, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \;:=\; \frac{2}{1 + k_0^2}\left(k_0, k_1k_3 - k_2k_4, k_1k_4 + k_2k_3, \frac{k_1^2 + k_2^2 - k_3^2 - k_4^2}{2}\right)$$

for all $(k_0, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \in \mathbb{R}^5$ which fulfill the constraint $\sum_{i=0}^4 k_i^2 = 1$. One can check that when $k_0 = 0$ the map f restricts exactly to the Hopf's map. A differential geometric approach to the study of $\pi_4(\mathbb{SU}(2)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ is discussed in the final part of [Wi1] (see also [Ko, Section 1.4]). Given a map $f : \mathbb{S}^4 \to \mathbb{SU}(2)$ and the standard inclusion $\jmath : \mathbb{SU}(2) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{SU}(3)$ one can consider the composition

$\jmath \circ f : \mathbb{S}^4 \to \mathbb{SU}(3)$. Since $\pi_4(\mathbb{SU}(3)) = 0$ there exists a map $F : \mathbb{D}^5 \to \mathbb{SU}(3)$ defined on the unit ball $\mathbb{D}^5 \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ such that $F|_{\partial\mathbb{D}^5} = \jmath \circ f$. In [Wi1] E. Witten showed that the integral (a pure gauge Chern-Simons form)

$$CS_5(f) := \frac{\mathrm{i}}{240\pi^3} \int_{\mathbb{D}^5} \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{C}^3} \left[\left(F^{-1}\, \mathrm{d}F \right)^{\wedge 5} \right]$$

depends only on the homotopy class $[f] \in \pi_4(\mathbb{SU}(2))$ modulo $\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, the homotopy invariant $\epsilon(f) := \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\, 2\pi CS_5(f)} \in \{\pm 1\}$ takes the value -1 when f is a representative for the non-trivial element of $\pi_4(\mathbb{SU}(2))$. See also [Ko, Proposition 1.11] for more details.

5.4. **Chiral vector bundles in low dimension.** We proved in Section 4.1 that $\pi_0(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi) = 0$ and $\pi_1(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ acts trivially on each $\pi_k(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi)$, $k \geqslant 1$. Moreover, $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$ has a CW-complex structure. All these facts imply that $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$ is a *simple* space, a fact which assures that $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$ admits a *Postnikov tower* of principal fibrations [Hat, Theorem 4.69] (see also [Arl, Section 7] or [Ark, Chapter 7]). More precisely this means that there exists a sequence of spaces $\mathscr{B}^m_j$ along with maps $\alpha_j : \mathbb{B}^m_\chi \to \mathscr{B}^m_j$ and $p_{j+1} : \mathscr{B}^m_{j+1} \to \mathscr{B}^m_j$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & K(\pi_{j+2}, j+3) & K(\pi_{j+1}, j+2) & K(\pi_j, j+1) & & K(\pi_2, 3) \\
\cdots \arrow[r, "p_{j+2}"] & \mathscr{B}^m_{j+1} \arrow[u, "\kappa^{j+3}"] \arrow[r, "p_{j+1}"] & \mathscr{B}^m_j \arrow[u, "\kappa^{j+2}"] \arrow[r, "p_j"] & \mathscr{B}^m_{j-1} \arrow[u, "\kappa^{j+1}"] \arrow[r, "p_{j-1}"] & \cdots \arrow[r, "p_2"] & \mathscr{B}^m_1 = K(\pi_1, 1) \arrow[u, "\kappa^3"] \\
 & & \mathbb{B}^m_\chi \arrow[ul, "\alpha_{j+1}"] \arrow[u, "\alpha_j"] \arrow[ur, "\alpha_{j-1}"] \arrow[urrr, "\alpha_1"] & & &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.6}$$

is commutative, *i. e.* $p_{j+1} \circ \alpha_{j+1} = \alpha_j$ for all $j \geqslant 1$. The maps p_j and κ^{j+1} define (principal) fibration sequences

$$K(\pi_j, j) \longrightarrow \mathscr{B}^m_j \xrightarrow{p_j} \mathscr{B}^m_{j-1} \xrightarrow{\kappa^{j+1}} K(\pi_j, j+1) \tag{5.7}$$

where the symbols $K(\pi_j, n)$ denote the Eilenberg-MacLane spaces associated to $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$. In particular $\mathscr{B}^m_j$, usually called j-th *Postnikov section*, turns out to be (up to weak homotopy equivalence) the homotopy fiber of the map κ^{j+1}. We recall that $K(\pi_j, n)$ is a connected space (with a uniquely specified homotopy type) defined by the following property [Hat, Section 4.2]

$$\pi_k(K(\pi_j, n)) \simeq \begin{cases} \pi_j(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi) & \text{if } k = n \\ 0 & \text{if } k \neq n \,. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, one has the isomorphisms [Hat, Theorem 4.57]

$$[X, K(\pi_j, n)] \simeq H^n(X, \pi_j(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi)) \tag{5.8}$$

given by $[f] \mapsto f^*(\xi)$ with $\xi \in H^n(K(\pi_j, n), \pi_j(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi))$ being the *basic* or *fundamental* class [Ark, Definition 5.3.1]. In our particular case we can chose $K(\pi_1, 1) = \mathbb{S}^1$. The map $\alpha_j : \mathbb{B}^m_\chi \to \mathscr{B}_j$ is a $(j+1)$-*equivalence* in the sense that it induces isomorphisms $\pi_k(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi) \simeq \pi_k(\mathscr{B}^m_j)$ for all $k \leqslant j$ and one has that $\pi_k(\mathscr{B}^m_j) = 0$ for all $k > j$. Said differently, the "auxiliary" spaces $\mathscr{B}^m_j$ (which still have the homotopy type of CW-complexes) approximate the system of homotopy groups of $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$ up to the level j. The collection of maps α_j induces a weak homotopy equivalence between $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$ and the inverse limit generated by the auxiliary spaces $\mathscr{B}^m_j$ (the inverse limit generally will not have the homotopy type of a CW-complex). The "κ-invariants" $\kappa^{j+1} \in H^{j+1}(\mathscr{B}^m_{j-1}, \pi_j(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi))$ are to be regarded as cohomology classes. These classes together with the homotopy groups $\pi_k(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi)$ specify the weak homotopy type of $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$. In particular $\kappa^{j+1} = 0$ as cohomological class means that κ^{j+1} is homotopic to the constant map in the fibration sequences (5.7) and, in this case, one has that the induced fibration p_j is trivial, *i. e.* $\mathscr{B}^m_j \simeq \mathscr{B}^m_{j-1} \times K(\pi_j, j)$ (for more details see [Arl, Lemma 7.3]).

The importance of the Postnikov tower for the classification of chiral vector bundles lies in the following general result.

Proposition 5.6. *Let X be as in Assumption 1.2 and assume that the maximal dimension of its cells is d. Then*

$$\mathrm{Vec}^m_\chi(X)\;\simeq\;[X,\mathscr{B}^m_d]\;.$$

Proof. The proof of the claim is just a combination of the homotopy classification for chiral vector bundles provided by Corollary 3.4 with the classical result [JT, Lemma 4.1]. ■

The concrete utility of Proposition 5.6 is related to the ability to compute the auxiliary spaces $\mathscr{B}^m_j$, a problem which usually is of difficult solution. However, if one restricts the interest to low dimensions a rigorous computation becomes reasonably doable.

Proposition 5.7 (Classification in low dimension)**.** *Let X be as in Assumption 1.2 and assume that the maximal dimension of its cells is d.*

(i) If $1\leqslant d\leqslant 3$, there are bijections of sets

$$\mathrm{Vec}^m_\chi(X)\;\simeq\;\bigoplus_{j=1}^{d}H^j(X,\mathbb{Z})\;,\qquad\qquad m\geqslant 2 \tag{5.9}$$

induced by the characteristic classes w_1,c_1,w_2 up to the suitable dimension.

(ii) If $d=4$, there are bijections of sets

$$\mathrm{Vec}^m_\chi(X)\;\simeq\;\bigoplus_{j=1}^{4}H^j(X,\mathbb{Z})\;,\qquad\qquad m\geqslant 3 \tag{5.10}$$

induced by the characteristic classes w_1,c_1,w_2,c_2.

Proof. We know that $\mathscr{B}^m_1=K(\pi_1,1)=\mathbb{S}^1$ and from Proposition 5.6 and the isomorphism $[X,\mathbb{S}^1]\simeq H^1(X,\mathbb{Z})$ (see eq. (5.8) or Remark 4.6) we can conclude the proof of (5.9) for the case $d=1$. In particular, as a consequence of Lemma A.3 and Remark A.4, we know that the basic class of $H^1(\mathbb{S}^1,\mathbb{Z})$, which determines the isomorphism (5.8), can be identified with the generator $\mathfrak{w}_1$ of $H^\bullet(\mathbb{U}(\infty),\mathbb{Z})$ and consequently with the first chiral generator $\mathfrak{w}^\chi_1$ of $H^\bullet(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi,\mathbb{Z})$ according to Theorem 4.4.

The study of the case $d=2$ in Proposition 5.6 needs the computation of the Postnikov section $\mathscr{B}^m_2$. Equation (5.7) says that $\mathscr{B}^m_2$ is the total space of a principal fibration over $\mathscr{B}^m_1=\mathbb{S}^1$ with fiber $K(\pi_2,2)$. Since $\pi_2(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi)\simeq\mathbb{Z}$ we can use the identification $K(\mathbb{Z},2)=\mathbb{C}P^\infty$. Moreover, $H^3(\mathscr{B}^m_1,\pi_3(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi))=H^3(\mathbb{S}^1,\mathbb{Z})=0$ implies the vanishing of the κ-invariant $\kappa^3=0$. This assures the triviality of the fibration (5.7), namely $\mathscr{B}^m_2=\mathbb{S}^1\times\mathbb{C}P^\infty$. At this point Proposition 5.6 provides

$$\mathrm{Vec}^m_\chi(X)\;\simeq\;[X,\mathbb{S}^1]\;\times\;[X,\mathbb{C}P^\infty]\;\simeq\;H^1(X,\mathbb{Z})\;\oplus\;H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})\;.$$

and the basic class in $H^2(K(\mathbb{Z},2),\mathbb{Z})$ which induces the isomorphism $[X,K(\mathbb{Z},2)]\simeq H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ as in (5.8) can be identified with the first universal Chern class $\mathfrak{c}_1\in H^2(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty),\mathbb{Z})$ as discussed in Remark A.2.

The case $d=3$ is more involved. The Postnikov section $\mathscr{B}^m_3$ is the total space of the fibration

$$K(\mathbb{Z},3)\;\longrightarrow\;\mathscr{B}^m_3\;\xrightarrow{p_3}\;\mathscr{B}^m_2\;=\;\mathbb{S}^1\;\times\;\mathbb{C}P^\infty \tag{5.11}$$

where we used $\pi_3(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi)\simeq\mathbb{Z}$ when $m\geqslant 2$. This section is determined by the invariant $\kappa^4\in H^4(\mathbb{S}^1\times\mathbb{C}P^\infty,\mathbb{Z})\simeq H^4(\mathbb{C}P^\infty,\mathbb{Z})\simeq\mathbb{Z}$ and we want to prove that $\kappa^4=0$. From one hand we know that the map $\alpha_3:\mathbb{B}^m_\chi\to\mathscr{B}^m_3$ is a 4-equivalence so that $H^j(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi,\mathbb{Z})\simeq H^j(\mathscr{B}^m_3,\mathbb{Z})$ for all $j\leqslant 3$ and $\alpha_3^*:H^4(\mathscr{B}^m_3,\mathbb{Z})\to H^4(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi,\mathbb{Z})$ is injective (*cf.* Note 5 in Remark A.4). Our knowledge of the cohomology of $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$ implies that

$$H^1(\mathscr{B}^m_3,\mathbb{Z})\;\simeq\;H^2(\mathscr{B}^m_3,\mathbb{Z})\;\simeq\;\mathbb{Z}\;,\qquad\qquad H^3(\mathscr{B}^m_3,\mathbb{Z})\;\simeq\;\mathbb{Z}^2$$

and $H^4(\mathscr{B}^m_3,\mathbb{Z})$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^3$ with no torsion. On the other hand we can compute this cohomology by means of the Leray-Serre spectral sequence associated with the fibration (5.11) (we refer to [DG3, Appendix D] and references therein for a summary about spectral sequences). The 2-page of this spectral sequence is given by

$$E_2^{p,q} \;=\; H^p\Big(\mathscr{B}_2^m, H^q(K(\mathbb{Z},3),\mathbb{Z})\Big)\;. \tag{5.12}$$

As a consequence of [St, Theorem 16.9] one has that the group $\pi_1(\mathscr{B}_2^m) \simeq \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ acts trivially on higher homotopy groups

$$\pi_k(\mathscr{B}_2^m) \;\simeq\; \pi_k(\mathbb{S}^1) \;\times\; \pi_k(K(\mathbb{Z},2))\;, \qquad\qquad k \geqslant 1\;.$$

This fact implies that the system of coefficients in (5.12) is constant and not local. In particular, by using that $\mathscr{B}_2^m$ is path-connected one obtains from (5.12) the following isomorphisms

$$E_2^{0,q} \;\simeq\; H^q(K(\mathbb{Z},3),\mathbb{Z})\;. \tag{5.13}$$

The explicit knowledge of the cohomology of $K(\mathbb{Z},3)$ (see *e. g.* [BT, Section 18])

	$k=0$	$k=1$	$k=2$	$k=3$	$k=4$	$k=5$	$k=6$	$k=7$	$k=8$
$H^k(K(\mathbb{Z},3),\mathbb{Z})$	$\mathbb{Z}$	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}$	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	0	$\mathbb{Z}_3$

leads to the computation of $E_2^{p,q}$ showed in the following table:

$q=4$	0	0	0	0	0
$q=3$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$
$q=2$	0	0	0	0	0
$q=1$	0	0	0	0	0
$q=0$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$
$E_2^{p,q}$	$p=0$	$p=1$	$p=2$	$p=3$	$p=4$

Since the sequence is concentrate in the first quadrant (*i. e.* $E_r^{p,q}=0$ if $p<0$ or $q<0$) one obtains by a recursive application of the formula

$$E_{r+1}^{p,q} \;:=\; \frac{\mathrm{Ker}\Big(\delta_r : E_r^{p,q} \to E_r^{p+r,q-r+1}\Big)}{\mathrm{Im}\Big(\delta_r : E_r^{p-r,q+r-1} \to E_r^{p,q}\Big)} \tag{5.14}$$

that $E_4^{0,3} \simeq E_3^{0,3} \simeq E_2^{0,3}$ and $E_4^{4,0} \simeq E_3^{4,0} \simeq E_2^{4,0}$. Moreover, one has that

$$E_\infty^{0,3} \;\simeq\; \ldots \;\simeq E_6^{0,3} \;\simeq\; E_5^{0,3} \;:=\; \mathrm{Ker}\Big(\delta_4 : E_4^{0,3} \to E_4^{4,0}\Big)$$

and the isomorphisms $E_4^{0,3} \simeq E_2^{0,3} \simeq H^3(K(\mathbb{Z},3),\mathbb{Z})$ and $E_4^{4,0} \simeq E_2^{4,0} \simeq H^4(\mathbb{C}P^\infty,\mathbb{Z})$ allow to write

$$E_\infty^{0,3} \;\simeq\; \mathrm{Ker}\Big(\delta_4 : H^3(K(\mathbb{Z},3),\mathbb{Z}) \to H^4(\mathbb{C}P^\infty,\mathbb{Z})\Big)\;.$$

The map δ_4 relates the basic class $\mathfrak{w}_2 \in H^3(K(\mathbb{Z},3),\mathbb{Z})$ with the invariant $\kappa^4 \in H^4(\mathbb{C}P^\infty,\mathbb{Z})$ according to the formula $\delta_4(\mathfrak{w}_2) = -\kappa^4$ (*cf.* [Ark, Remark 7.2.6 (4)] or [MP, Lemma 3.4.2]). The convergence of the spectral sequence provides the following short exact sequences[3]

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow F^1H^3(\mathscr{B}_3^m,\mathbb{Z}) &\longrightarrow H^3(\mathscr{B}_3^m,\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow E_\infty^{0,3} \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 \longrightarrow F^2H^3(\mathscr{B}_3^m,\mathbb{Z}) &\longrightarrow F^1H^3(\mathscr{B}_3^m,\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow E_\infty^{1,2} \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 \longrightarrow F^3H^3(\mathscr{B}_3^m,\mathbb{Z}) &\longrightarrow F^2H^3(\mathscr{B}_3^m,\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow E_\infty^{2,1} \longrightarrow 0\;. \end{aligned}$$

Since $E_\infty^{2,1} \simeq \ldots \simeq E_2^{2,1} = 0$ and similarly $E_\infty^{1,2} \simeq \ldots \simeq E_2^{1,2} = 0$ one gets that

$$F^1H^3(\mathscr{B}_3^m,\mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; F^2H^3(\mathscr{B}_3^m,\mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; F^3H^3(\mathscr{B}_3^m,\mathbb{Z})\;.$$

By observing that $F^3H^3(\mathscr{B}_3^m,\mathbb{Z}) \simeq E_\infty^{3,0}$, one ends up with the following short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E_\infty^{3,0} \longrightarrow H^3(\mathscr{B}_3^m,\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow E_\infty^{0,3} \longrightarrow 0\;. \tag{5.15}$$

Since we know that $H^3(\mathscr{B}_3^m,\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$ and we can compute that $E_\infty^{3,0} \simeq \ldots \simeq E_3^{3,0} \simeq E_2^{3,0} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ we can immediately conclude from the above exact sequence and $E_\infty^{0,3} \subseteq H^3(K(\mathbb{Z},3),\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ that $E_\infty^{0,3} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ which in turn implies that $\delta_4 = 0$ acts as the trivial map. Finally the vanishing of the Postnikov invariant $\kappa^4 = 0$ assures that $\mathscr{B}_3^m = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^\infty \times K(\mathbb{Z},3)$. Proposition 5.6 provides

$$\mathrm{Vec}_\chi^m(X) \;\simeq\; [X,\mathbb{S}^1] \,\times\, [X,\mathbb{C}P^\infty] \,\times\, [X,K(\mathbb{Z},3)] \;\simeq\; H^1(X,\mathbb{Z}) \,\oplus\, H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \,\oplus\, H^3(X,\mathbb{Z})$$

and the basic class in $H^3(K(\mathbb{Z},3),\mathbb{Z})$ which induces the isomorphism $[X,K(\mathbb{Z},3)] \simeq H^3(X,\mathbb{Z})$ described in (5.8) can be identified with the second generator $\mathfrak{w}_2 \in H^3(\mathbb{U}(\infty),\mathbb{Z})$, as discussed in Lemma A.3 and Remark A.4, and consequently, with the second chiral generator $\mathfrak{w}_2^\chi$ of $H^\bullet(\mathbb{B}_\chi^m,\mathbb{Z})$ according to Theorem 4.4.

The next case $d = 4$, $m \geqslant 3$ can be discussed along the same lines as those of the previous case. The Postnikov section $\mathscr{B}_4^m$ is the total space of the fibration

$$K(\mathbb{Z},4) \longrightarrow \mathscr{B}_4^m \xrightarrow{p_4} \mathscr{B}_3^m \;=\; \mathbb{S}^1 \,\times\, \mathbb{C}P^\infty \,\times\, K(\mathbb{Z},3) \tag{5.16}$$

where we used $\pi_4(\mathbb{B}_\chi^m) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ when $m \geqslant 3$. We point out that for $m = 2$ the group $\pi_4(\mathbb{B}_\chi^2)$ has a torsion part and (5.16) needs to be modified (see Section 5.5). This section is determined by the invariant

$$\kappa^5 \in H^5(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^\infty \times K(\mathbb{Z},3),\mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; \Big(\underbrace{H^2(\mathbb{C}P^\infty,\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^3(K(\mathbb{Z},3),\mathbb{Z})}_{\simeq \mathbb{Z}}\Big) \,\oplus\, \Big(\underbrace{H^1(\mathbb{S}^1,\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^4(\mathbb{C}P^\infty,\mathbb{Z})}_{\simeq \mathbb{Z}}\Big)$$

and we want to prove that $\kappa^5 = 0$. Since the map $\alpha_4 : \mathbb{B}_\chi^m \to \mathscr{B}_4^m$ is a 5-equivalence we can deduce from our knowledge of the cohomology of $\mathbb{B}_\chi^m$ that

$$H^1(\mathscr{B}_4^m,\mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; H^2(\mathscr{B}_4^m,\mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; \mathbb{Z}\;, \qquad H^3(\mathscr{B}_4^m,\mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; \mathbb{Z}^2 \qquad H^4(\mathscr{B}_4^m,\mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; \mathbb{Z}^3\;.$$

On the other hand we can compute this cohomology by means of the Leray-Serre spectral sequence associated with the fibration (5.16). The 2-page of this spectral sequence is given by

$$E_2^{p,q} \;=\; H^p\Big(\mathscr{B}_3^m, H^q(K(\mathbb{Z},4),\mathbb{Z})\Big) \tag{5.17}$$

with constant system of coefficients since $\pi_1(\mathscr{B}_3^m) \simeq \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ acts trivially on higher homotopy groups

$$\pi_k(\mathscr{B}_3^m) \;\simeq\; \pi_k(\mathbb{S}^1) \,\times\, \pi_k(K(\mathbb{Z},2)) \,\times\, \pi_k(K(\mathbb{Z},3))\;, \qquad\qquad k \geqslant 1\;.$$

In particular, since $\mathscr{B}_3^m$ is path-connected one obtains from (5.17) the following isomorphisms

$$E_2^{0,q} \;\simeq\; H^q(K(\mathbb{Z},4),\mathbb{Z})\;. \tag{5.18}$$

---

[3] For the precise derivation of the short exact sequences the reader can refer to [DG3, eq. D8] (and reference therein). Here we used the shorter notational convention

$$F^jH^k(X,\mathbb{Z}) \;:=\; \mathrm{Ker}\Big(H^k(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^k(X_{j-1},\mathbb{Z})\Big) \;\subset\; H^k(X,\mathbb{Z})$$

where $= X_1 \subset X_0 \subset X_1 \subset \ldots \subset X_p \subset \ldots \subset X$ is a filtration for the space X.

The cohomology of $K(\mathbb{Z},4)$ can be computed as in [BT, Section 18] (see also [Per, Table 1]) and one obtains:

	$k=0$	$k=1$	$k=2$	$k=3$	$k=4$	$k=5$	$k=6$	$k=7$	$k=8$
$H^k(K(\mathbb{Z},4),\mathbb{Z})$	$\mathbb{Z}$	0	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}$	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}$

This allows us to compute the values of the 2-page $E_2^{p,q}$ as showed in the following table:

$q=6$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$q=5$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$q=4$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}^2$	$\mathbb{Z}^2$	$\mathbb{Z}^2$	$\mathbb{Z}^2\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2$
$q=3$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$q=2$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$q=1$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$q=0$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}^2$	$\mathbb{Z}^2$	$\mathbb{Z}^2$	$\mathbb{Z}^2\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2$
$E_2^{p,q}$	$p=0$	$p=1$	$p=2$	$p=3$	$p=4$	$p=5$	$p=6$

A recursive application of the formula (5.14) provides

$$E_\infty^{0,4} \;\simeq\; \ldots \;\simeq E_7^{0,4} \;\simeq\; E_6^{0,4} \;:=\; \mathrm{Ker}\Big(\delta_5 : E_5^{0,4} \to E_5^{5,0}\Big)$$

and the isomorphisms

$$E_5^{0,4} \;\simeq\; E_4^{0,4} \;\simeq\; E_3^{0,4} \;\simeq\; E_2^{0,4} \;\simeq\; H^4(K(\mathbb{Z},4),\mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; \mathbb{Z}$$

$$E_5^{5,0} \;\simeq\; E_4^{5,0} \;\simeq\; E_3^{5,0} \;\simeq\; E_2^{5,0} \;\simeq\; H^5(\mathscr{B}_3^m,\mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; \mathbb{Z}^2$$

allow us to write

$$E_\infty^{0,4} \;\simeq\; \mathrm{Ker}\Big(\delta_5 : H^4(K(\mathbb{Z},4),\mathbb{Z}) \to H^5(\mathscr{B}_3^m,\mathbb{Z})\Big)\;.$$

The map δ_5 relates the basic class $\mathfrak{c}_2 \in H^4(K(\mathbb{Z},4),\mathbb{Z})$ with the invariant $\kappa^5 \in H^5(\mathscr{B}_3^m,\mathbb{Z})$ according to the formula $\delta_5(\mathfrak{c}_2) = -\kappa^5$ (*cf.* [Ark, Remark 7.2.6 (4)] or [MP, Lemma 3.4.2]). The convergence of the spectral sequence provides in exactly the same way as above the short exact sequence

$$0 \;\longrightarrow\; E_\infty^{4,0} \;\longrightarrow\; H^4(\mathscr{B}_4^m,\mathbb{Z}) \;\longrightarrow\; E_\infty^{0,4} \;\longrightarrow\; 0\;. \tag{5.19}$$

Since we know that $H^4(\mathscr{B}_4^m,\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^3$ and we can compute that $E_\infty^{4,0} \simeq \ldots \simeq E_3^{4,0} \simeq E_2^{4,0} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$ we can immediately conclude from (5.19) and $E_\infty^{0,4} \subseteq H^4(K(\mathbb{Z},4),\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ that $E_\infty^{0,4} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ which in turn implies that $\delta_5 = 0$ acts as the trivial map. Finally the vanishing of the Postnikov invariant $\kappa^5 = 0$ assures that $\mathscr{B}_4^m = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^\infty \times K(\mathbb{Z},3) \times K(\mathbb{Z},4)$ if $m \geqslant 3$. Proposition 5.6 provides

$$\mathrm{Vec}_\chi^m(X) \;\simeq\; [X,\mathbb{S}^1] \;\times\; [X,\mathbb{C}P^\infty] \;\times\; [X,K(\mathbb{Z},3)] \;\times\; [X,K(\mathbb{Z},4)] \;\simeq\; \bigoplus_{j=1}^{4} H^j(X,\mathbb{Z})\;, \qquad m \geqslant 3$$

and the basic class in $H^4(K(\mathbb{Z},4),\mathbb{Z})$ which induces the isomorphism $[X,K(\mathbb{Z},4)] \simeq H^4(X,\mathbb{Z})$ described in (5.8) can be identified with the second universal Chern class $\mathfrak{c}_2 \in H^4(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty),\mathbb{Z})$ as discussed in Remark A.2. ■

Remark 5.8. In the statement of Proposition 5.7 we pointed out that the equations (5.9) and (5.10) have to be understood as bijections of sets rather than group isomorphisms. This is because the standard group structure of direct sums of cohomology groups does not coincide *a priori* with a possible group structure on classes of chiral vector bundles induced by some geometric operations such as the Whitney sum. For this reason it should be better to replace expressions like $H^1(X,\mathbb{Z})\times H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})\times\ldots$ instead $H^1(X,\mathbb{Z})\oplus H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})\oplus\ldots$ in (5.9) and (5.10). However, in accordance with a modern (maybe inaccurate) custom in the classification of topological phases we chose to keep with the use of the symbol $\oplus$ instead of $\times$, always keeping in mind that the identification is at level of sets and not of groups. The main reason and the first advantage of this choice of notation is that Table 1.1 and Table 1.2 result directly comparable with the existing literature. In any case this "conflict" in the notation opens the necessity for a deeper investigation of the group structure induced on the classes of chiral vector bundles by Whitney sum. We plan to investigate this aspect in an incoming work. ◄

Remark 5.9. The next step in the construction of the Postinikov tower of $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi$ is the determination of the invariant κ^6. However, this invariant turns out to be non-trivial even in the stable range $m\geqslant 3$ for a reason that we will sketch below. First of all from $\mathscr{B}^m_4=\mathscr{B}^m_3\times K(\mathbb{Z},4)$ and an application of the Künneth formula for cohomology one obtains

$$H^6(\mathscr{B}^m_4,\mathbb{Z})\;\simeq\;\Big(\underbrace{H^2(\mathscr{B}^m_3,\mathbb{Z})\;\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\;H^4(K(\mathbb{Z},4),\mathbb{Z})}_{\simeq\mathbb{Z}}\Big)\;\oplus\;\Big(\underbrace{H^6(\mathscr{B}^m_3,\mathbb{Z})\;\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\;H^0(K(\mathbb{Z},4),\mathbb{Z})}_{\simeq H^6\left(\mathscr{B}^m_3,\mathbb{Z}\right)}\Big)\,.$$

Hence $H^6(\mathscr{B}^m_4,\mathbb{Z})$ has a torsion subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_2$ which comes from $H^6(\mathscr{B}^m_3,\mathbb{Z})\simeq\mathbb{Z}^2\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2$. By following the same lines of reasoning of the proof of Proposition 5.7 one concludes that the Postinikov invariant κ^6 is, up to a sign, the image of the basic class in $K(\mathbb{Z},5)$ under the differential map

$$H^5(K(\mathbb{Z},5),\mathbb{Z})\;\simeq\;E^{0,5}_2\;\simeq\;\ldots\simeq\;E^{0,5}_6\;\xrightarrow{\delta_6}\;E^{6,0}_6\;\simeq\;\ldots\simeq\;E^{6,0}_2\;\simeq\;H^6(\mathscr{B}^m_4,\mathbb{Z})$$

associated to the Leray-Serre spectral sequence of the fibration $K(\mathbb{Z},5)\to\mathscr{B}^m_5\to\mathscr{B}^m_4$. Thus, the map δ_6 fits in the exact sequence

$$0\;\longrightarrow\;E^{0,5}_\infty\;\longrightarrow\;\underbrace{\mathbb{Z}}_{\simeq E^{0,5}_6}\;\xrightarrow{\delta_6}\;\underbrace{\mathbb{Z}^3\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2}_{\simeq E^{6,0}_6}\;\longrightarrow\;\underbrace{E^{6,0}_\infty}_{\text{free group}}\;\longrightarrow\;0$$

where the absence of torsion in $E^{6,0}_\infty$ is justified by the fact that $E^{6,0}_\infty$ is a subgroup of $H^6(\mathscr{B}^m_5,\mathbb{Z})$ which in turn is injected in $H^6(\mathbb{B}^m_\chi,\mathbb{Z})\simeq\mathbb{Z}^5$ since $\alpha_5:\mathbb{B}^m_\chi\to\mathscr{B}^m_5$ is a 6-equivalence. Therefore, the absence of torsion in $E^{6,0}_\infty$ assures that $\delta_6\neq 0$ and so κ^6 is non trivial since it has to generate at least the torsion part $\mathbb{Z}_2$. ◄

5.5. **The unstable case $d=4$, $m=2$.** This case, in principle, can be handled with the same technique of the proof of Preposition 5.7. However, the presence of a torsion term in $\pi_4(\mathbb{B}^2_\chi)\simeq\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z}$ (*cf.* Section 4.1) makes the argument much more involved.

The computation of the Postnikov section $\mathscr{B}^2_4$ requires the investigation of the fibration

$$K(\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z},4)\;\longrightarrow\;\mathscr{B}^2_4\;\xrightarrow{p_4}\;\underbrace{\mathbb{S}^1\times\mathbb{C}P^\infty\times K(\mathbb{Z},3)}_{\mathscr{B}^2_3}\;\xrightarrow{\kappa^5}\;K(\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z},5) \tag{5.20}$$

and in particular we need to determine $\kappa^5\in H^5(\mathscr{B}^2_3,\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z})$. From the very definition of the singular cohomology one obtains the natural direct sum decomposition

$$H^k(\mathscr{B}^2_3,\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z})\;\simeq\;H^k(\mathscr{B}^2_3,\mathbb{Z}_2)\;\oplus\;H^k(\mathscr{B}^2_3,\mathbb{Z})\,. \tag{5.21}$$

These cohomology groups can be computed with the help of the following table:

	$k=0$	$k=1$	$k=2$	$k=3$	$k=4$	$k=5$
$H^k(\mathscr{B}^2_3,\mathbb{Z})$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}^2$	$\mathbb{Z}^2$	$\mathbb{Z}^2$
$H^k(\mathscr{B}^2_3,\mathbb{Z}_2)$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	${\mathbb{Z}_2}^2$	${\mathbb{Z}_2}^2$	${\mathbb{Z}_2}^3$

In particular one has that

$$\kappa^5 \;\in\; H^5(\mathscr{B}^2_3,\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; {\mathbb{Z}_2}^3 \;\oplus\; \mathbb{Z}^2\;.$$

We can obtain information about the cohomology of $\mathscr{B}^2_4$ by recalling that the map $\alpha_4:\mathbb{B}^2_\chi\to\mathscr{B}^2_4$ is a 5-equivalence. Our knowledge of the cohomology of $\mathbb{B}^2_\chi$ provides

$$H^1(\mathscr{B}^2_4,\mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; H^2(\mathscr{B}^2_4,\mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; \mathbb{Z}\;, \qquad H^3(\mathscr{B}^2_4,\mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; \mathbb{Z}^2 \qquad H^4(\mathscr{B}^2_4,\mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; \mathbb{Z}^3\;.$$

Moreover $H^5(\mathscr{B}^2_4,\mathbb{Z})$ is injected in $H^5(\mathbb{B}^2_\chi,\mathbb{Z})\simeq\mathbb{Z}^3$ and so it has no torsion. This also implies information about homology (*cf.* [Hat, Corollary 3.3]) and with the help of the universal coefficient theorem one obtains

$$H^k(\mathscr{B}^2_4,\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H^k(\mathscr{B}^2_4,\mathbb{Z}),\mathbb{Z}_2) \;\oplus\; H^k(\mathscr{B}^2_4,\mathbb{Z})\;, \qquad\qquad k=1,2,3,4\;.$$

In particular for $k=4$ one has

$$H^4(\mathscr{B}^2_4,\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; {\mathbb{Z}_2}^3 \;\oplus\; \mathbb{Z}^3\;.$$

We can study the cohomology of $\mathscr{B}^2_4$ with coefficients $\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z}$ also with the help of the spectral sequence associated to the principal fibration

$$K(\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z},4) \;\longrightarrow\; \mathscr{B}^2_4 \;\xrightarrow{p_4}\; \mathscr{B}^2_3\;. \tag{5.22}$$

Since we know that $\pi_1(\mathscr{B}^2_3)$ acts trivially on higher homotopy groups the 2-page of this spectral sequence is given by

$$E_2^{p,q} \;=\; H^p\Big(\mathscr{B}^2_3, H^q(K(\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z},4),\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z})\Big) \tag{5.23}$$

with constant system of coefficients. In particular the path-connectedness of $\mathscr{B}^m_3$ implies

$$E_2^{0,q} \;\simeq\; H^q(K(\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z},4),\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z})\;. \tag{5.24}$$

The computation of the spectral sequence requires the cohomology of $K(\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z},4)$. One can use the relation $K(\mathbb{G}_1\oplus\mathbb{G}_2,j)\simeq K(\mathbb{G}_1,j)\times K(\mathbb{G}_2,j)$ and the Künneth formula for cohomology to reduce the problem to the computation of the cohomology of $K(\mathbb{Z},4)$ and $K(\mathbb{Z}_2,4)$. Since we already used the cohomology of $K(\mathbb{Z},4)$ in the proof of Proposition 5.7 we need to compute only the cohomology of $K(\mathbb{Z}_2,4)$. this can be done along the lines sketched in [BT, Section 18] or with the “Eilenberg-MacLane machine” described in [Cl]. The results are showed in the following table:

	$k=0$	$k=1$	$k=2$	$k=3$	$k=4$	$k=5$	$k=6$	$k=7$
$H^k(K(\mathbb{Z},4),\mathbb{Z})$	$\mathbb{Z}$	0	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}$	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}_2$
$H^k(K(\mathbb{Z}_2,4),\mathbb{Z})$	$\mathbb{Z}$	0	0	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	0	$\mathbb{Z}_2$
$H^k(K(\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z},4),\mathbb{Z})$	$\mathbb{Z}$	0	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	0	${\mathbb{Z}_2}^2$
$H_k(K(\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z},4),\mathbb{Z})$	$\mathbb{Z}$	0	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z}$	0	${\mathbb{Z}_2}^2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$
$H^k(K(\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z},4),\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z})$	$\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z}$	0	0	0	${\mathbb{Z}_2}^2\oplus\mathbb{Z}$	${\mathbb{Z}_2}^2$	${\mathbb{Z}_2}^2$	${\mathbb{Z}_2}^3$

With these results we can compute the values of $E_2^{p,q}$ showed in the following table:

$q=5$	${\mathbb{Z}_2}^2$	$\dots$				
$q=4$	${\mathbb{Z}_2}^2\oplus\mathbb{Z}$	${\mathbb{Z}_2}^2\oplus\mathbb{Z}$	$\dots$			
$q=3$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$q=2$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$q=1$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$q=0$	$\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z}$	${\mathbb{Z}_2}^2\oplus\mathbb{Z}^2$	${\mathbb{Z}_2}^2\oplus\mathbb{Z}^2$	${\mathbb{Z}_2}^3\oplus\mathbb{Z}^2$
$E_2^{p,q}$	$p=0$	$p=1$	$p=2$	$p=3$	$p=4$	$p=5$

A recursive application of the formula (5.14) provides

$$E_\infty^{0,4} \;\simeq\; \dots \;\simeq E_7^{0,4} \;\simeq\; E_6^{0,4} \;:=\; \mathrm{Ker}\Big(\delta_5 : E_5^{0,4}\to E_5^{5,0}\Big)$$

and the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} E_5^{0,4} &\;\simeq\; E_4^{0,4} \;\simeq\; E_3^{0,4} \;\simeq\; E_2^{0,4} \;\simeq\; H^4(K(\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z},4),\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; {\mathbb{Z}_2}^2 \;\oplus\; \mathbb{Z}\\ E_5^{5,0} &\;\simeq\; E_4^{5,0} \;\simeq\; E_3^{5,0} \;\simeq\; E_2^{5,0} \;\simeq\; H^5(\mathscr{B}_3^2,\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; {\mathbb{Z}_2}^3 \;\oplus\; \mathbb{Z}^2 \end{aligned}$$

allow to write

$$E_\infty^{0,4} \;\simeq\; \mathrm{Ker}\Big(\delta_5 : H^4(K(\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z},4),\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z})\to H^5(\mathscr{B}_3^2,\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z})\Big)\;.$$

The map δ_5 relates the basic class $\mathfrak{d}\in H^4(K(\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z},4),\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z})$ with the invariant $\kappa^5\in H^5(\mathscr{B}_3^2,\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z})$ according to the formula $\delta_5(\mathfrak{d}) = -\kappa^5$ (*cf.* [Ark, Remark 7.2.6 (4)] or [MP, Lemma 3.4.2]). The convergence of the spectral sequence provides in exactly the same way as in the proof of Proposition 5.7 the short exact sequence

$$0 \;\longrightarrow\; E_\infty^{4,0} \;\longrightarrow\; H^4(\mathscr{B}_4^2,\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z}) \;\longrightarrow\; E_\infty^{0,4} \;\longrightarrow\; 0\;. \tag{5.25}$$

Since we know that $H^4(\mathscr{B}_4^2,\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z})\simeq{\mathbb{Z}_2}^3\oplus\mathbb{Z}^3$ and we can compute that $E_\infty^{4,0}\simeq\dots\simeq E_3^{4,0}\simeq E_2^{4,0}\simeq {\mathbb{Z}_2}^2\oplus\mathbb{Z}^2$ we can conclude that:

Lemma 5.10. $E_\infty^{0,4}\simeq\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. The key observation is that the spectral sequence $E_r^{p,q}$ induced by the 2-page (5.23) is the direct sum of two spectral sequences $E_r^{p,q}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $E_r^{p,q}(\mathbb{Z})$ induced by the natural splitting $E_2^{p,q}\simeq E_2^{p,q}(\mathbb{Z}_2)\oplus E_2^{p,q}(\mathbb{Z})$ where

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{p,q}(\mathbb{Z}_2) &\;:=\; H^p\Big(\mathscr{B}_3^2, H^q(K(\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z},4),\mathbb{Z}_2)\Big) \quad\Rightarrow\; H^\bullet(\mathscr{B}_4^2,\mathbb{Z}_2)\\ E_2^{p,q}(\mathbb{Z}) &\;:=\; H^p\Big(\mathscr{B}_3^2, H^q(K(\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z},4),\mathbb{Z})\Big) \quad\Rightarrow\; H^\bullet(\mathscr{B}_4^2,\mathbb{Z})\;. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, also the short exact sequence (5.25) splits as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \;\longrightarrow\; E_\infty^{4,0}(\mathbb{Z}_2) \;&\longrightarrow\; H^4(\mathscr{B}_4^2,\mathbb{Z}) \;\longrightarrow\; E_\infty^{0,4}(\mathbb{Z}_2) \;\longrightarrow\; 0\\ 0 \;\longrightarrow\; E_\infty^{4,0}(\mathbb{Z}) \;&\longrightarrow\; H^4(\mathscr{B}_4^2,\mathbb{Z}_2) \;\longrightarrow\; E_\infty^{0,4}(\mathbb{Z}) \;\longrightarrow\; 0\;. \end{aligned} \tag{5.26}$$

By observing that $E_\infty^{0,4}\simeq E_\infty^{0,4}(\mathbb{Z}_2)\oplus E_\infty^{0,4}(\mathbb{Z})$ with $E_\infty^{0,4}(\mathbb{Z}_2)\subseteq H^4(K(\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z},4),\mathbb{Z}_2)\simeq{\mathbb{Z}_2}^2$ and $E_\infty^{0,4}(\mathbb{Z})\subseteq H^4(K(\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z},4),\mathbb{Z})\simeq\mathbb{Z}$ and from $E_\infty^{4,0}(\mathbb{Z}_2)\simeq{\mathbb{Z}_2}^2$, $E_\infty^{4,0}(\mathbb{Z})\simeq\mathbb{Z}^2$ and $H^4(\mathscr{B}_4^2,\mathbb{Z}_2)\simeq{\mathbb{Z}_2}^3$, $H^4(\mathscr{B}_4^2,\mathbb{Z})\simeq\mathbb{Z}^3$ one immediately gets $E_\infty^{0,4}(\mathbb{Z}_2)\simeq\mathbb{Z}_2$ and $E_\infty^{0,4}(\mathbb{Z})\simeq\mathbb{Z}$. ■

The fact that $E_\infty^{0,4} = \mathrm{Ker}(\delta_5) \neq H^4(K(\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}, 4), \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z})$ implies that δ_5 cannot be the trivial map. In particular this implies that $\kappa^5 = -\delta_5(\mathfrak{d}) \neq 0$. Even though this argument does not suffice to completely specify the invariant κ^5, the fact that the $\mathbb{Z}$ summand is in the kernel of the differential δ_5 gives us an extra information about the structure of κ^5.

Corollary 5.11. *The non-trivial invariant κ^5 is of order 2. More precisely κ^5 takes value in the torsion subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_2{}^3$ of $H^k(\mathscr{B}^2_3, \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z})$ with respect to the splitting* (5.21).

Let us recall that κ^5 can be identified as an element of

$$[\mathscr{B}^2_3, K(\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}, 5)] \;\simeq\; [\mathscr{B}^2_3, K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 5)] \;\times\; [\mathscr{B}^2_3, K(\mathbb{Z}, 5)] \;\simeq\; H^5(\mathscr{B}^2_3, \mathbb{Z}_2) \;\oplus\; H^5(\mathscr{B}^2_3, \mathbb{Z}) \;.$$

Thus, as a consequence of Corollary 5.11 one has that the invariant κ^5 behaves as a map

$$\kappa^5 := (0, \zeta^5) \;:\; \mathscr{B}^2_3 \;\longrightarrow\; K(\mathbb{Z}, 5) \;\times\; K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 5)$$

where we used 0 for the constant map.

Proposition 5.12.

$$\mathscr{B}^2_4 \;=\; \big(\mathscr{B}^2_3 \times_{\zeta^5} K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 4)\big) \;\times\; K(\mathbb{Z}, 4) \;. \tag{5.27}$$

where the "twisted" product $\mathscr{B}^2_3 \times_{\zeta^5} K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 4)$ is defined in the proof and ζ^5 can be identified with a non trivial class in $H^5(\mathscr{B}^2_3, \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2{}^3$.

Proof. The Postnikov section $\mathscr{B}^2_4$ is a principal fibration which is built from the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K(\mathbb{Z},4) \times K(\mathbb{Z}_2,4) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d] & K(\mathbb{Z},4) \times K(\mathbb{Z}_2,4) \arrow[d] \\
\mathscr{B}^2_4 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & PK(\mathbb{Z},5) \times PK(\mathbb{Z}_2,5) \arrow[d, "\wp=(\wp_1,\wp_2)"] \\
\mathscr{B}^2_3 \arrow[r, "(0{,}\zeta^5)"] & K(\mathbb{Z},5) \times K(\mathbb{Z}_2,5)
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\wp = (\wp_1, \wp_2)$ is the path fibration and the bottom square is the homotopy pull-back. Therefore, one has that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{B}^2_4 \;&\simeq\; \Big\{(x,y,z) \;\in\; \mathscr{B}^2_3 \times PK(\mathbb{Z},5) \times PK(\mathbb{Z}_2,5) \;\Big|\; \wp_1(y) = 0(x) = *,\; \wp_2(z) = \zeta^5(x)\Big\} \\
&\simeq\; \wp_1^{-1}(*) \;\times\; \Big\{(x,z) \;\in\; \mathscr{B}^2_3 \times PK(\mathbb{Z}_2,5) \;\Big|\; \wp_2(z) = \zeta^5(x)\Big\} \;.
\end{aligned}$$

The claim follows by setting $\mathscr{B}^2_3 \times_{\zeta^5} K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 4) := \{(x,z) \in \mathscr{B}^2_3 \times PK(\mathbb{Z}_2, 5) \mid \wp_2(z) = \zeta^5(x)\}$ and by observing that $\wp_1^{-1}(*) \simeq K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$. ■

As a consequence of Proposition 5.12 (and Proposition 5.6) one has that rank 2 chiral vector bundles over a CW-complex X of dimension $d = 4$ are classified by

$$\mathrm{Vec}^2_\chi(X) \;\simeq\; [X, (\mathscr{B}^2_3 \times_{\zeta^5} K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 4))] \;\oplus\; H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}) \tag{5.28}$$

where the contribution of $H^4(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is given by the second Chern class. Unfortunately, the determination of the space of homotopic equivalent maps $[X, (\mathscr{B}^2_3 \times_{\zeta^5} K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 4))]$ is difficult in general and at the moment it seems to be beyond our capabilities. However, under some extra assumptions on X, a description of the above set seems possible.

Proposition 5.13. *Let X be such that $H^5(X, \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$. Then, there is a bijection of sets*

$$[X, (\mathscr{B}^2_3 \times_{\zeta^5} K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 4))] \;\simeq\; [X, \mathscr{B}^2_3] \;\times\; [X, K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 4)] \;.$$

Proof. The fibration projection $\pi : (\mathscr{B}^2_3 \times_{\zeta^5} K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 4)) \to \mathscr{B}^2_3$ induces a map

$$\pi_* \ : \ [X, (\mathscr{B}^2_3 \times_{\zeta^5} K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 4))] \ \longrightarrow \ [X, \mathscr{B}^2_3] \ .$$

To prove the claim we need to show that: (i) the inverse image $\pi_*^{-1}([f])$ of every element $[f] \in [X, \mathscr{B}^2_3]$ can be identified with the set $[X, K(\mathbb{Z}_2; 4)]$, (ii) π_* is surjective. First of all we notice that $\pi_*^{-1}([f])$ is homotopy equivalent to the homotopy classes of lifts of $f : X \to \mathscr{B}^2_3$, *i. e.*

$$\pi_*^{-1}([f]) \ \simeq \ \left\{\tilde{f} : X \to (\mathscr{B}^2_3 \times_{\zeta^5} K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 4)) \,\middle|\, \pi \circ \tilde{f} = f\right\} \Big/ \text{homotopy} \ .$$

Let us consider the pullback fibration $\tilde{\pi} : f^*(\mathscr{B}^2_3 \times_{\zeta^5} K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 4)) \to X$. Sections s of this fibration are in one-to-one correspondence with the lifts $\tilde{f}$ by means of the formula $s(x) := (x, \tilde{f}(x))$ for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$\pi_*^{-1}([f]) \ \simeq \ \text{Sections}\Big(f^*(\mathscr{B}^2_3 \times_{\zeta^5} K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 4))\Big) \Big/ \text{homotopy} \ . \tag{5.29}$$

As a principal fibration $f^*(\mathscr{B}^2_3 \times_{\zeta^5} K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 4))$ is characterized by $f^*\zeta^5 \in H^5(X, \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$. Therefore, there is a homotopy equivalence $f^*(\mathscr{B}^2_3 \times_{\zeta^5} K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 4)) \simeq X \times K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 4)$. This implies that the sections of $f^*(\mathscr{B}^2_3 \times_{\zeta^5} K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 4))$ can be identified with maps on X into $K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 4)$ and so from (5.29) one gets

$$\pi_*^{-1}([f]) \ \simeq \ [X, K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 4)] \tag{5.30}$$

as desired for point (i). The point (ii) which concerns the surjectivity of π_* follows from the exact sequence of pointed sets

$$[X, (\mathscr{B}^2_3 \times_{\zeta^5} K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 4))]_* \ \longrightarrow \ [X, \mathscr{B}^2_3]_* \ \xrightarrow{\zeta^5_*} \ [X, K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 5)]_* \ \simeq \ H^5(X, \mathbb{Z}_2) \ = \ 0$$

induced by the fibration $\mathscr{B}^2_3 \times_{\zeta^5} K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 4) \to \mathscr{B}^2_3 \to K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 5)$. We used $[\ ,\ ]_*$ for the homotopy classes of base point preserving maps. The fact that $\mathscr{B}^2_3$ has an H-space structure implies the bijection $[X, \mathscr{B}^2_3]_* \simeq [X, \mathscr{B}^2_3]$ (*cf.* [Hat, Example 4A.3]). Finally, the surjectivity of π_* comes from the commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{[X, (\mathscr{B}^2_3 \times_{\zeta^5} K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 4))]_*} \arrow[r, "\text{surj.}"] \arrow[d, "\imath"'] & {[X, \mathscr{B}^2_3]_*} \arrow[d, leftrightarrow, "\simeq"] \\
{[X, (\mathscr{B}^2_3 \times_{\zeta^5} K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 4))]} \arrow[r, "\pi_*"] & {[X, \mathscr{B}^2_3]}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\imath$ is the obvious inclusion which forgets the base point. ■

Let us point out that the bijection (5.30) depends on a choice of a homotopy equivalence between $f^*(\mathscr{B}^2_3 \times_{\zeta^5} K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 4))$ and $X \times K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 4)$. This suggests that, a priori, different equivalences will lead to different bijections. Said differently the bijection claimed in Proposition (5.13) could not be *natural*.

5.6. **Chiral vector bundles over tori.**

Proposition 5.7 applies, in particular, to the torus $\mathbb{T}^d$ for $1 \leqslant d \leqslant 4$. However, the unstable case of rank 2 chiral vector bundles over $\mathbb{T}^4$ necessitates an extra argument.

Corollary 5.14. *Let X be a closed oriented* 4*-dimensional manifold. The following bijection of sets*

$$\text{Vec}^2_\chi(X) \ \simeq \ \text{Vec}^{m>2}_\chi(X) \ \oplus \ \mathbb{Z}_2$$

holds true.

Proof. The key argument is contained in Proposition 5.13 that can be applied here since $H^5(X, \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$. The result then follows just by combining equation (5.28) with the classification of $\text{Vec}^{m>2}_\chi(X)$ in Proposition 5.7 and the fact that $[X, K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 4)] \simeq H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$. ■

Since the torus $\mathbb{T}^4$ fulfills all the conditions of Corollary 5.14 one immediately gets

$$\text{Vec}^2_\chi(\mathbb{T}^4) \ \simeq \ \mathbb{Z}^{15} \ \oplus \ \mathbb{Z}_2 \ .$$

6. From topological quantum systems of class AIII to chiral Bloch-bundles

6.1. **Chiral vector bundles vs. Clifford vector bundles.** Vector bundles endowed with a Clifford action provide a geometric model for the K-theoretical version of the "Bott-clock" [Kar, Chapter III]. For this reason, these objects are usually taken as base for the justification of the Kitaev's "Periodic Table" for topological insulators [FM, Th1]. In this section we investigate how vector bundles endowed with a Clifford action can be related to χ-bundles.

Let us start by introducing some fundamental facts, and a little of terminology, about Clifford algebras. For a more complete introduction we refer to [Kar, Chapter III, Section 3] or [Le]. A *Clifford algebra* $C\ell(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{Q})$ is a unital associative algebra that contains and is generated by a vector space $\mathcal{V}$ over a (commutative) field $\mathbb{K}$, where $\mathcal{V}$ is equipped with a quadratic form $\mathcal{Q}$. More properly $C\ell(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{Q})$ is specified by a homomorphism $\imath:\mathcal{V}\to C\ell(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{Q})$ on the underlying vector space and by the conditions $\imath(\mathrm{v})^2=\mathcal{Q}(\mathrm{v})\mathbb{1}$, for all $\mathrm{v}\in\mathcal{V}$, where $\mathbb{1}$ denotes the unit element in the algebra. Clifford algebras turn out to be *universal* objects in the following sense: given any unital associative algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ over $\mathbb{K}$ and any linear map $\jmath:\mathcal{V}\to\mathfrak{A}$ such that $\jmath(\mathrm{v})^2=\mathcal{Q}(\mathrm{v})\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{A}}$ (where $\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{A}}$ denotes the multiplicative identity of $\mathfrak{A}$), there is a *unique* algebra homomorphism $\varphi: C\ell(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{Q})\to\mathfrak{A}$ such that $\varphi\circ\imath=\jmath$. The case we are more interested is when $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{V}=\mathbb{R}^n$ endowed with the quadratic form $\mathcal{Q}_{p,n-p}:=\mathrm{diag}(-1,\ldots,-1,+1,\ldots,+1)$ with p negative entries and $n-p$ positive entries. We introduce the short notation $\mathbb{R}^{p|n-p}$ for the pair $(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathcal{Q}_{p,n-p})$ and we denote by $C\ell^{p,n-p}$ the corresponding Clifford algebra. According to a classical result [Kar, Chapter III, Corollary 3.11] the algebra $C\ell^{p,n-p}$ is generated over $\mathbb{R}$ by a collection of symbols $e_1,\ldots,e_n$ subjected to the relations $(e_i)^2=-1$ if $1\leqslant i\leqslant p$; $(e_i)^2=+1$ if $p+1\leqslant i\leqslant n$ and $e_ie_j=-e_je_i$ if $i\neq j$. Clifford algebras over $\mathbb{R}^{p|n-p}$ have been completely classified. For our purpose, we need only the isomorphisms $C\ell^{1,0}\simeq\mathbb{C}$ and $C\ell^{0,1}\simeq\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathbb{R}$.

Clifford algebras are compatible with the structure of (complex) vector bundles in the following sense:

Definition 6.1 (Clifford vector bundle)**.** *Let $\mathscr{E}\to X$ be a rank m complex vector bundle over X and denote with* $\mathrm{End}(\mathscr{E})$ *the set of the (vector bundle) endomorphisms of $\mathscr{E}$. We can endow $\mathscr{E}$ with a Clifford action by means of an $\mathbb{R}$-algebra homomorphism $\rho: C\ell^{p,q}\to\mathrm{End}(\mathscr{E})$. We call the pair $(\mathscr{E},\rho)$ a* Clifford vector bundle *of type (p,q). A morphism between two Clifford vector bundles of the same type $(\mathscr{E},\rho)$ and $(\mathscr{E}',\rho')$ is a vector bundle morphism $f:\mathscr{E}\to\mathscr{E}'$ such that $f\circ\rho(a)=\rho'(a)\circ f$ for all $a\in C\ell^{p,q}$.*

We want to investigate the connection between chiral vector bundles and Clifford vector bundles of type $(0,1)$. Let us remark that $C\ell^{0,1}$ is generated over $\mathbb{R}$ by a unique symbol e such that $e^2=+1$. This implies that given an object $\mathscr{A}$ in a Banach category (*e. g.* a vector bundle) and an endomorphism $g\in\mathrm{End}(\mathscr{A})$ such that $g^2=\mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{A}}$ there is a unique $\mathbb{R}$-algebra homomorphism $\rho: C\ell^{0,1}\to\mathrm{End}(\mathscr{A})$ completely specified by $\rho(e)=g$ and $\rho(+1)=\mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{A}}$.

Lemma 6.2. *For a given rank m chiral vector bundle $(\mathscr{E},\Phi)$ over X, the pair $(\hat{\mathscr{E}},\rho)$ given by*

$$\hat{\mathscr{E}}\;:=\;\mathscr{E}\,\oplus\,\mathscr{E}\;,\qquad\qquad \rho(e)\;:=\;\begin{pmatrix}0&\Phi\\ \Phi^{-1}&0\end{pmatrix}\tag{6.1}$$

defines a rank $2m$ Clifford vector bundle of type $(0,1)$ over X. Moreover, this assignment is compatible with isomorphisms in the sense that isomorphic chiral vector bundles define isomorphic Clifford vector bundles.

Proof. Let $g=\rho(e)\in\mathrm{End}(\hat{\mathscr{E}})$ as specified by (6.1). For the proof that $(\hat{\mathscr{E}},\rho)$ is a Clifford vector bundle with a $C\ell^{0,1}$-action it is enough to observe that $g^2=\mathrm{Id}_{\hat{\mathscr{E}}}$. Now, let us consider two isomorphic χ-bundles $(\mathscr{E}_1,\Phi_1)\approx(\mathscr{E}_2,\Phi_2)$ with isomorphism given by a map f in the sense of diagram (2.2). Let $(\hat{\mathscr{E}}_1,\rho_1)$ and $(\hat{\mathscr{E}}_2,\rho_2)$ be the Clifford vector bundles associated to $(\mathscr{E}_1,\Phi_1)$ and $(\mathscr{E}_2,\Phi_2)$, respectively. The map

$$\hat{f}\;:=\;\begin{pmatrix}f&0\\0&f\end{pmatrix}$$

provides an isomorphism between $(\mathscr{E}_1, \Phi_1)$ and $(\mathscr{E}_2, \Phi_2)$ in the category of Clifford vector bundles since $\hat{f} \circ \rho_1(e) = \rho_2(e) \circ \hat{f}$. ■

The Clifford vector bundle $(\hat{\mathscr{E}}, \rho)$ built from a χ-bundle $(\mathscr{E}, \Phi)$ according to the prescription in Lemma 6.2 has more structure. Indeed, it is endowed with an *odd symmetric gradation* $\Gamma \in \mathrm{End}(\hat{\mathscr{E}})$ defined by

$$\Gamma \ := \ \begin{pmatrix} +\mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{E}} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{E}} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{6.2}$$

A direct computation shows that

$$\Gamma^2 \ = \ \mathrm{Id}_{\hat{\mathscr{E}}} \ , \qquad\qquad \Gamma \circ \rho(e) \ = \ -\rho(e) \circ \Gamma \tag{6.3}$$

and this two relations agree with the following general definition.

Definition 6.3 (Odd symmetric gradation)**.** *Let $\mathscr{E} \to X$ be a rank m complex vector bundle over X. A* gradation *of $\mathscr{E}$ is a $\Gamma \in \mathrm{End}(\mathscr{E})$ such that $\Gamma^2 = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{E}}$. The two endomorphisms $\Pi_\pm \in \mathrm{End}(\mathscr{E})$ defined by*

$$\Pi_\pm \ := \ \frac{1}{2}\Big(\mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{E}} \ \pm \ \Gamma\Big) \tag{6.4}$$

are idempotent, i. e. $\Pi_\pm^2 = \Pi_\pm$. Let X be as in Assumption 1.2. This assures that the numbers $n_\pm := \dim \ \mathrm{Ker}(\Pi_\pm|_x)$ do not depend of the choice of $x \in X$ (see e. g. the argument in [GBVF, Theorem 2.10]*) and $n_+ + n_- = m$. The numbers $n_\pm$ are called the* indeces *of Γ. The two vector subbundles $\mathscr{E}_\pm := \mathrm{Ker}(\Pi_\pm)$* [Hu, Chapter 3, Theorem 8.2] *provide a splitting*

$$\mathscr{E} \ = \ \mathscr{E}_+ \ \oplus \ \mathscr{E}_- \ .$$

The gradation Γ is called symmetric *when $n_+ = n_-$ (which implies that m has to be even). If $(\mathscr{E}, \rho)$ is a Clifford vector bundle of type (p, q) we say that Γ is an* odd *gradation for $(\mathscr{E}, \rho)$ if in addition $\Gamma \circ \rho(e_i) = -\rho(e_i) \circ \Gamma$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant p + q$.*

Lemma 6.4. *Let $(\hat{\mathscr{E}}, \rho)$ be a rank $2m$ Clifford vector bundle of type $(0, 1)$ over a space X that verifies Assumption 1.2. Let $\Gamma \in \mathrm{End}(\hat{\mathscr{E}})$ be an odd symmetric gradation and $\mathscr{E}_\pm := \mathrm{Ker}(\Pi_\pm)$ the two rank m vector bundles defined by the idempotents* (6.4)*. Then $\mathscr{E}_+$ and $\mathscr{E}_-$ are isomorphic as complex vector bundles and there is an isomorphisms $\Theta : \mathscr{E}_- \to \mathscr{E}_+$ such that*

$$\hat{\mathscr{E}} \ = \ \mathscr{E}_+ \ \oplus \ \mathscr{E}_- \ , \qquad\qquad \rho(e) \ = \ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Theta \\ \Theta^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{6.5}$$

Moreover, each pair of isomorphisms $h_\pm : \mathscr{E}_\pm \to \mathscr{E}$ defines a rank m chiral vector bundle $(\mathscr{E}, \Phi_h)$ with $\Phi_h := h_+ \circ \Theta \circ h_-^{-1}$.

Proof. The first part follows by observing that $\rho(e) \circ \Pi_\pm \circ \rho(e) = \Pi_\mp$ which implies that $\Pi_+ \circ \rho(e) \circ \Pi_-$ is an endomorphism of $\hat{\mathscr{E}}$ which restricts to a linear isomorphism between corresponding fibers of $\mathscr{E}_-$ and $\mathscr{E}_+$. This assures by [Hu, Chapter 3, Theorem 2.5] that the restriction of $\Pi_+ \circ \rho(e) \circ \Pi_-$ defines an isomorphism $\Theta : \mathscr{E}_- \to \mathscr{E}_+$ with inverse given by $\Pi_- \circ \rho(e) \circ \Pi_+$. The second part of the claim is just a consequence of the fact that Φ_h is an endomorphisms of $\mathscr{E}$ by construction. ■

Remark 6.5. Lemma 6.4 deserves some comments.

(a) There is a natural notion of isomorphism between two Clifford vector bundles of type $(0, 1)$ endowed with symmetric gradations $(\hat{\mathscr{E}}, \rho, \Gamma)$ and $(\hat{\mathscr{E}}', \rho', \Gamma')$. This is given by an isomorphism of complex vector bundles $\hat{f} : \hat{\mathscr{E}} \to \hat{\mathscr{E}}'$ such that $\hat{f} \circ \Gamma = \Gamma' \circ \hat{f}$ and $\hat{f} \circ \rho(e) = \rho'(e) \circ \hat{f}$. In terms of the splitting (6.5) this is equivalent to the existence of two isomorphisms $f_\pm : \mathscr{E}_\pm \to \mathscr{E}'_\pm$ such that

$$\hat{f} \ = \ \begin{pmatrix} f_+ & 0 \\ 0 & f_- \end{pmatrix} , \qquad\qquad f_+ \circ \Theta \ = \ \Theta' \circ f_- \ . \tag{6.6}$$

(b) There is an asymmetry between Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 6.4. The first proves that a chiral vector bundle uniquely specifies (up to isomorphisms) a Clifford vector bundle of type $(0,1)$ endowed with an odd symmetric gradation (6.2). Conversely, Lemma 6.4 shows that in order to reconstruct a chiral vector bundle $(\mathscr{E}_h, \Phi_h)$ from a Clifford vector bundle of type $(0,1)$ with odd symmetric gradation $(\hat{\mathscr{E}}, \rho, \Gamma)$ one needs to make a choice of a pair of isomorphisms $h_\pm : \mathscr{E}_\pm \to \mathscr{E}$ to a *target* vector bundle $\mathscr{E}$. However, the new Clifford vector bundle of type $(0,1)$ with odd symmetric gradation $(\hat{\mathscr{E}}_h, \rho_h, \Gamma_h)$ obtained from $(\mathscr{E}_h, \Phi_h)$ by following the construction in Lemma 6.2 turns out to be isomorphic (in the sense of point (a) above) to the original triplet $(\hat{\mathscr{E}}, \rho, \Gamma)$ through the isomorphism

$$\hat{h} : (\hat{\mathscr{E}}, \rho, \Gamma) \ \to \ (\hat{\mathscr{E}}_h, \rho_h, \Gamma_h) \,, \qquad \hat{h} \ = \ \begin{pmatrix} h_+ & 0 \\ 0 & h_- \end{pmatrix} .$$

(c) The construction of the χ-bundle $(\mathscr{E}_h, \Phi_h)$ is based on the choice of a pair of isomorphisms $h_\pm : \mathscr{E}_\pm \to \mathscr{E}$. However, only the *difference* between h_+ and h_- is really important. More precisely, let us consider the pair of isomorphisms $h^{(+)}_\pm : \mathscr{E}_\pm \to \mathscr{E}_+$ defined by $h^{(+)}_+ := \mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{E}_+}$ and $h^{(+)}_- := h_+^{-1} \circ h_-$ and the related chiral vector bundle $(\mathscr{E}_+, \Phi_{h_+})$ with $\Phi_{h_+} := \Theta \circ h_-^{-1} \circ h_+$. Then, $(\mathscr{E}_+, \Phi_{h_+}) \approx (\mathscr{E}, \Phi_h)$ as chiral vector bundles with isomorphism given by the map h_+. Similarly, one can consider the pair of maps $h^{(-)}_\pm : \mathscr{E}_\pm \to \mathscr{E}_-$ defined by $h^{(-)}_+ := h_-^{-1} \circ h_+$ and $h^{(-)}_- := \mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{E}_-}$ and the associated chiral vector bundle $(\mathscr{E}_-, \Phi_{h_-})$. Then the map h_- provides a χ-bundle isomorphism $(\mathscr{E}_-, \Phi_{h_-}) \approx (\mathscr{E}, \Phi_h)$. Finally, by transitivity one gets

$$(\mathscr{E}_+, \Phi_{h_+}) \ \approx \ (\mathscr{E}, \Phi_h) \ \approx \ (\mathscr{E}_-, \Phi_{h_-}) \,.$$

In conclusion, in order to realize a χ-bundle from a triplet $(\hat{\mathscr{E}}, \rho, \Gamma)$ one only needs to specify a *reference* map $h_{\mathrm{ref}} : \mathscr{E}_- \to \mathscr{E}_+$ and to consider the associated *standard* χ-bundle $(\mathscr{E}_-, \Phi)$ with $\Phi := h_{\mathrm{ref}}^{-1} \circ \Theta$. Let $h'_{\mathrm{ref}} : \mathscr{E}_- \to \mathscr{E}_+$ be a second reference map. Then $(\mathscr{E}_-, \Phi) \approx (\mathscr{E}_-, \Phi')$ as chiral vector bundles if and only if there is a $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathscr{E}_-)$ such that $\Phi' = f \circ \Phi \circ f^{-1}$, namely if and only if

$$h'_{\mathrm{ref}} \ = \ \Theta \circ f \circ \Theta^{-1} \circ h_{\mathrm{ref}} \circ f^{-1}$$

This equation shows that the freedom in the choice of h_{ref} is measured by $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathscr{E}_-)$.

(d) Consider now two of isomorphic triplet $(\hat{\mathscr{E}}, \rho, \Gamma)$ and $(\hat{\mathscr{E}}', \rho', \Gamma')$ with isomorphism $\hat{f}$ as in (6.6). Let $h_{\mathrm{ref}} : \mathscr{E}_- \to \mathscr{E}_+$ and $h'_{\mathrm{ref}} : \mathscr{E}'_- \to \mathscr{E}'_+$ be two reference maps and $(\mathscr{E}_-, \Phi)$ and $(\mathscr{E}'_-, \Phi')$ the two associated standard χ-bundles described in (c). If it happens that

$$f_+ \circ h_{\mathrm{ref}} \ = \ h'_{\mathrm{ref}} \circ f_- \tag{6.7}$$

then $f_- : (\mathscr{E}_-, \Phi) \to (\mathscr{E}'_-, \Phi')$ is an isomorphism of chiral vector bundles. Indeed the condition $\Phi = f_-^{-1} \circ \Phi' \circ f_-$ follows by exploiting the relations (6.6) and (6.7). This observation is, to some extent, an inverse of the content of Lemma 6.2.

(e) The effect of the reference map $h_{\mathrm{ref}} : \mathscr{E}_- \to \mathscr{E}_+$ on the triplet $(\hat{\mathscr{E}}, \rho, \Gamma)$ can be also understood as the introduction of a second $C\ell^{0,1}$ Clifford-action

$$\rho_{\mathrm{ref}}(e) \ = \ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & h_{\mathrm{ref}} \\ h_{\mathrm{ref}}^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{6.8}$$

which evidently anti-commute with the gradation Γ.

The sequence (a)-(e) of the above remarks suggests a strong relation between χ-bundles and Clifford vector bundle with a *double* $C\ell^{0,1}$-action endowed with an odd symmetric gradation. ◄

Let us consider quadruplets $(\hat{\mathscr{E}}, \rho_1, \rho_2, \Gamma)$ given by a rank $2m$ complex vector bundle $\hat{\mathscr{E}}$, an odd symmetric gradation Γ and two Clifford actions $\rho_i : C\ell^{0,1} \to \mathrm{End}(\hat{\mathscr{E}})$, $i = 1, 2$, which anti-commute with the gradation, $\Gamma \circ \rho_i(e) = -\rho_i(e) \circ \Gamma$. An isomorphism between two quadruplets $(\hat{\mathscr{E}}, \rho_1, \rho_2, \Gamma)$ and $(\hat{\mathscr{E}}', \rho'_1, \rho'_2, \Gamma')$ is an isomorphism $\hat{f} : \hat{\mathscr{E}} \to \hat{\mathscr{E}}'$ which intertwines the gradations $\hat{f} \circ \Gamma = \Gamma' \circ \hat{f}$ and the

$C\ell^{0,1}$-actions $\hat{f}\circ\rho_i(e)=\rho_i'(e)\circ\hat{f}$. Lemma 6.2, Lemma 6.4 and the various items (a)-(e) in Remark 6.5 can be summarized in the following claim.

Proposition 6.6. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between isomorphism classes of rank m chiral vector bundles and isomorphism classes of rank* $2m$ *Clifford vector bundles with a double* $(0,1)$ *structure and odd symmetric gradation.*

Finally, few words about the homotopy. The notion of homotopy equivalence between quadruplets $(\hat{\mathscr{E}},\rho_1,\rho_2,\Gamma)$ and $(\hat{\mathscr{E}}',\rho_1',\rho_2',\Gamma')$ over the space X can be introduced by mimicking Definition 2.4. We say that $(\hat{\mathscr{E}},\rho_1,\rho_2,\Gamma)\sim(\hat{\mathscr{E}}',\rho_1',\rho_2',\Gamma')$ if and only if there is an intertwining quadruplet $(\tilde{\mathscr{E}},\tilde{\rho}_1,\tilde{\rho}_2,\tilde{\Gamma})$ over $X\times[0,1]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\hat{\mathscr{E}},\rho_1,\rho_2,\Gamma\right)\;&\simeq\;\left(\tilde{\mathscr{E}}|_{X\times\{0\}},\tilde{\rho}_1|_{X\times\{0\}},\tilde{\rho}_2|_{X\times\{0\}},\tilde{\Gamma}|_{X\times\{0\}}\right)\\ \left(\hat{\mathscr{E}}',\rho_1',\rho_2',\Gamma'\right)\;&\simeq\;\left(\tilde{\mathscr{E}}|_{X\times\{1\}},\tilde{\rho}_1|_{X\times\{1\}},\tilde{\rho}_2|_{X\times\{1\}},\tilde{\Gamma}|_{X\times\{1\}}\right)\end{aligned}$$

where $\simeq$ denotes the isomorphism between Clifford vector bundles with a double $(0,1)$ structure and odd symmetric gradation. The following result is an immediate consequence of Proposition 6.6 and the notion of homotopy.

Theorem 6.7. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between homotopic classes* $[(\mathscr{E},\Phi)]$ *of rank m chiral vector bundles and homotopic classes* $[(\hat{\mathscr{E}},\rho_1,\rho_2,\Gamma)]$ *of rank* $2m$ *Clifford vector bundles with a double* $C\ell^{0,1}$*-action and odd symmetric gradation.*

6.2. **Construction of the chiral Bloch-bundle.** A standard construction associates to each topological quantum system (1.6) with an isolated family of m energy bands (1.7) a complex vector bundle of rank m over X which, to some extent, can be named *Bloch-bundle*[4]. The first step of this construction is the realization of a continuous map of rank m spectral projections $X\ni x\mapsto P(x)$ by means of the *Riesz-Dunford integral*:

$$P_\Omega(x)\;:=\;\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\pi}\int_C \mathrm{d}z\,\Big(H(x)-z\,\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}}\Big)^{-1}\tag{6.9}$$

associated with any isolated family of energy bands Ω which verifies (1.7). Here $C\subset\mathbb{C}$ is any regular closed path which encloses, without touching, the spectral range Ω. The second step turns out to be a concrete application of the *Serre-Swan Theorem* [Sw] (see also [GBVF, Theorem 2.10]) which relates vector bundles and continuous family of projections by

$$\hat{\mathscr{E}}_\Omega\;:=\;\bigsqcup_{x\in X}\mathrm{Ran}\,P_\Omega(x)\;.\tag{6.10}$$

Sometimes, $x\mapsto P_\Omega(x)$ is equivariant with respect to a *free* action of a topological group $\mathbb{G}$ over X. In this case a quotient procedure of the type [At, Proposition 1.6.1] is required. For instance, this kind of construction is necessary for the Harper operator [DL] which describes the strong magnetic filed limit of the Landau operator.

By borrowing the accepted terminology for topological insulators we can refer to systems which verify only (1.6) and (1.7) as topological quantum systems in class **A** (see *e.g.* [SRFL]). In this case the (Cartan) label **A** expresses the absence of any kind of (pseudo-)symmetry or other extra structures. Since topological quantum systems in class **A** lead to complex vector bundles (without any other extra structure) they are topologically classified by the set $\mathrm{Vec}^m_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ of the isomorphism classes of rank m complex vector bundles over the base space X. The classification of complex vector bundles is a classical, well-studied, problem in topology. Under rather general assumptions on the base space X, the set $\mathrm{Vec}^m_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ can be classified by using homotopy theory techniques and, for dimension $d\leqslant 4$ (and for all m) a complete description can be done in terms of cohomology groups and Chern classes [Pet] (see *e.g.* [DG1, Section 3] for a review of these standard results).

---

[4] This name is justified by the fact that the *Bloch theory* for electrons in a crystal (see *e.g.* [AM]) provides some of the most interesting examples of topological quantum systems.

The presence of a chiral symmetry (1.8) introduces more structure. The first consequence is that the spectrum of the operator $H(x)$ is symmetric with respect to the zero energy, *i. e.*

$$+\lambda(x) \in \sigma\big(H(x)\big) \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad -\lambda(x) \in \sigma\big(H(x)\big) \qquad \forall\, x \in X\;. \tag{6.11}$$

Indeed, if $\psi(x) \in \mathcal{H}$ is an eigenvector of $H(x)$ with eigenvalue $\lambda(x)$ then $\psi'(x) := \chi(x)\psi(x)$ is still an eigenvector but with eigenvalue $-\lambda(x)$. This symmetry justifies the following assumption which is usually verified in the most common physical situations.

Assumption 6.8 (Zero energy gap). *Let $X \ni x \mapsto H(x)$ be a topological quantum systems with chiral symmetry $X \ni x \mapsto \chi(x)$ as in Definition 1.5. We will assume that $H(x)$ has an energy gap in zero, i. e. $0 \notin \sigma(H(x))$ for all $x \in X$.*

Due to this assumption we can select an isolated family of energy bands of type $\Omega = \Omega_- \cup \Omega_+$ where the sets $\Omega_\pm := \{\lambda_{\pm j_1}(\cdot), \ldots, \lambda_{\pm j_m}(\cdot)\}$ contain energy bands of fixed sign and pair of bands $\lambda_{+j_i}, \lambda_{-j_i}$ are related by the chiral symmetry χ. We refer to Ω_+ and Ω_- as the *positive* and *negative energy sector*, respectively. The spectral projection associated to Ω by (6.9) splits accordingly in a positive and a negative part

$$P_\Omega(x) \;=\; P_{\Omega_+}(x) \;+\; P_{\Omega_-}(x)\;, \qquad P_{\Omega_\pm}(x)\, P_{\Omega_\mp}(x) \;=\; 0$$

since the zero energy gap assumption. Moreover, the chiral symmetry intertwines the two energy sectors,

$$\chi(x)\, P_{\Omega_\pm}(x)\, \chi(x) \;=\; P_{\Omega_\mp}(x)\;. \tag{6.12}$$

The splitting of P_Ω induces a splitting of the Bloch-bundle

$$\hat{\mathscr{E}}_\Omega \;=\; \mathscr{E}_{\Omega_+} \,\oplus\, \mathscr{E}_{\Omega_-}\;, \qquad \mathscr{E}_{\Omega_\pm} \;:=\; \bigsqcup_{x\in X} \mathrm{Ran}\; P_{\Omega_\pm}(x) \tag{6.13}$$

and the relation (6.12) implies that the two summands are isomorphic as complex vector bundles, $\mathscr{E}_{\Omega_+} \simeq \mathscr{E}_{\Omega_-}$. The operator

$$\Gamma_\Omega(x) \;:=\; P_\Omega(x)\, \chi(x)\, P_\Omega(x)\;, \qquad \Gamma_\Omega(x)^2 \;=\; P_\Omega(x) \tag{6.14}$$

has the structure of an involution on the space $\mathrm{Ran} P_\Omega(x)$ and so it identifies a gradation $\Gamma_\Omega \in \mathrm{End}(\hat{\mathscr{E}}_\Omega)$, $\Gamma_\Omega^2 = \mathrm{Id}_{\hat{\mathscr{E}}_\Omega}$, of the rank $2m$ Bloch-bundle (6.13). This gradation turns out to be symmetric.

Lemma 6.9. *Let Γ_Ω be the gradation of the Bloch-bundle $\hat{\mathscr{E}}_\Omega \to X$ defined fiberwise by* (6.14). *Define the two idempotent endomorphisms $\Pi_{\Omega,\pm} \in \mathrm{End}(\hat{\mathscr{E}}_\Omega)$ as in* (6.4). *Then* dim $\mathrm{Ker}(\Pi_{\Omega,\pm}) = m$ *showing that Γ_Ω is a symmetric gradation .*

Proof. Since $\Pi_{\Omega,\pm}$ are idempotent endomorphisms of $\hat{\mathscr{E}}_\Omega$, the dimension of their kernels are locally constant on X (see *e. g.* the argument in [GBVF, Theorem 2.10]). The connectedness of X assures that the dimension of the kernels are globally constant. This means that we can make the computation just by looking at a single fiber over a point $x \in X$. Here the idempotents $\Pi_{\Omega,\pm}$ have the expression

$$\Pi_{\Omega,\pm}(x) \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\Big(P_\Omega(x) \;\pm\; P_\Omega(x)\, \chi(x)\, P_\Omega(x)\Big)\;. \tag{6.15}$$

Let $\{\psi_{-j}\}$, $j = 1, \ldots, m$, be a basis for the negative energy sector eigenspace $P_{\Omega_-}(x)\mathcal{H}$ and $\{\psi_{+j}\}$ the related basis for the positive energy sector eigenspace $P_{\Omega_+}(x)\mathcal{H}$. The relation between the two bases is fixed by $\psi_{\pm j} = \chi(x)\psi_{\mp j}$. Let $\{\phi_{\pm j}\}$, $j = 1, \ldots, m$, be the new basis for the full space $P_\Omega(x)\mathcal{H}$ given by

$$\phi_{\pm j} \;:=\; \frac{1}{2}\Big(\psi_{+j} \;\pm\; \psi_{-j}\Big) \tag{6.16}$$

Since $\chi(x)\phi_{\pm j} = \pm\phi_{\pm j}$ and $P_\Omega(x)\phi_{\pm j} = \phi_{\pm j}$ one checks that $\Pi_{\Omega,\pm}(x)\phi_{\pm j} = \phi_{\pm j}$ and $\Pi_{\Omega,\pm}(x)\phi_{\mp j} = 0$. This concludes the proof. ■

The evident relations $P(x) = \Pi_{\Omega,+}(x) + \Pi_{\Omega,-}(x)$ and $\Pi_{\Omega,\pm}(x)\Pi_{\Omega,\mp}(x) = 0$ imply a different splitting of the Bloch-bundle

$$\hat{\mathscr{E}}_\Omega \;=\; \mathscr{E}_+ \,\oplus\, \mathscr{E}_-\;, \qquad \mathscr{E}_\pm \;:=\; \bigsqcup_{x\in X} \mathrm{Ran}\; \Pi_{\Omega,\pm}(x)\;. \tag{6.17}$$

The *flattened* (restricted) Hamiltonian

$$\rho_\Omega(x) \ := \ P_\Omega(x)\, \frac{H(x)}{|H(x)|}\, P_\Omega(x) = \ P_{\Omega_+}(x) \ - \ P_{\Omega_-}(x) \tag{6.18}$$

turns out to be well-defined due to Assumption 6.8 and the two relations

$$\rho_\Omega(x)^2 \ = \ P_\Omega(x)\ , \qquad \Gamma_\Omega(x)\, \rho_\Omega(x) \ = \ -\rho_\Omega(x)\, \Gamma_\Omega(x) \tag{6.19}$$

prove that the operator ρ_Ω introduces a $C\ell^{0,1}$-action on the total Bloch-bundle $\hat{\mathscr{E}}_\Omega$ and the gradation Γ_Ω is odd with respect to this action. The relations

$$\rho_\Omega(x)\, \Pi_{\Omega,\pm}(x)\, \rho_\Omega(x) \ = \ \Pi_{\Omega,\mp}(x)\ , \qquad \Gamma_\Omega(x) \ = \ \Pi_{\Omega,+}(x) \ - \ \Pi_{\Omega,-}(x)$$

imply the isomorphism $\mathscr{E}_+ \simeq \mathscr{E}_-$ of the complex vector bundles in the splitting (6.17). Moreover, with respect to such a gradation the Clifford action $\rho_\Omega(e)$ and the gradation Γ_Ω are represented as

$$\Gamma_\Omega \ = \ \begin{pmatrix} +\mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{E}_+} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{E}_-} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \rho_\Omega(e) \ = \ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Theta_\chi \\ \Theta_\chi^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The isomorphism $\Theta_\chi : \mathscr{E}_-^\chi \to \mathscr{E}_+^\chi$ and its inverse Θ_χ^{-1} are described fiberwise by

$$\Theta_\chi(x) \ := \ \Pi_{\Omega,+}(x)\, \rho_\Omega(x)\, \Pi_{\Omega,-}(x)$$
$$\Theta_\chi^{-1}(x) \ := \ \Pi_{\Omega,-}(x)\, \rho_\Omega(x)\, \Pi_{\Omega,+}(x)\ .$$

Summarizing, up to now we had shown how from a topological quantum system with chiral symmetry and zero energy gap one can associate a rank $2m$ Bloch-bundle $\hat{\mathscr{E}}_\Omega$ with a $C\ell^{0,1}$-action ρ_Ω and a symmetric odd gradation Γ_Ω, provided that there exists a family of m negative (resp. positive) energy bands Ω_- (resp. Ω_+) separated from the rest of the spectrum. However, as discussed at the end of Section 6.1, the data contained in the triplet $(\hat{\mathscr{E}}_\Omega, \rho_\Omega, \Gamma_\Omega)$ are not enough alone to determinate a chiral vector bundle. We need a second *reference* isomorphism $h_{\mathrm{ref}} : \mathscr{E}_- \to \mathscr{E}_+$ or equivalently a second $C\ell^{0,1}$-action ρ_{ref} compatible with the gradation Γ_Ω. Once such an h_{ref} has been given we can uniquely specify the χ-bundle $(\mathscr{E}_-, \Phi_\chi)$ with $\Phi_\chi := h_{\mathrm{ref}}^{-1} \circ \Theta_\chi$. This construction justifies the Assumption 1.6 given in the introduction.

Remark 6.10. In order to complete the picture of the relation between topological quantum systems with chiral symmetry and χ-bundles a couple of remarks are still necessary.

(a) The choice of the reference isomorphism h_{ref} corresponds to the choice of a (local) system of coordinates for the vector bundles $\pi_\pm : \mathscr{E}_\pm \to X$. As a matter of fact $\mathscr{E}_-$ and $\mathscr{E}_+$ are isomorphic hence they can be trivialized on the same open covering $\{\mathcal{U}_\alpha\}$ of X. Let $h_{\alpha,\pm} : \pi_\pm^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_\alpha) \to \mathcal{U}_\alpha \times \mathbb{C}^m$ be a choice of a local trivialization. On the overlappings $\mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta$ both systems of local trivializations transform with the same system of transition functions $g_{\beta\alpha} : \mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta \to \mathbb{U}(m)$ according to $h_{\beta,\pm} = g_{\beta\alpha} \circ h_{\alpha,\pm}$. This implies that the collection of local isomorphisms $h_{\alpha,\mathrm{ref}} := h_{\alpha,+}^{-1} \circ h_{\alpha,-} : \pi_-^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_\alpha) \to \pi_+^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_\alpha)$ "glues" together and defines a global isomorphism $h_{\mathrm{ref}} : \mathscr{E}_- \to \mathscr{E}_+$.

(b) The Clifford $C\ell^{0,1}$-action ρ_{ref} associated to the reference isomorphisms h_{ref} according to (6.8) has fiberwise the expression

$$\rho_{\mathrm{ref}}(x) \ = \ \Pi_{\Omega,+}(x)\, h_{\mathrm{ref}}(x)\, \Pi_{\Omega,-}(x) \ + \ \Pi_{\Omega,-}(x)\, h_{\mathrm{ref}}^{-1}(x)\, \Pi_{\Omega,+}(x)\ .$$

After expressing the projections $\Pi_{\Omega,\pm}(x)$ in terms of the Fermi projection $P_\Omega(x)$ according to (6.15) one gets after some algebra

$$\rho_{\mathrm{ref}}(x) \ = \ P_\Omega(x) \left(\frac{\mathbb{1} + \chi(x)}{2}\, h_{\mathrm{ref}}(x)\, \frac{\mathbb{1} - \chi(x)}{2} \ + \ \frac{\mathbb{1} - \chi(x)}{2}\, h_{\mathrm{ref}}^{-1}(x)\, \frac{\mathbb{1} + \chi(x)}{2} \right) P_\Omega(x)\ .$$

By choosing the map $x \mapsto h_{\mathrm{ref}}(x)$ to be unitary-valued (this implies no loss of generality) one can see that $\rho_{\mathrm{ref}}(x)$ turns out to be a self-adjoint operator acting on the relevant spectral subspace $P_\Omega(x)\mathcal{H}$ and endowed with the (evident) chiral symmetry $\chi(x)\rho_{\mathrm{ref}}(x)\chi(x) = -\rho_{\mathrm{ref}}(x)$.

In this sense $\rho_{\mathrm{ref}}(x)$ can be considered as a *reference* chiral Hamiltonian for the initial chiral Hamiltonian $H(x)$.

Some of the observations in (a) and (b) above will acquire a certain importance inside the context of Section 6.3. ◀

We conclude this section with a comparison between the *energy splitting* (6.13) and the *chiral splitting* (6.17) of the Bloch-bundle $\hat{\mathscr{E}}_\Omega$.

Lemma 6.11. *Let* $\mathscr{E}_{\Omega_\pm}$ *be the two rank m vector bundles which provide the energy splitting* (6.13) *of the Bloch-bundle* $\hat{\mathscr{E}}_\Omega$*. Similarly, let* $\mathscr{E}_\pm$ *be the two rank m vector bundles which provide the chiral splitting* (6.13) *of* $\hat{\mathscr{E}}_\Omega$*. Then one has*

$$\mathscr{E}_{\Omega_-} \simeq \mathscr{E}_{\Omega_+} \simeq \mathscr{E}_{\chi,+} \simeq \mathscr{E}_{\chi,-}$$

where all the isomorphisms are meant in the category of complex vector bundles.

Proof. The isomorphisms $\mathscr{E}_{\Omega_-} \simeq \mathscr{E}_{\Omega_+}$ and $\mathscr{E}_+ \simeq \mathscr{E}_-$ has already been discussed in the text. At any rate all the isomorphisms can be checked directly by showing that all the vector bundles have a same system of transition functions $g_{\beta\alpha}$ subordinate to an open covering $\{\mathfrak{U}_\alpha\}$ of the base space X [Hu, Chapter 5, Theorem 2.7]. Let $\mathfrak{U}_\alpha$ and $\mathfrak{U}_\beta$ be two elements of the covering with non trivial intersection $\mathfrak{U}_\alpha \cap \mathfrak{U}_\beta \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{\psi^{(\alpha)}_{+j}\}$ and $\{\psi^{(\beta)}_{+j}\}$, $j = 1, \ldots, m$ be two local frames for $\mathscr{E}_{\Omega_+}$ supported on $\mathfrak{U}_\alpha$ and $\mathfrak{U}_\beta$, respectively, and related by $\psi^{(\beta)}_{+j} = \sum_{k=1}^m g^{j,k}_{\beta\alpha}\, \psi^{(\alpha)}_{+k}$ on the intersection $\mathfrak{U}_\alpha \cap \mathfrak{U}_\beta$. The local systems $\{\psi^{(\alpha)}_{-j} := \chi\psi^{(\alpha)}_{+j}\}$ and $\{\psi^{(\beta)}_{-j} := \chi\psi^{(\beta)}_{+j}\}$ provide a local trivialization for $\mathscr{E}_{\Omega_-}$. On the intersection $\mathfrak{U}_\alpha \cap \mathfrak{U}_\beta$ we have that

$$\psi^{(\beta)}_{-j} = \chi\, \psi^{(\beta)}_{+j} = \chi \left(\sum_{k=1}^m g^{j,k}_{\beta\alpha}\, \psi^{(\alpha)}_{+k} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^m g^{j,k}_{\beta\alpha} \left(\chi \psi^{(\alpha)}_{+k} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^m g^{j,k}_{\beta\alpha}\, \psi^{(\alpha)}_{-k}$$

and this shows that $g_{\beta\alpha}$ provides a system of transition functions also for $\mathscr{E}_{\Omega_-}$. Local frames for $\mathscr{E}_+$ and $\mathscr{E}_-$ can be realized as linear combinations of $\{\psi^{(\beta)}_{+j}\}$ and $\{\psi^{(\beta)}_{-j}\}$ according to equation (6.16). Since $\{\psi^{(\beta)}_{+j}\}$ and $\{\psi^{(\beta)}_{-j}\}$ transform according to the same system of transition functions also their linear combinations will transforms according to the same system just by linearity. ■

6.3. **Comparison with the literature.** The aim of this section is to compare our point of view about the topological phases of chiral topological quantum systems (as given in Definition 1.6) with various aspects typically accepted in the literature concerning the topology of the chiral class **AIII**.

Topological insulators of class AIII. We will briefly sketch the usual derivation of the topological invariants for topological insulators of class **AIII** as presented in the classical literature on the subject [SRFL, RSFL] as well as in more recent reviews [QZ, BuT] or new research works [PS].

One start with a matrix-valued map of the type

$$X \ni x \longmapsto H(x) = H(x)^* \in \mathrm{Mat}_{\mathbb{C}}(2m) \tag{6.20}$$

where X is usually a d-dimensional sphere $\mathbb{S}^d$ (free fermions system) or a d-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^d$. Then one assumes the existence of a *constant* involutive unitary matrix $\Gamma \in \mathrm{Mat}_{\mathbb{C}}(2m)$ such that

$$\Gamma\, H(x) + H(x)\, \Gamma = 0\,, \qquad \Gamma = \Gamma^* = \Gamma^{-1}\,. \tag{6.21}$$

The existence of a gap at zero energy (in the same spirit of Assumption 6.8) assures that the spectrum of $H(x)$ is symmetric with respect to the zero energy with equal number of positive and negative eigenvalues $n_+ = n_- = m$. The gradation Γ turns out to be symmetric and, up to a choice of a splitting $\mathbb{C}^{2m} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$ (which does *not* fixe uniquely a basis!), it is represented by

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} +\mathbb{1}_m & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{1}_m \end{pmatrix} \tag{6.22}$$

where $\mathbb{1}_m$ is the $m \times m$ identity matrix. At this point one considers the flattened Hamiltonian

$$\rho(x) \;=\; \frac{H(x)}{|H(x)|} \;=\; P_+(x) \;-\; P_-(x) \tag{6.23}$$

which is well defined since the zero gap condition. The spectral projections $P_\pm(x)$ provide pointwise a splitting of the ambient space $\mathbb{C}^{2m}$ in the positive and negative energy sector. One of the consequences of (6.23) is that

$$\rho(x)^2 \;=\; \mathbb{1}_{2m}\;. \tag{6.24}$$

With respect to the basis which provides the grading of Γ in (6.22) one has that

$$\rho(x) \;=\; \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & U(x) \\ U(x)^* & 0 \end{array}\right) \tag{6.25}$$

where the anti-diagonal structure is given by the anti-commutation relation with Γ and the presence of the adjoint operator $U(x)^*$ in the lower left corner is due to the self-adjointness of $\rho(x)$. Finally the constraint (6.24) implies that $U(x)^* = U(x)^{-1}$ which means that $x \mapsto U(x) \in \mathbb{U}(m)$ is a unitary-valued map. At this point one defines the topological phase of the system as

$$[U] \;\in\; [X, \mathbb{U}(m)]$$

namely as the homotopy class of the map $U : X \to, \mathbb{U}(m)$. When $X = \mathbb{S}^d$ the phase is classified by $\pi_d(\mathbb{U}(m))$ and $[U]$ coincides with the degree (or winding number) of the map.

This derivation seems to be quite close to our arguments in Section (6.2). However there are two important differences which make the above approach *less* general than our point of view.

(1) - *Non-trivial Fermi projection* - Model of the type (6.20) with a fiber of finite dimension are usually introduced as effective operators which describe the physics related to a relevant energy regime (finite number of filled bands) of a realistic, generally unbounded, operator. Said differently $H(x)$ has to be interpreted as the restriction $P_\Omega(x)\hat{H}(x)P_\Omega(x)$ where $\hat{H}(x)$ is an operator living in a bigger, possibly infinite dimensional, Hilbert space and $P_\Omega(x)$ is the *Fermi* projection on the relevant set $\Omega = \Omega_+ + \Omega_-$ of the m positive and m negative energy bands. In effect, this is the precise mechanisms which allows to justify the use of *tight-binding* models as the representation on the basis of the Wannier functions [Wa] of a full Hamiltonian restricted on a finite range of energies (see also [Te, ST] for a more modern and general point of view). The hypothesis that $H(x)$ is an element of an x-independent space $\mathrm{Mat}_{\mathbb{C}}(2m)$ is equivalent to assume that the Fermi projection $P_\Omega(x)$ is constant or that it can be at least continuously deformed to a constant projection. This implies that topology of the Bloch-bundles $\hat{\mathscr{E}}_\Omega$ associated to $P_\Omega(x)$ is trivial. This fact can be also derived from the pointwise splitting of the Fermi projection in its positive and negative energy parts $P_\Omega(x) = P_+(x) + P_-(x)$. Equations (6.24) and (6.23) implies that $\mathbb{1}_{2m} = [P_+(x) - P_-(x)]^2 = P_\Omega(x)$. Moreover, $P_+(x)$ and $P_-(x)$ are related by a unitary transformation induced by the gradation Γ hence they are topologically equivalent. Since their sum gives a trivial bundle they are forced to have order two Chern classes. In many physical situations of interest the base space X has torsion-free integer cohomology groups and this implies that $P_+(x)$ and $P_-(x)$ need to have vanishing Chern classes. This is a strong requirement which, for instance, implies the triviality of $P_+(x)$ and $P_-(x)$ in low dimensions $d \leqslant 4$. In conclusion, the definition (6.20) introduces since from the beginning the "hidden" hypothesis that the system exhibits a collection of m negative (or positive) energy bands with a total trivial Chern charge. This is a hypothesis which is in general *false* (see *e. g.* the case of the Harper operator in the limit of a strong magnetic field [DL]) and absolutely *non* necessary as showed in Section 6.2.

(2) - *Arbitrary choice of the eigenbasis of the gradation* - Even though one accept the restrictions included in definition (6.20), the construction of the topological invariant for the chiral system based on the decomposition (6.25) turns out to be ambiguously defined. Indeed, the diagonal form of Γ in (6.22) is preserved by every (pointwise) reshuffling of the basis of the two eigenspaces $\mathbb{C}^{2m} = \mathcal{H}_+(x) \oplus \mathcal{H}_-(x)$

of Γ, namely by the action of any unitary-valued map of the form

$$x \longmapsto W(x) = \begin{pmatrix} W_1(x) & 0 \\ 0 & W_2(x) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad W_1(x), W_2(x) \in \mathbb{U}(m) .$$

Since

$$\rho(x) \longrightarrow W(x)\,\rho(x)\,W(x)^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & W_1(x)U(x)W_2(x)^* \\ W_2(x)U(x)^*W_1(x)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $[U] \neq [W_1 U W_2^*]$ it is evident that the definition of the topological phase is affected by the ambiguity in the choice of the pointwise basis of the eigenspaces of Γ. Of course, one can notice that the ambiguity can be removed in the case the map $W(x) = W$ is constant-valued a fact which is equivalent to affirm that the positive and negative eigenspaces of Γ can be fixed independently of the base points. However, this is possible only if Γ is a constant gradation acting on the trivial bundle $X \times \mathbb{C}^{2m}$, and, as discussed in (1) above, this is a non-innocent restrictive assumption which excludes many interesting situations.

The Karoubi-Thiang approach. In a series of two recent brilliant papers [Th1, Th2] G. Thiang commented about some problematic aspects of the usually accepted definition of topological phases for topological insulators in class **AIII**. He recognized an incompatibility between the notions of isomorphism and homotopic equivalence by showing how certain diagonal unitary transforms can change the value of the winding number. He also noticed that the notion of phase can be well defined only in a relative sense. These kind of criticisms are in effect also contained in questions $\mathcal{Q}.1$) - $\mathcal{Q}.3$) that we posed (and we answered) in the introduction. In the approach by Thiang the topological phases of topological insulators in class **AIII** are defined as the K-theoretical classes of a K-theory introduced by M. Karoubi to classify gradations acting on Banach category endowed with a Clifford action [Kar, Chapter III, Section 4]. Element of this K-theory are equivalence classes $[\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2]$ of gradations acting on an element $\mathscr{E}$ of an abelian category (*e. g.* a complex vector bundle) endowed with the action ρ of a Clifford algebra and the equivalence is meant in the sense of homotopy deformations inside the space of gradations. The meaning reserved by Thiang to $[\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2]$ is that of a *relative* topological phase between the flattened Hamiltonians Γ_1 and Γ_2. Modulo a change of roles between gradations and Clifford actions the point of view by Thiang is very closed with our Definition 1.6. However, we notice that there is an evident "loss of information" in the classification scheme constructed by Thiang on the basis of the Karoubi's K-theory. In fact, according to Karoubi-Thiang the triviality of the class $[\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2] = 0$ is equivalent to the homotopy $\Gamma_1 \sim \Gamma_2$. In this way one completely erases from the theory possible non-trivial topological aspects related to underlying vector bundle $\mathscr{E}$. Our point of view, summarized in Definition 1.6, can be understood as a generalization of the Karoubi-Thiang theory in which one requires that $[\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2] \in K_0(\mathscr{E})$ whenever $\Gamma_1 \sim \Gamma_2$.

The Atiyah-Hopkins-Witten $K_\pm$-theory. In 1998, in relation to K-theoretic classification of *D-brane charges*, E. Witten introduced a variant of the K-theory, denoted by $K_\pm$ [Wi2]. The geometric situation concerns a manifold X with an involution τ having a fixed point submanifold X^τ. On X one wants to study a pair of complex vector bundles $(\mathscr{E}_+, \mathscr{E}_-)$ with the property that τ is covered by a map ϱ which interchanges them. In terms of the *virtual* vector bundle $\mathscr{E}_+ - \mathscr{E}_-$, then ϱ takes this into its negative, and $K_\pm(X, \tau)$ is meant to be the appropriate K-theory of this situation. This *twisted* K-theory has been precisely defined and studied by M. Atiyah and M. Hopkins in a subsequent work [AH]. More recently some new aspects have been investigated by K. Gomi in [Go]. In the case of a trivial involution $\tau = \mathrm{Id}_X$ the map ϱ can be described in terms of an isomorphism $\Theta : \mathscr{E}_- \to \mathscr{E}_+$ as in (6.5). This makes evident the link between the $K_\pm$-theory and the classification of chiral vector bundles. Following [AH, Section 2], the $K_\pm$-theory of the space X with trivial action verifies the exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} R(\mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes K^1(X) \arrow[r, "\phi"] & K^1(X) \arrow[r, "\delta=0"] & K^1_\pm(X) \arrow[d] \\ K^0_\pm(X) \arrow[u] & K^0(X) \arrow[l, "\delta=0"'] & R(\mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes K^0(X) \arrow[l, "\phi"'] \end{tikzcd} \tag{6.26}$$

where $R(\mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ is the *representation ring* (over complex) of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and it is generated by the *trivial* representation $1 : \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \{1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and the *sign* representation $e : \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \{-1, +1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$. The two homomorphisms ϕ, which forget the $R(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ component, are surjective with kernels $(1-e) \otimes K^\bullet(X)$ and so it turns out that $\delta = 0$. This implies that $K^j_\pm(X) \simeq \mathrm{Ker}\phi \simeq K^{j+1}(X)$ where j is meant modulo 2. If from one side the isomorphism $K^0_\pm(X) \simeq K^1(X)$ indicates that $K^0_\pm$ contains the topological information about the automorphism group of vector bundles over X, from the other side the $\delta : K^0(X) \to \{0\} \subset K^0_\pm(X)$ shows that $K^0_\pm(X)$ contains no kind of information about the topology of the underlying vector bundles themselves. Then, the description provided by the $K_\pm$-theory covers only partially the phenomenology of chiral systems as described in Section 6.2.

Appendix A. Topology of unitary groups and Grassmann manifolds

Homotopy. The complete determination of the homotopy groups of the unitary groups $\mathbb{U}(m)$ is still an open problem. Probably the most general result in this direction is the observation by R. Bott that the homotopy groups $\pi_k(\mathbb{U}(m))$ stabilize when m becomes sufficiently large. More in detail, one has

$$\pi_k(\mathbb{U}(m)) \;=\; \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} \quad k \;\text{ even or }\; 0, \;\; 2m > k \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{if} \quad k \;\text{ odd}, \;\; 2m > k \\ \mathbb{Z}_{m!} & \text{if} \quad k = 2m \end{cases}$$

which is a result nowadays known as *Bott periodicity* [Bot1, Bot2]. The condition $m > 2k$ is called *stable regime*. The Bott periodicity provides a complete solution for the computation of the homotopy groups of the *Palais unitary group* $\mathbb{U}(\infty)$ which is the "infinite" unitary group

$$\mathbb{U}(\infty) \;:=\; \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{U}(m)\;,$$

obtained as the inductive limit of the inclusions $\mathbb{U}(m) \subset (n+1) \subset \ldots$ [Pa]. Elements in this $\mathbb{U}(\infty)$ can be interpreted as infinite unitary matrices whose entries differ from the identity matrix in only finitely many places. The Bott periodicity immediately yields

$$\pi_k(\mathbb{U}(\infty)) \;=\; \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} \quad k \;\text{ even or }\; 0 \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{if} \quad k \;\text{ odd} \end{cases} \;.$$

The computation of the homotopy groups in the non stable regime $2m < k$ requires *ad hoc* techniques for each specific case and many values are already tabulated in the literature.

$\pi_k(\mathbb{U}(m))$	$k=1$	$k=2$	$k=3$	$k=4$	$k=5$	$k=6$	$k=7$	$k=8$	$k=9$	$k=10$
$m=1$	$\boxed{\mathbb{Z}}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$m=2$	$\boxed{\mathbb{Z}}$	$\boxed{0}$	$\boxed{\mathbb{Z}}$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_{12}$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_3$	$\mathbb{Z}_{15}$
$m=3$	$\boxed{\mathbb{Z}}$	$\boxed{0}$	$\boxed{\mathbb{Z}}$	$\boxed{0}$	$\boxed{\mathbb{Z}}$	$\mathbb{Z}_6$	0	$\mathbb{Z}_{12}$	$\mathbb{Z}_3$	$\mathbb{Z}_{30}$
$m=4$	$\boxed{\mathbb{Z}}$	$\boxed{0}$	$\boxed{\mathbb{Z}}$	$\boxed{0}$	$\boxed{\mathbb{Z}}$	$\boxed{0}$	$\boxed{\mathbb{Z}}$	$\mathbb{Z}_{24}$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{120}$
$m=5$	$\boxed{\mathbb{Z}}$	$\boxed{0}$	$\boxed{\mathbb{Z}}$	$\boxed{0}$	$\boxed{\mathbb{Z}}$	$\boxed{0}$	$\boxed{\mathbb{Z}}$	$\boxed{0}$	$\boxed{\mathbb{Z}}$	$\mathbb{Z}_{120}$
$m=6$	$\boxed{\mathbb{Z}}$	$\boxed{0}$	$\boxed{\mathbb{Z}}$	$\boxed{0}$	$\boxed{\mathbb{Z}}$	$\boxed{0}$	$\boxed{\mathbb{Z}}$	$\boxed{0}$	$\boxed{\mathbb{Z}}$	$\boxed{0}$

Table A.1. First homotopy groups for the unitary groups. The entries enclosed in a box represent the values of the homotopy groups in the stable regime. Groups of the type $\pi_{2m+r}(\mathbb{U}(m))$ with $r = 1, 2$ have been computed in [Ke]. A larger table with the related references can be found in [Lu].

The fiber sequence $\mathbb{SU}(m) \to \mathbb{U}(m) \overset{\det}{\to} \mathbb{U}(1)$ shows that $\mathbb{U}(m)$ can be seen as a principal $\mathbb{SU}(m)$-bundle over $\mathbb{U}(1) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1$ with bundle projection given by the determinat. This principal bundle is trivial since it has a section $s : \mathbb{U}(1) \to \mathbb{U}(m)$ given by $s(u) := \mathrm{diag}(u, 1, \ldots, 1)$. Therefore, one gets the identification $\mathbb{U}(m) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathrm{S}\mathbb{U}(m)$ and the isomorphisms

$$\pi_k(\mathbb{U}(m)) \simeq \pi_k(\mathbb{S}^1) \oplus \pi_k(\mathrm{S}\mathbb{U}(m)) \qquad k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} . \tag{A.1}$$

Equation (A.1), along with the computation of the homotopy groups $\pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi_k(\mathbb{S}^1) = 0$ for all $k \neq 1$ [Hat, Proposition 4.1], provides

$$\pi_k(\mathrm{S}\mathbb{U}(m)) \simeq \pi_k(\mathbb{U}(m)) , \qquad k \geqslant 2$$

and

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{U}(m)) \simeq \mathbb{Z} , \qquad \pi_1(\mathrm{S}\mathbb{U}(m)) = 0 . \tag{A.2}$$

Table A.1 can be completed with

$$\pi_0(\mathbb{U}(m)) = \pi_0(\mathrm{S}\mathbb{U}(m)) = 0 \tag{A.3}$$

which simply means that the groups $\mathbb{U}(m)$ and $\mathrm{S}\mathbb{U}(m)$ are path-connected.

In general, the fundamental group of a path-connected space X acts on its higher homotopy groups by automorphisms, *i. e.* $\pi_1(X) \ni [\gamma] \mapsto \beta_\gamma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\pi_k(X))$ (see [St, Section 16] or [BT, Warning 17.6] for a precise definition).

Lemma A.1. *The action of* $\pi_1(\mathbb{U}(m))$ *(resp.* $\pi_1((\infty))$*) on* $\pi_k(\mathbb{U}(m))$ *(resp.* $\pi_k(\mathbb{U}(\infty))$*) is trivial for all* $k \in \mathbb{N}$*. In particular this implies the isomorphisms*

$$\pi_k(\mathbb{U}(m)) \simeq [\mathbb{S}^k, \mathbb{U}(m)] , \qquad \pi_k(\mathbb{U}(\infty)) \simeq [\mathbb{S}^k, \mathbb{U}(\infty)] , \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

which allow to neglect the role of base points in the computation of the homotopy groups.

Proof. The triviality of the action of π_1 on higher-dimensional groups π_k is a classical result about the homotopy theory of topological groups [St, Theorem 16.9]. The last part of the claim is a consequence of the general isomorphism

$$[\mathbb{S}^k, X] \simeq \pi_k(X)/\pi_1(X) , \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

which is valid for any path-connected space X [BT, Proposition 17.6.1]. ■

The computation of the homotopy groups of the Grassmann manifold $G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty)$ can be reduced to the problem of the computation of the homotopy groups of $\mathbb{U}(m)$ by means of the fiber sequence associated to the universal classifying principal $\mathbb{U}(m)$-bundle

$$\mathbb{U}(m) \longrightarrow \mathscr{S}_m^\infty \longrightarrow G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty) \tag{A.4}$$

where $\mathscr{S}_m^n := \mathbb{U}(n)/\mathbb{U}(n-m)$ is the *Stiefel variety* and $\mathscr{S}_m^\infty := \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathscr{S}_m^n$ is, as usual, the inductive limit. The universality of the space $\mathscr{S}_m^\infty$ implies its contractibility, *i. e.* $\pi_k(\mathscr{S}_m^\infty) = 0$ for all k [Hu, Chapter 8, Theorem 5.1]. This fact applied to the homotopy exact sequence induced by (A.4) gives

$$\pi_k(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty)) \simeq \pi_{k-1}(\mathbb{U}(m)) \qquad k \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{A.5}$$

The connectedness of the Grassmann manifold also implies $\pi_0(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty)) = 0$.

Cohomology. The computation of the cohomology ring of the Grassmann manifold $G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty)$ is an extremely important result in the theory of (complex) vector bundles. It is well-known that

$$H^\bullet(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty), \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{c}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{c}_m] , \qquad \mathfrak{c}_k \in H^{2k}(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty), \mathbb{Z}) \tag{A.6}$$

is the ring of polynomials with integer coefficients and m generators $\mathfrak{c}_k$ of even degree [MS, Theorem 14.5]. These generators $\mathfrak{c}_k$ are called *universal* Chern classes and there are no polynomial relationships between them. By using the fact that isomorphism classes of rank m complex vector bundles over X are classified by maps $[\varphi] \in [X, G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty)]$, one defines the Chern classes of a given vector bundle $\mathscr{E} \to X$ by the pullback of the universal Chern classes via the classifying map φ. More precisely, one uses the induced homomorphisms in cohomology $\varphi^* : H^k(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty), \mathbb{Z}) \to H^k(X, \mathbb{Z})$ to define

$$c_k(\mathscr{E}) := \varphi^*(\mathfrak{c}_k) \in H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

as the (topological) Chern classes of the vector bundle $\mathscr{E}$. Since the homomorphism φ^* only depends on its homotopy class $[\varphi]$, isomorphic vector bundles possess the same family of Chern classes.

Remark A.2 (Postnikov sections of the Grassmann manifold)**.** The problem of the construction of the Postnikov tower for the spaces $G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty)$ has been firstly studied in [Pet]. With reference to the content of Section 5.4 let $\alpha_j : G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty) \to \mathscr{G}^m_j$ be the j-th Postnikov section of the Grassmann manifold $G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty)$. This section is obtained from the previous one $\mathscr{G}^m_{j-1}$ according to the (principal) fibration sequences

$$K(\pi_j, j) \longrightarrow \mathscr{G}^m_j \xrightarrow{p_j} \mathscr{G}^m_{j-1} \xrightarrow{\kappa^{j+1}} K(\pi_j, j+1) \tag{A.7}$$

where $\pi_j := \pi_j(\mathscr{G}^m_j)$ and κ^{j+1} define the related Postnikov invariant. Since $\pi_j(\mathscr{G}^m_j) = 0$ for j odd and $j \leqslant 2m$ one has that $\mathscr{G}^m_{2j-1} = \mathscr{G}^m_{2j-2}$ for $j \leqslant m$. Thus, one has to compute $\kappa^{2j+1} \in H^{2j+1}(\mathscr{G}^m_{2j-1}, \pi_{2j})$ for $j \leqslant m$. Now [Pet, Lemma 4.4] states that for $j \leqslant m$ the invariant κ^{2j+1} has order $(j-1)!$. With this information we can immediately conclude that $\mathscr{G}^m_1 \simeq \{*\}$ which implies $\kappa^3 = 0$ and so $\mathscr{G}^m_3 \simeq \mathscr{G}^m_2 \simeq K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \simeq \mathbb{C}P^\infty$ for all $m \geqslant 1$. For the determination of the next section one needs $\kappa^5 \in H^5(\mathbb{C}P^\infty, \pi_4) \simeq 0$ for $m \geqslant 2$. In conclusion one has

$$\mathscr{G}^m_4 \;\simeq\; K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \times K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)\,, \qquad \forall\, m \geqslant 2\,. \tag{A.8}$$

Lemma 4.1 in [Pet] assures that $H^k(\mathscr{G}^m_4, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^k(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty), \mathbb{Z})$ for all $k \leqslant 4$ and with the help of the Künneth formula for cohomology and the explicit knowledge of the cohomology groups of $K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$ and $K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$ one obtains that for all $m \geqslant 2$

$$\begin{aligned}
H^0(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty), \mathbb{Z}) \;&\simeq\; H^0(K(\mathbb{Z}, 2), \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^0(K(\mathbb{Z}, 4), \mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; \mathbb{Z} \\
H^1(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty), \mathbb{Z}) \;&\simeq\; 0 \\
H^2(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty), \mathbb{Z}) \;&\simeq\; H^2(K(\mathbb{Z}, 2), \mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; \mathbb{Z} \\
H^3(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty), \mathbb{Z}) \;&\simeq\; 0 \\
H^4(G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty), \mathbb{Z}) \;&\simeq\; H^4(K(\mathbb{Z}, 2), \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^4(K(\mathbb{Z}, 4), \mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; \mathbb{Z}^2\,.
\end{aligned}$$

The above computations show that the first two universal Chern classes $\mathfrak{c}_1$ and $\mathfrak{c}_2$ can be identified with the *basic class* of $H^2(K(\mathbb{Z}, 2), \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^4(K(\mathbb{Z}, 4), \mathbb{Z})$, respectively (for a definition of basic class see *e. g.* [Ark, Definition 5.3.1]). ◀.

Also the cohomology ring of the unitary group $\mathbb{U}(m)$ is well-known. It is a classical result that

$$H^\bullet(\mathbb{U}(m), \mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; \bigwedge\nolimits_{\mathbb{Z}} [\mathfrak{w}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{w}_m]\,, \qquad \mathfrak{w}_k \in H^{2k-1}(\mathbb{U}(m), \mathbb{Z}) \tag{A.9}$$

is the exterior algebra generated by m odd-degree classes $\mathfrak{w}_k$ [Bor1, Théorème 19.1] or [Bor2, Section 10]. By adhering to a modern terminology (see *e. g.* [PS]) we will refer to the $\mathfrak{w}_k$'s as the *universal odd* Chern classes. The description (A.9) can be generalized to the infinite unitary group $\mathbb{U}(\infty)$.

Lemma A.3. *The cohomology ring of the infinite unitary group* $\mathbb{U}(\infty)$

$$H^\bullet(\mathbb{U}(\infty), \mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; \bigwedge\nolimits_{\mathbb{Z}} [\mathfrak{w}_1, \mathfrak{w}_2, \ldots]\,, \qquad \mathfrak{w}_k \in H^{2k-1}(\mathbb{U}(\infty), \mathbb{Z})\,, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N} \tag{A.10}$$

is the exterior algebra generated by a countable family of odd-degree classes $\mathfrak{w}_k$*.*

Proof. The group $\mathbb{U}(\infty)$ is by definition the limit of the direct system of inclusions

$$\mathbb{U}(1) \overset{\iota_1}{\hookrightarrow} \mathbb{U}(2) \overset{\iota_2}{\hookrightarrow} \mathbb{U}(3) \overset{\iota_3}{\hookrightarrow} \ldots$$

which induces an inverse system in cohomology

$$H^\bullet(\mathbb{U}(1), \mathbb{Z}) \xleftarrow{\iota_1^*} H^\bullet(\mathbb{U}(2), \mathbb{Z}) \xleftarrow{\iota_2^*} H^\bullet(\mathbb{U}(3), \mathbb{Z}) \xleftarrow{\iota_3^*} \ldots\,. \tag{A.11}$$

The relation between the inverse system (A.11) and the cohomology $H^\bullet(\mathbb{U}(\infty),\mathbb{Z})$ is specified by the *Milnor exact sequence*. Let us define a cochain complex $(C^\bullet,\delta)$ by

$$C^k \;:=\; \begin{cases} \prod_j H^\bullet(\mathbb{U}(j),\mathbb{Z}) & j=0,1 \\ 0 & j\geqslant 2 \end{cases}$$

where $\prod$ is the direct product of abelian groups and the (only non-trivial) differential $\delta : C^0 \to C^1$ is defined by

$$\delta(\mathfrak{a}_1,\mathfrak{a}_2,\mathfrak{a}_3,\dots) \;:=\; (\mathfrak{a}_1-\imath_1^*(\mathfrak{a}_2),\mathfrak{a}_2-\imath_2^*(\mathfrak{a}_3),\mathfrak{a}_3-\imath_3^*(\mathfrak{a}_4),\dots)\;, \qquad \mathfrak{a}_j\in H^\bullet(\mathbb{U}(j),\mathbb{Z})\;.$$

By definition the inverse limit (A.11) arises as the 0-th cohomology group of the cochain complex $(C^\bullet,\delta)$, *i. e.*

$$\lim_{\leftarrow j} H^\bullet(\mathbb{U}(j),\mathbb{Z}) \;:=\; H^0(C^\bullet,\delta) \;=\; \mathrm{Ker}(\delta)\;.$$

The cokernel of δ is usually called “limit 1”:

$$\lim_{\leftarrow j}{}^1\, H^\bullet(\mathbb{U}(j),\mathbb{Z}) \;:=\; H^1(C^\bullet,\delta) \;=\; C^1/\mathrm{Im}(\delta)\;.$$

The Milnor exact sequence [Mi, Lemma 2] states that

$$0 \;\longrightarrow\; \lim_{\leftarrow j}{}^1\, H^\bullet(\mathbb{U}(j),\mathbb{Z}) \;\longrightarrow\; H^\bullet(\mathbb{U}(\infty),\mathbb{Z}) \;\longrightarrow\; \lim_{\leftarrow j} H^\bullet(\mathbb{U}(j),\mathbb{Z}) \;\longrightarrow\; 0\;. \tag{A.12}$$

Notice that each $\imath_j^* : H^\bullet(\mathbb{U}(j+1),\mathbb{Z}) \to H^\bullet(\mathbb{U}(j),\mathbb{Z})$ is surjective since $\imath_j^*(\mathfrak{w}_k)=\mathfrak{w}_k$ for all $k=1,\dots,j$ and $\imath_j^*(\mathfrak{w}_{j+1})=0$. Then, a simple argument shows that also the differential $\delta : C^0\to C^1$ is surjective and so the “limit 1” is trivial. This implies that $H^\bullet(\mathbb{U}(\infty),\mathbb{Z})\simeq\mathrm{Ker}(\delta)$ and the kernel $\mathrm{Ker}(\delta)$ is generated by elements of the form $(\mathfrak{w}_k,\mathfrak{w}_k,\mathfrak{w}_k,\dots)$ which can be identified with the generators $\mathfrak{w}_k$ for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$. This concludes the proof. ∎

Remark A.4 (Postnikov sections of the infinite unitary group)**.** The Postnikov resolution of the infinite unitary group $\mathbb{U}(\infty)$ can be used to provide a different description of the universal odd Chern classes $\mathfrak{w}_k$, at least in low dimension. With reference to the technological apparatus described in Section 5.4 we want to compute the Postnikov sections $\alpha_j : \mathbb{U}(\infty)\to\mathscr{U}_j^\infty$. From $\pi_1(\mathbb{U}(\infty))=\mathbb{Z}$ we immediately get $\mathscr{U}_1^\infty = K(\mathbb{Z},1)\simeq\mathbb{S}^1$. Since we know that $\pi_{2j}(\mathbb{U}(\infty))=0$ and by using the fact that $K(0,j)=\{*\}$ (along with [Hat, Corollary 4.63]) we obtain from (5.7) that $\mathscr{U}_{2j}^\infty\simeq\mathscr{U}_{2j-1}^\infty$. Hence, we need to compute only the odd sections. For the computation of $\mathscr{U}_3^\infty$ we need the knowledge of the Postnikov invariant κ^4 in the fiber sequence

$$K(\mathbb{Z},3) \;\longrightarrow\; \mathscr{U}_3^\infty \;\xrightarrow{p_3}\; \mathscr{U}_2^\infty\simeq\mathscr{U}_1^\infty \;\xrightarrow{\kappa^4}\; K(\mathbb{Z},4)\;. \tag{A.13}$$

From its very definition we know that $\kappa^4\in H^4(\mathscr{U}_2^\infty,\mathbb{Z})\simeq H^4(\mathbb{S}^1,\mathbb{Z})=0$. The vanishing of κ^4 immediately yields

$$\mathscr{U}_4^\infty \;\simeq\; \mathscr{U}_3^\infty \;\simeq\; K(\mathbb{Z},1)\;\times\;K(\mathbb{Z},3)\;. \tag{A.14}$$

The next step requires the computation of the Postnikov invariant κ^5 in the fiber sequence

$$K(\mathbb{Z},5) \;\longrightarrow\; \mathscr{U}_5^\infty \;\xrightarrow{p_5}\; \mathscr{U}_4^\infty\simeq\mathscr{U}_3^\infty \;\xrightarrow{\kappa^6}\; K(\mathbb{Z},6)\;. \tag{A.15}$$

In this case the Postnikov invariant is an element of $\kappa^6\in H^6(\mathscr{U}_4^\infty,\mathbb{Z})\simeq H^6(\mathbb{S}^1\times K(\mathbb{Z},3),\mathbb{Z})$. The knowledge of the non trivial cohomology $H^k(\mathbb{S}^1,\mathbb{Z})\simeq\mathbb{Z}$ if $k=0,1$ and the use of the Künneth formula for cohomology provide

$$H^6(\mathscr{U}_4^\infty,\mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; \Big(\mathbb{Z}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^6(K(\mathbb{Z},3),\mathbb{Z})\Big)\;\oplus\;\Big(\mathbb{Z}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^5(K(\mathbb{Z},3),\mathbb{Z})\Big)\;\simeq\mathbb{Z}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Z}_2\;\simeq\mathbb{Z}_2\;.$$

where we used the explicit results $H^5(K(\mathbb{Z},3),\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $H^6(K(\mathbb{Z},3),\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ computed in [BT, Section 18]. This is compatible with the more general result [AB, Lemma 5] which states that κ^6 is a (non-trivial) element of order 2. This implies that

$$\mathscr{U}_6^\infty \;\simeq\; \mathscr{U}_5^\infty \;\simeq\; \Big(K(\mathbb{Z},1)\;\times\;K(\mathbb{Z},3)\Big)\times_{\kappa^6}\;K(\mathbb{Z},5)\;. \tag{A.16}$$

where the last product is "twisted" by the action of the non trivial class κ^6. Evidently the construction becomes more and more involved when the degree of the Postnikov section increases. Let us consider now the implication of (A.14) for the interpretation of the first two generators of $\mathbb{U}(\infty)$. By construction the map $\alpha_4 : \mathbb{U}(\infty) \to \mathscr{U}_4^\infty$ is a 5-equivalence, hence the *Whitehead's second theorem*[5] assures that $H^k(\mathbb{U}(\infty),\mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^k(\mathscr{U}_4^\infty,\mathbb{Z})$ for all $k \leqslant 4$. With the help of the Künneth formula for cohomology and the explicit knowledge of cohomology of $K(\mathbb{Z},1)$ and $K(\mathbb{Z},3)$ one computes

$$\begin{aligned}
H^0(\mathbb{U}(\infty),\mathbb{Z}) \;&\simeq\; H^0(K(\mathbb{Z},1),\mathbb{Z})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^0(K(\mathbb{Z},3),\mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; \mathbb{Z}\\
H^1(\mathbb{U}(\infty),\mathbb{Z}) \;&\simeq\; H^1(K(\mathbb{Z},1),\mathbb{Z})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^0(K(\mathbb{Z},3),\mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; H^1(K(\mathbb{Z},1),\mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; \mathbb{Z}\\
H^2(\mathbb{U}(\infty),\mathbb{Z}) \;&\simeq\; 0\\
H^3(\mathbb{U}(\infty),\mathbb{Z}) \;&\simeq\; H^0(K(\mathbb{Z},1),\mathbb{Z})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^3(K(\mathbb{Z},3),\mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; H^3(K(\mathbb{Z},3),\mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; \mathbb{Z}\\
H^4(\mathbb{U}(\infty),\mathbb{Z}) \;&\simeq\; H^1(K(\mathbb{Z},1),\mathbb{Z})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^3(K(\mathbb{Z},3),\mathbb{Z}) \;\simeq\; \mathbb{Z}\;.
\end{aligned}$$

The above result shows that $\mathfrak{w}_1$ and $\mathfrak{w}_2$ can be identified with the *basic class* of $H^1(K(\mathbb{Z},1),\mathbb{Z})$ and $H^3(K(\mathbb{Z},3),\mathbb{Z})$, respectively (for a definition of basic class see *e. g.* [Ark, Definition 5.3.1]). As a final comment we can observe that (A.14) also describes the 3-rd and 4-th Postnikov sections of $\mathbb{U}(m)$ for all $m \geqslant 2$ while (A.16) describes the 5-rd and 6-th Postnikov sections of $\mathbb{U}(m)$ for all $m \geqslant 3$ (stable regime). ◄

Appendix B. Fiber bundle identification of the classifying space

The definition (3.2) says that the spaces $\chi_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ are subspaces of $G_m(\mathbb{C}^n) \times \mathbb{U}(n)$. These spaces can be also identified with the total spaces of suitable fiber bundles. For this aim, let us recall a standard construction: For any Lie group $\mathbb{G}$ and any principal $\mathbb{G}$-bundle $\pi : \mathscr{P} \to X$ we use the *adjoint action* of $\mathbb{G}$ on $\mathbb{G}$ to get the associated fiber bundle

$$\mathrm{Ad}(\mathscr{P}) \;:=\; \mathscr{P}\;\times_{\mathrm{Ad}}\;\mathbb{G} \;=\; (\mathscr{P}\;\times\;\mathbb{G})/\mathbb{G}$$

where $g \in \mathbb{G}$ acts on $(p,h) \in \mathscr{P} \times \mathbb{G}$ by $(p,h) \mapsto (p\cdot g^{-1}, g\cdot h\cdot g^{-1})$. It is a well-known fact that sections of $\mathrm{Ad}(\mathscr{P}) \to X$ are in one to one correspondence with automorphisms of $\mathscr{P}$ [Hu, Chapter 7, Section 1].

Let us also recall that the Grassmann manifold and its tautological $\mathbb{U}(m)$-frame bundle (Stiefel variety) $\mathscr{S}_m^n \to G_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ can be realized as quotient spaces:

$$G_m(\mathbb{C}^n) \simeq \mathbb{U}(n)/(\mathbb{U}(m)\times\mathbb{U}(n-m))\;, \qquad\qquad \mathscr{S}_m^n \simeq \mathbb{U}(n)/\mathbb{U}(n-m)\;, \tag{B.1}$$

where $\mathbb{U}(m)$ and $\mathbb{U}(n-m)$ act (on the right) on $\mathbb{U}(n)$ through the inclusions of $\mathbb{C}^m$ and $\mathbb{C}^{m-n}$ into $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}^m \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-m}$. Let $\Sigma \in G_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be a subspace of $\mathbb{C}^n$ of dimension m. Given orthonormal basis $\mathbb{v} := (\mathrm{v}_1,\ldots,\mathrm{v}_m)$ and $\mathbb{w} := (\mathrm{w}_1,\ldots,\mathrm{w}_{n-m})$ of $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\Sigma^\perp \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, respectively one can form an element $(\mathbb{v},\mathbb{w}) \in \mathbb{U}(n)$ by arraying the vectors as columns, *i. e.*

$$(\mathbb{v},\mathbb{w}) \;:=\; \begin{pmatrix} | & & | & | & & | \\ \mathrm{v}_1 & \cdots & \mathrm{v}_m & \mathrm{w}_1 & \cdots & \mathrm{w}_{n-m} \\ | & & | & | & & | \end{pmatrix}\;. \tag{B.2}$$

---

[5] More precisely the Whitehead's second theorem [Ark, Theorem 6.4.15] (see also [Sp, Chapter I, Section 8, Theorem 9]) says that α_4 induces a 5-*homology* equivalence (see [Ark, Definition 6.4.10]). At this point the proof that a n-homology equivalence implies a n-*cohomology* equivalence is provided by the use of the universal coefficient theorem as in [Pet, Lemma 4.1].

According to this notation $\mathbb{v}$ and $\mathbb{w}$ can be considered an $n\times m$ and an $n\times(m-n)$ matrices, respectively. The pair $(\mathbb{v},\mathbb{w})$ provides a representative for $([\mathbb{v}],[\mathbb{w}]) = \Sigma \in G_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ according to the quotient description of the Grassmann manifold in (B.1). Here, the equivalence relations are naturally given by $\mathbb{v}\sim\mathbb{v}\cdot a$ and $\mathbb{w}\sim\mathbb{w}\cdot b$ for some $a\in\mathbb{U}(m)$ and $b\in\mathbb{U}(n-m)$. Similarly, we can use $(\mathbb{v},[\mathbb{w}])\in\mathscr{S}_m^n$ for a point in the Stiefel variety according to the quotient representation in (B.1) and an element $c\in\mathbb{U}(m)$ in the structure group can be represented by a block diagonal matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} c & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \mathbb{1}_{n-m}\end{array}\right) \subset \mathbb{U}(n)\ .$$

In this way points in $\mathrm{Ad}(\mathscr{S}_m^n)$ are given by equivalence classes $[(\mathbb{v},\mathbb{w}),c]$ with respect to the equivalence relation $((\mathbb{v},\mathbb{w}\cdot b),c)\sim((\mathbb{v}\cdot a^{-1},\mathbb{w}),a\cdot c\cdot a^{-1})$ for some $a\in\mathbb{U}(m)$ and $b\in\mathbb{U}(n-m)$.

The core of this appendix is the proof of the following identifications:

Proposition B.1. *There are natural homeomorphisms*

$$\chi_m(\mathbb{C}^n)\ \simeq\ \mathrm{Ad}(\mathscr{S}_m^n)\ ,\qquad \textit{and}\qquad \mathbb{B}_\chi^m\ \simeq\ \mathrm{Ad}(\mathscr{S}_m^\infty)\ ,$$

where, as usual, $\mathscr{S}_m^\infty$ denotes the inductive limit obtained by the inclusions $\mathscr{S}_m^n\subset\mathscr{S}_m^{n+1}\subset\ldots$.

However, we start first with a technical preliminary result.

Lemma B.2. *For each pair of integers $1\leqslant m\leqslant n$ there is a bijective continuous map*

$$\vartheta\ :\ \mathrm{Ad}(\mathscr{S}_m^n)\ \longrightarrow\ \chi_m(\mathbb{C}^n)\ . \tag{B.3}$$

Proof. Given an element $(\mathbb{v},\mathbb{w})\in\mathbb{U}(n)$ as in (B.2) and a $c\in\mathbb{U}(m)$ there is a unique unitary matrix $u(\mathbb{v};\mathbb{w};c)\in\mathbb{U}(n)$ such that $u(\mathbb{v};\mathbb{w};c)\cdot(\mathbb{v},\mathbb{w})=(\mathbb{v}\cdot c,\mathbb{w})$. Such a matrix is explicitly given by

$$u(\mathbb{v};\mathbb{w};c)\ :=\ (\mathbb{v},\mathbb{w})\cdot\left(\begin{array}{c|c} c & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \mathbb{1}_{n-m}\end{array}\right)\cdot(\mathbb{v},\mathbb{w})^{-1}\ .$$

By construction $u(\mathbb{v};\mathbb{w};c)$ preserves the m-dimensional subspace $([\mathbb{v}],[\mathbb{w}])=\Sigma\subset\mathbb{C}^n$ spanned by the columns of $\mathbb{v}$. Therefore, the pair $(\Sigma,u):=(([\mathbb{v}],[\mathbb{w}]),u(\mathbb{v};\mathbb{w};c))$ provides a point in $\chi_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$. This allows to define the map

$$\begin{array}{rrcl} \vartheta\ : & \mathscr{S}_m^n\times\mathbb{U}(m) & \longrightarrow & \chi_m(\mathbb{C}^n) \\ & ((\mathbb{v},[\mathbb{w}]),c) & \longmapsto & (\Sigma,u) \end{array}\ . \tag{B.4}$$

This map factors through the equivalence relation that defines $\mathrm{Ad}(\mathscr{S}_m^n)$ since $([\mathbb{v}],[\mathbb{w}])=([\mathbb{v}\cdot a],[\mathbb{w}])=\Sigma$ and

$$u(\mathbb{v}\cdot a;\mathbb{w};a\cdot c\cdot a^{-1})\ =\ u(\mathbb{v};\mathbb{w};c)\ =\ u(\mathbb{v};\mathbb{w}\cdot b;c)\ ,\qquad \forall\ a\in\mathbb{U}(m),\ \ b\in\mathbb{U}(n-m)$$

Hence, the prescription (B.4) defines a map like (B.3). The map ϑ is also continuous. Indeed, the topology of $\mathrm{Ad}(\mathscr{S}_m^n)$ is induced from $\mathbb{U}(n)\times\mathbb{U}(m)$ by a quotient, and that of $\chi_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is induced from $G_m(\mathbb{C}^n)\times\mathbb{U}(n)$ by an inclusion. Thus, to prove that ϑ is continuous, it suffices to prove that the composition of the following maps is continuous:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathbb{U}(n)\times\mathbb{U}(m) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{pr}"'] & G_m(\mathbb{C}^n)\times\mathbb{U}(n) \\ \mathrm{Ad}(\mathscr{S}_m^n) \arrow[r, "\vartheta"'] & \chi_m(\mathbb{C}^n) \arrow[u, "\imath"'] \end{tikzcd}\ . \tag{B.5}$$

The natural projection pr and the inclusion $\imath$ are continuous by construction. Moreover, the composition of the three maps is easily computed to be $((\mathbb{v},\mathbb{w}),c)\mapsto(([\mathbb{v}],[\mathbb{w}]),u(\mathbb{v};\mathbb{w};c))$ which is evidently continuous. This, in turn, implies the continuity of ϑ.

In order to prove that ϑ is bijective we construct its inverse ϱ. Let us start with a point $(\Sigma,u)\in\chi_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and choose orthonormal basis $\mathbb{v}$ and $\mathbb{w}$ such that $([\mathbb{v}],[\mathbb{w}])=\Sigma$ as above. Since $u\in\mathbb{U}(n)$ preserves Σ there is a unique $c(\mathbb{v};u)\in\mathbb{U}(m)$ such that $u\cdot\mathbb{v}=\mathbb{v}\cdot c(\mathbb{v};u)$. Such a matrix is explicitly given by

$$c(\mathbb{v};u)\ :=\ {}^t\overline{\mathbb{v}}\cdot u\cdot\mathbb{v}$$

where ${}^t\overline{\mathbb{v}}$ denotes the transpose of the matrix $\overline{\mathbb{v}}$, complex conjugated of $\mathbb{v}$. Now we define

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \varrho\ :\ \chi_m(\mathbb{C}^n) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ad}(\mathscr{S}^n_m) & \\ (\Sigma, u) & \longmapsto & ((\mathbb{v},[\mathbb{w}]), c(\mathbb{v};u)) & , \end{array} \tag{B.6}$$

where $(\mathbb{v},[\mathbb{w}]) \in \mathbb{U}(n)/\mathbb{U}(n-m)$ identifies an element of $\mathscr{S}^n_m$. The map ϱ does not depend on the choice of a frame $\mathbb{w}$ for $\Sigma^\perp$ (as denoted by the square brackets). Moreover, if $\mathbb{v}' = \mathbb{v}\cdot a$ with $a \in \mathbb{U}(m)$ is a new frame for Σ, a direct computation shows $c(\mathbb{v}';u) = a^{-1}\cdot c(\mathbb{v};u)\cdot a$. These two facts prove that ϱ really maps into $\mathrm{Ad}(\mathscr{S}^n_m)$. The proof that $\varrho = \vartheta^{-1}$ follows now from a direct, as well trivial, verification. ■

Proof of Proposition B.1. Lemma B.3 proves the existence of a continuous bijection $\vartheta : \mathrm{Ad}(\mathscr{S}^n_m) \to \chi_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Since $\mathrm{Ad}(\mathscr{S}^n_m)$ is compact (it is the quotient of a compact group) and $\chi_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is Hausdorff (it is the subspace of a Hausdorff space), ϑ turns out to be a homeomorphism (see *e. g.* [Ja, Chapter I, Section 8]). This homeomorphism is compatible with taking the direct limits, so that it induces a homeomorphism $\vartheta : \mathrm{Ad}(\mathscr{S}^\infty_m) \to \mathbb{B}^m_\chi$. ■

From the construction of the identifications in Proposition B.1 it follows that the mapping $\chi_m(\mathbb{C}^n) \to G_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ in (3.4) and $\mathbb{B}^m_\chi \to G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty)$ in (3.7) agree with the bundle maps $\mathrm{Ad}(\mathscr{S}^n_m) \to G_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $\mathrm{Ad}(\mathscr{S}^\infty_m) \to G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty)$, respectively. Summarizing, one has the fiber sequence

$$\mathbb{U}(m) \ \longrightarrow\ \mathrm{Ad}(\mathscr{S}^\infty_m) \simeq \mathbb{B}^m_\chi \ \xrightarrow{\pi}\ G_m(\mathbb{C}^\infty) \tag{B.7}$$

with projection π given by (3.7).

When $m = 1$, just by exploiting the fact that $\mathbb{U}(1)$ is abelian, one gets the following immediate consequence of Proposition B.1.

Corollary B.3.

$$\mathbb{B}^1_\chi \ \simeq\ \mathrm{Ad}(\mathscr{S}^\infty_1) \ \simeq\ \mathbb{C}P^\infty \times \mathbb{U}(1)\ .$$

References

[AB] Arlettaz, D.; Banaszak, G.: *On the non-torsion elements in the algebraic K-theory of rings of integers*. J. reine angew. Math. **461**, 63-79 (1995)

[AH] Atiyah, M. F.; Hopkins M. I.: *A variant of K-theory: $K_\pm$*. In: *Topology, Geometry, and Quantum Field Theory* (Ed. U. Tilllmann). Cambridge Univ. Press, pp. 0517, 2004

[AM] Ashcroft, N. W.; Mermin N. D.: *Solid State Physics*. Saunders College Pub., Philadelphia, 1976

[Ark] Arkowitz, M.: *Introduction to Homotopy Theory*. Springer, New York, 2011

[Arl] Arlettaz, D.: *Algebraic K-Theory of rings from a topological viewpoint*. Publ. Mat. **44**, 3-84 (2000)

[At] Atiyah, M. F.: *K-theory*. W. A. Benjamin, New York, 1967

[BMKNZ] Böhm, A.; and Mostafazadeh, A.; Koizumi, H.; Niu, Q.; Zwanziger, J.: *The Geometric Phase in Quantum Systems*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003

[Bor1] Borel, A.: *Sur la cohomologie des espaces fibres principaux et des espaces homogenes de groupes de Lie compacts*. Ann. of Math. **57**, 115-207 (1953)

[Bor2] Borel, A.: *Topology of Lie groups and characteristic classes*. Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **61**, 397-433 (1955)

[Bot1] Bott, R.: *The space of loops on a Lie group*. Michigan Math. J. **5**, 35-61 (1958)

[Bot2] Bott, R.: *The Stable Homotopy of the Classical Groups*. Ann. of Math. **70**, 313-337 (1959)

[Br] Brown, E. H. Jr.: *Cohomology Theories*. Ann. of Math. **75**, 467-484 (1962)

[BT] Bott, R.; Tu, L. W.: *Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology*. Springer, Berlin, 1982

[BuT] Budich, J. C.; Trauzettel, B: *From the adiabatic theorem of quantum mechanics to topological states of matter*. Phys. Status Solidi RRL **7**, 109-129 (2013)

[CJ] Chruściński, D.; Jamiołkowski, A.: *Geometric Phases in Classical and Quantum Mechanics*. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004

[Cl] Clément, A.: *Integral cohomology of finite Postnikov towers*. PhD Thesis. Université de Lausanne, 2002

[DG1] De Nittis, G.; Gomi, K.: *Classification of "Real" Bloch-bundles: Topological Quantum Systems of type AI*. J. Geom. Phys. **86**, 303-338 (2014)

[DG2] De Nittis, G.; Gomi, K.: *Classification of "Quaternionic" Bloch-bundles: Topological Insulators of type AII*. Accepted for publication in Commun. Math. Phys. E-print `arXiv:1404.5804` (2014)

[DG3] De Nittis, G.; Gomi, K.: *Differential geometric invariants for time-reversal symmetric Bloch-bundles: the "Real" case*. E-print `arXiv:1502.01232` (2015)

[DL] De Nittis, G.; Landi, G.: *Generalized TKNN equations*. Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **16**, 505-547 (2012)

[DK] Davis, J. F.; Kirk, P.: *Lecture Notes in Algebraic Topology*. AMS, Providence, 2001

[FFG] Fomenko, A. T.; Fuchs, D. B.; Gutenmacher, V. L.: *Homotopic Topology*. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1986

[FM] Freed, D. S.; Moore, G. W.: *Twisted Equivariant Matter*. Ann. Henri Poincaré **14**, 1927-2023 (2013)

[GBVF] Gracia-Bondia, J. M., Varilly, J. C., Figueroa, H.: *Elements of Noncommutative Geometry*. Birkhäuser, Boston, 2001

[Go] Gomi, K.: *A variant of K-theory and topological T-duality for Real circle bundles*. Accepted for publication in Commun. Math. Phys. E-print `arXiv:1310.8446` (2013)

[HK] Hasan, M. Z.; Kane, C. L.: *Colloquium: Topological insulators*. Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 3045-3067 (2010)

[Hat] Hatcher, A.: *Algebraic Topology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002

[Hu] Husemoller, D.: *Fibre bundles*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994

[Ja] Jänich, K. W.: *Topology*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984

[JT] James I.; Thomas, E.: *An approach to the enumeration problem for non-stable vector bundles*. J. Math. Mech. **14**, 485-506 (1965)

[Kar] Karoubi, M.: *K-Theory. An Introduction*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.

[Kat] Kato, T.: *Perturbation theory of linear operators*. Reprint of the 1980 edition, Springer, Berlin, 1995

[Ke] Kervaire, M. A.: *Some nonstable homotopy groups of Lie groups*. Illinois J. Math. **4**, 161-169 (1960)

[KG] Kennedy, R.; Guggenheim, C.: *Homotopy Theory of Strong and Weak Topological Insulators*. E-print `arXiv:1409.2529` (2014)

[Ki] Kitaev, A.: *Periodic table for topological insulators and superconductors*. AIP Conf. Proc. **1134**, 22-30 (2009)

[KM2] Kane, C. L.; Mele, E. J.: $\mathbb{Z}_2$ *Topological Order and the Quantum Spin Hall Effect*. Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 146802 (2005)

[Ko] Kori, T.: *Extensions of current groups on* $\mathbb{S}^3$ *and the adjoint representations*. J. Math. Soc. Japan **66**, 819-838 (2014)

[Kuc] Kuchment, P.: *Floquet theory for partial differential equations*. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993

[KZ] Kennedy, R.; Zirnbauer M. R.: *Bott periodicity for* $\mathbb{Z}_2$ *symmetric ground states of gapped free-fermion systems*. E-print `arXiv:1409.2537` (2015)

[Le] Lee, H. C.: *On Clifford Algebras and Their Representations*. Ann. of Math. **4**, 760-773 (1948)

[LS] Lupton, G.; Smith, S. B.: *Gottlieb groups of function spaces*. Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., 1-17 (2015)

[Lu] Lundell, A. T.: *Concise Tables of James Numbers and Some Homotopy of Classical Lie Groups and Associated Homogeneous Spaces*. In: *Algebraic Topology Homotopy and Group Cohomology*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics vol. **1509**, pp. 250-272 Springer, Berlin, 1992

[Mi] Milnor, J. W.: *On axiomatic homology theory*. Pacific J. Math. **12**, 337-342 (1962)

[MP] May, J. P.; Ponto, K.: *More Concise Algebraic Topology: Localization, Completion, and Model Categories*. University of Chicago Press, 2012

[MS] Milnor, J. W.; Stasheff, J. D.: *Characteristic Classes*. Princeton University Press, 1974

[Pa] Palais, R. S.: *On the homotopy type of certain groups of operators*. Topology **3**, 271-279 (1965)

[Per] Percy, A.: *Some examples of relations between non-stable integral cohomology operations*. Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **47**, 275-286 (2010)

[Pet] Peterson, F. P.: *Some remarks on Chern classes*. Ann. of Math. **69**, 414-420 (1959)

[PS] Prodan, E.; Schulz-Baldes H.: *Non-commutative odd Chern numbers and topological phases of disordered chiral systems*. E-print `arXiv:1402.5002` (2014)

[QZ] Qi, X.-L.; Zhang, S.-C.: *Topological insulators and superconductors*. Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 1057-1110 (2011)

[RSFL] Ryu, S.; Schnyder, A. P.; Furusaki, A.; Ludwig, A. W. W.: *Topological insulators and superconductors: tenfold way and dimensional hierarchy*. New J. Phys. **12**, 065010 (2010)

[Sp] Spanier, E. H.: *Algebraic Topology*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966

[SRFL] Schnyder, A. P.; Ryu, S.; Furusaki, A.; Ludwig, A. W. W.: *Classification of topological insulators and superconductors in three spatial dimensions*. Phys. Rev. **B 78**, 195125 (2008)

[St] Steenrod, N. E.: *The Topology of Fibre Bundles*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1951

[ST] Stiepan, H-M.; Teufel, S.: *Semiclassical Approximations for Hamiltonians with Operator-Valued Symbols*. Commun. Math. Phys. **320**, 821-849 (2012)

[Sw] Swan, R. G.: *Vector bundles and projective modules*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **105**, 264-277 (1962)

[Te] Teufel, S.: *Adiabatic Perturbation Theory in Quantum Dynamics*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1821**, Springer-Verlag, 2003.

[Th1] Thiang, G. C.: *On the K-theoretic classification of topological phases of matter.* E-print `arXiv:1406.7366` (2014)

[Th2] Thiang, G. C.: *A note on homotopic versus isomorphic topological phases.* E-print `arXiv:1412.4191` (2015)

[Wa] Wannier, G. H.: *The structure of electronic excitation levels in insulating crystals.* Phys. Rev. **52**, 191-197 (1937)

[Wi1] Witten, E.: *Current algebra, baryons, and quark confinement.* Nucl. Phys. B **223**, 433-444 (1983)

[Wi2] Witten, E.: *D-branes and K-theory*. JHEP **12**, 019 (1998)

(De Nittis) DEPARTMENT MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT ERLANGEN-NÜRNBERG, GERMANY
E-mail address: `denittis@math.fau.de`

(Gomi) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SHINSHU UNIVERSITY, NAGANO, JAPAN
E-mail address: `kgomi@math.shinshu-u.ac.jp`